

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-08940

ALTRIA GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Virginia

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

6601 West Broad Street, Richmond, Virginia

(Address of principal executive offices)

13-3260245

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

23230

(Zip Code)

804-274-2200

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Name of each exchange on which registered

Common Stock, \$0.33 ¹/₃ par value

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files) Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company" and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

As of June 30, 2017, the aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$143 billion based on the closing sale price of the common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange.

Class

Outstanding at February 13, 2018

Common Stock, \$0.33 ¹/₃ par value

1,900,449,362 shares

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement for use in connection with its annual meeting of shareholders to be held on May 17, 2018, to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on or about April 5, 2018, are incorporated by reference into Part III hereof.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I	
Item 1.	Business 1
Item 1A.	Risk Factors 4
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments 9
Item 2.	Properties 10
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings 10
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures 10
PART II	
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities 11
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data 13
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations 14
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk 38
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data 39
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure 111
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures 111
Item 9B.	Other Information 111
PART III	
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance 111
Item 11.	Executive Compensation 112
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters 112
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence 113
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services 113
PART IV	
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules 113
Item 16.	Form 10-K Summary 117
Signatures	118

Part I

Item 1. Business.

General Development of Business

▪ **General:** Altria Group, Inc. is a holding company incorporated in the Commonwealth of Virginia in 1985. At December 31, 2017, Altria Group, Inc.'s wholly-owned subsidiaries included Philip Morris USA Inc. ("PM USA"), which is engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes in the United States; John Middleton Co. ("Middleton"), which is engaged in the manufacture and sale of machine-made large cigars and pipe tobacco and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of PM USA; Sherman Group Holdings, LLC and its subsidiaries ("Nat Sherman"), which are engaged in the manufacture and sale of super premium cigarettes and the sale of premium cigars; and UST LLC ("UST"), which through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, including U.S. Smokeless Tobacco Company LLC ("USSTC") and Ste. Michelle Wine Estates Ltd. ("Ste. Michelle"), is engaged in the manufacture and sale of smokeless tobacco products and wine. Altria Group, Inc.'s other operating companies included Nu Mark LLC ("Nu Mark"), a wholly-owned subsidiary that is engaged in the manufacture and sale of innovative tobacco products, and Philip Morris Capital Corporation ("PMCC"), a wholly-owned subsidiary that maintains a portfolio of finance assets, substantially all of which are leveraged leases. Other Altria Group, Inc. wholly-owned subsidiaries included Altria Group Distribution Company, which provides sales and distribution services to certain Altria Group, Inc. operating subsidiaries, and Altria Client Services LLC, which provides various support services in areas, such as legal, regulatory, consumer engagement, finance, human resources and external affairs to Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

At September 30, 2016, Altria Group, Inc. had an approximate 27% ownership of SABMiller plc ("SABMiller"), which Altria Group, Inc. accounted for under the equity method of accounting. In October 2016, Anheuser-Busch InBev SA/NV ("Legacy AB InBev") completed its business combination with SABMiller, and Altria Group, Inc. received cash and shares representing a 9.6% ownership in the combined company (the "Transaction"). The newly formed Belgian company, which retained the name Anheuser-Busch InBev SA/NV ("AB InBev"), became the holding company for the combined businesses. Subsequently, Altria Group, Inc. purchased approximately 12 million ordinary shares of AB InBev, increasing Altria Group, Inc.'s ownership to approximately 10.2% at December 31, 2016. At December 31, 2017, Altria Group, Inc. had an approximate 10.2% ownership of AB InBev, which Altria Group, Inc. accounts for under the equity method of accounting using a one-quarter lag. As a result of the one-quarter lag and the timing of the completion of the Transaction, no earnings from Altria Group, Inc.'s equity investment in AB InBev were recorded for the year ended December 31, 2016. For further discussion, see Note 6. *Investment in AB InBev/SABMiller* to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this Annual Report on Form 10-K ("Item 8").

In January 2017, Altria Group, Inc. acquired Nat Sherman, which joined PM USA and Middleton as part of Altria Group, Inc.'s smokeable products segment.

▪ **Source of Funds:** Because Altria Group, Inc. is a holding company, its access to the operating cash flows of its wholly-owned subsidiaries consists of cash received from the payment of dividends and distributions, and the payment of interest on intercompany loans by its subsidiaries. At December 31, 2017, Altria Group, Inc.'s principal wholly-owned subsidiaries were not limited by long-term debt or other agreements in their ability to pay cash dividends or make other distributions with respect to their equity interests. In addition, Altria Group, Inc. receives cash dividends on its interest in AB InBev if and when AB InBev pays such dividends.

Financial Information About Segments

Altria Group, Inc.'s reportable segments are smokeable products, smokeless products and wine. The financial services and the innovative tobacco products businesses are included in an all other category due to the continued reduction of the lease portfolio of PMCC and the relative financial contribution of Altria Group, Inc.'s innovative tobacco products businesses to Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated results.

Altria Group, Inc.'s chief operating decision maker (the "CODM") reviews operating companies income to evaluate the performance of, and allocate resources to, the segments. Operating companies income for the segments is defined as operating income before general corporate expenses and amortization of intangibles. Interest and other debt expense, net, and provision for income taxes are centrally managed at the corporate level and, accordingly, such items are not presented by segment since they are excluded from the measure of segment profitability reviewed by the CODM. Net revenues and operating companies income (together with a reconciliation to earnings before income taxes) attributable to each such segment for each of the last three years are set forth in Note 15. *Segment Reporting* to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 ("Note 15"). Information about total assets by segment is not disclosed because such information is not reported to or used by the CODM. Segment goodwill and other intangible assets, net, are disclosed in Note 3. *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, net* to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 ("Note 3"). The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note 2. *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 ("Note 2").

[Table of Contents](#)

The relative percentages of operating companies income (loss) attributable to each reportable segment and the all other category were as follows:

	2017	2016	2015
Smokeable products	85.8 %	86.2 %	87.4 %
Smokeless products	13.2	13.1	12.8
Wine	1.5	1.8	1.8
All other	(0.5)	(1.1)	(2.0)
Total	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %

For items affecting the comparability of the relative percentages of operating companies income (loss) attributable to each reportable segment, see Note 15.

Narrative Description of Business

Portions of the information called for by this Item are included in *Operating Results by Business Segment* in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this Annual Report on Form 10-K ("Item 7").

Tobacco Space

Altria Group, Inc.'s tobacco operating companies include PM USA, USSTC and other subsidiaries of UST, Middleton, Nu Mark and Nat Sherman. Altria Group Distribution Company provides sales and distribution services to Altria Group, Inc.'s tobacco operating companies.

The products of Altria Group, Inc.'s tobacco subsidiaries include smokeable tobacco products, consisting of cigarettes manufactured and sold by PM USA and Nat Sherman, machine-made large cigars and pipe tobacco manufactured and sold by Middleton and premium cigars sold by Nat Sherman; smokeless tobacco products manufactured and sold by USSTC; and innovative tobacco products, including e-vapor products manufactured and sold by Nu Mark.

- **Cigarettes:** PM USA is the largest cigarette company in the United States. *Marlboro*, the principal cigarette brand of PM USA, has been the largest-selling cigarette brand in the United States for over 40 years. Nat Sherman sells substantially all of its super premium cigarettes in the United States. Total smokeable products segment's cigarettes shipment volume in the United States was 116.6 billion units in 2017, a decrease of 5.1% from 2016.
- **Cigars:** Middleton is engaged in the manufacture and sale of machine-made large cigars and pipe tobacco. Middleton contracts with a third-party importer to supply a majority of its cigars and sells substantially all of its cigars to customers in the United States. *Black & Mild* is the principal cigar brand of Middleton. Nat Sherman sources all of its cigars from third-party suppliers and sells substantially all of its cigars to customers in the United States. Total smokeable products segment's cigars shipment volume was approximately 1.5 billion units in 2017, an increase of 9.9% from 2016.

- **Smokeless tobacco products:** USSTC is the leading producer and marketer of moist smokeless tobacco ("MST") products. The smokeless products segment includes the premium brands, *Copenhagen* and *Skoal*, and value brands, *Red Seal* and *Husky*. Substantially all of the smokeless tobacco products are manufactured and sold to customers in the United States. Total smokeless products segment's shipment volume was 841.3 million units in 2017, a decrease of 1.4% from 2016.

- **Innovative tobacco products:** Nu Mark participates in the e-vapor category and has developed and commercialized other innovative tobacco products. In addition, Nu Mark sources the production of its e-vapor products through overseas contract manufacturing arrangements. In 2013, Nu Mark introduced *MarkTen* e-vapor products. In April 2014, Nu Mark acquired the e-vapor business of Green Smoke, Inc. and its affiliates ("Green Smoke"), which began selling e-vapor products in 2009. In 2017, Altria Group, Inc.'s subsidiaries purchased certain intellectual property related to innovative tobacco products.

In December 2013, Altria Group, Inc.'s subsidiaries entered into a series of agreements with Philip Morris International Inc. ("PMI") pursuant to which Altria Group, Inc.'s subsidiaries provide an exclusive license to PMI to sell Nu Mark's e-vapor products outside the United States, and PMI's subsidiaries provide an exclusive license to Altria Group, Inc.'s subsidiaries to sell two of PMI's heated tobacco product platforms in the United States. Further, in July 2015, Altria Group, Inc. announced the expansion of its strategic framework with PMI to include a joint research, development and technology-sharing agreement. Under this agreement, Altria Group, Inc.'s subsidiaries and PMI will collaborate to develop e-vapor products for commercialization in the United States by Altria Group, Inc.'s subsidiaries and in markets outside the United States by PMI. This agreement also provides for exclusive technology cross licenses, technical information sharing and cooperation on scientific assessment, regulatory engagement and approval related to e-vapor products.

In the fourth quarter of 2016, PMI submitted a Modified Risk Tobacco Product ("MRTP") application for an electronically heated tobacco product with the United States Food and Drug Administration's ("FDA") Center for Tobacco Products and filed its corresponding pre-market tobacco product application in the first quarter of 2017. Upon regulatory authorization by the FDA, Altria Group, Inc.'s subsidiaries will have an exclusive license to sell this heated tobacco product in the United States.

- **Distribution, Competition and Raw Materials:** Altria Group, Inc.'s tobacco subsidiaries sell their tobacco products principally to wholesalers (including distributors), large retail organizations, including chain stores, and the armed services.

The market for tobacco products is highly competitive, characterized by brand recognition and loyalty, with product quality, taste, price, product innovation, marketing, packaging and distribution constituting the significant methods of competition. Promotional activities include, in certain instances and where permitted by law, allowances, the distribution of incentive items, price promotions, product promotions, coupons and other discounts.

[Table of Contents](#)

In June 2009, the President of the United States of America signed into law the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act (“FSPTCA”), which provides the FDA with broad authority to regulate the design, manufacture, packaging, advertising, promotion, sale and distribution of tobacco products; the authority to require disclosures of related information; and the authority to enforce the FSPTCA and related regulations. The FSPTCA went into effect in 2009 for cigarettes, cigarette tobacco and smokeless tobacco products and in August 2016 for all other tobacco products, including cigars, e-vapor products, pipe tobacco and oral tobacco-derived nicotine products (“Other Tobacco Products”). The FSPTCA imposes restrictions on the advertising, promotion, sale and distribution of tobacco products, including at retail. PM USA, Middleton, Nat Sherman and USSTC are subject to quarterly user fees as a result of the FSPTCA. Their respective FDA user fee amounts are determined by an allocation formula administered by the FDA that is based on the respective market shares of manufacturers and importers of each kind of tobacco product. PM USA, Nat Sherman, USSTC and other U.S. tobacco manufacturers have agreed to other marketing restrictions in the United States as part of the settlements of state health care cost recovery actions.

In the United States, under a contract growing program, PM USA purchases the majority of its burley and flue-cured leaf tobaccos directly from tobacco growers. Under the terms of this program, PM USA agrees to purchase the amount of tobacco specified in the grower contracts. PM USA also purchases a portion of its tobacco requirements through leaf merchants.

Nat Sherman purchases its tobacco requirements through leaf merchants. USSTC purchases dark fire-cured, dark air-cured and burley leaf tobaccos from domestic tobacco growers under a contract growing program as well as from leaf merchants.

Middleton purchases burley, dark air-cured and flue-cured leaf tobaccos through leaf merchants. Middleton does not have a contract growing program.

Altria Group, Inc.’s tobacco subsidiaries believe there is an adequate supply of tobacco in the world markets to satisfy their current and anticipated production requirements. See Item 1A. Risk Factors of this Annual Report on Form 10-K (“Item 1A”) and *Tobacco Space - Business Environment - Price, Availability and Quality of Agricultural Products* in Item 7 for a discussion of risks associated with tobacco supply.

Wine

Ste. Michelle is a producer and supplier of premium varietal and blended table wines and of sparkling wines. Ste. Michelle is a leading producer of Washington state wines, primarily *Chateau Ste. Michelle*, *Columbia Crest* and *14 Hands*, and owns wineries in or distributes wines from several other domestic and foreign wine regions. Ste. Michelle’s total 2017 wine shipment volume of approximately 8.5 million cases decreased 8.6% from 2016.

Ste. Michelle holds an 85% ownership interest in Michelle-Antinori, LLC, which owns *Stag’s Leap Wine Cellars* in Napa Valley. Ste. Michelle also owns *Conn Creek* in Napa Valley, *Patz & Hall* in Sonoma and *Erath* in Oregon. In addition, Ste.

Michelle imports and markets *Antinori*, *Torres* and *Villa Maria Estate* wines and *Champagne Nicolas Feuillate* in the United States.

- **Distribution, Competition and Raw Materials:** Key elements of Ste. Michelle’s strategy are expanded domestic distribution of its wines, especially in certain account categories such as restaurants, wholesale clubs, supermarkets, wine shops and mass merchandisers, and a focus on improving product mix to higher-priced, premium products.

Ste. Michelle’s business is subject to significant competition, including competition from many larger, well-established domestic and international companies, as well as from many smaller wine producers. Wine segment competition is primarily based on quality, price, consumer and trade wine tastings, competitive wine judging, third-party acclaim and advertising. Substantially all of Ste. Michelle’s sales occur in the United States through state-licensed distributors. Ste. Michelle also sells to domestic consumers through retail and e-commerce channels and exports wines to international distributors.

Federal, state and local governmental agencies regulate the beverage alcohol industry through various means, including licensing requirements, pricing rules, labeling and advertising restrictions, and distribution and production policies. Further regulatory restrictions or additional excise or other taxes on the manufacture and sale of alcoholic beverages may have an adverse effect on Ste. Michelle’s wine business.

Ste. Michelle uses grapes harvested from its own vineyards or purchased from independent growers, as well as bulk wine purchased from other sources. Grape production can be adversely affected by weather and other forces that may limit production. At the present time, Ste. Michelle believes that there is a sufficient supply of grapes and bulk wine available in the market to satisfy its current and expected production requirements. See Item 1A for a discussion of risks associated with competition, unfavorable changes in grape supply and governmental regulations.

Financial Services Business

In 2003, PMCC ceased making new investments and began focusing exclusively on managing its portfolio of finance assets in order to maximize its operating results and cash flows from its existing lease portfolio activities and asset sales. For further information on PMCC’s finance assets, see Note 7. *Finance Assets, net* to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

Other Matters

- **Customers:** The largest customer of PM USA, USSTC, Middleton and Nat Sherman, McLane Company, Inc., accounted for approximately 26%, 25% and 26% of Altria Group, Inc.’s consolidated net revenues for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively. In addition, Core-Mark Holding Company, Inc. accounted for approximately 14%, 14% and 10% of Altria Group, Inc.’s consolidated net revenues for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Substantially all of these net revenues were reported in the smokeable products and smokeless products segments.

[Table of Contents](#)

Sales to three distributors accounted for approximately 67%, 69% and 66% of net revenues for the wine segment for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

- **Employees:** At December 31, 2017, Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries employed approximately 8,300 people.
- **Executive Officers of Altria Group, Inc.:** The disclosure regarding executive officers is included in Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance - *Executive Officers as of February 13, 2018* of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.
- **Research and Development:** Research and development expense for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 is set forth in Note 17. *Additional Information* to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8.
- **Intellectual Property:** Trademarks are of material importance to Altria Group, Inc. and its operating companies, and are protected by registration or otherwise. In addition, as of December 31, 2017, the portfolio of approximately 800 United States patents owned by Altria Group, Inc.'s businesses, as a whole, was material to Altria Group, Inc. and its tobacco businesses. However, no one patent or group of related patents was material to Altria Group, Inc.'s business or its tobacco businesses as of December 31, 2017. Altria Group, Inc.'s businesses also have proprietary trade secrets, technology, know-how, processes and other intellectual property rights that are protected by appropriate confidentiality measures. Certain trade secrets are material to Altria Group, Inc. and its tobacco and wine businesses.
- **Environmental Regulation:** Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (and former subsidiaries) are subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations concerning the discharge of materials into the environment, or otherwise related to environmental protection, including, in the United States: The Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (commonly known as "Superfund"), which can impose joint and several liability on each responsible party. Subsidiaries (and former subsidiaries) of Altria Group, Inc. are involved in several matters subjecting them to potential costs of remediation and natural resource damages under Superfund or other laws and regulations. Altria Group, Inc.'s subsidiaries expect to continue to make capital and other expenditures in connection with environmental laws and regulations. As discussed in Note 2, Altria Group, Inc. provides for expenses associated with environmental remediation obligations on an undiscounted basis when such amounts are probable and can be reasonably estimated. Such accruals are adjusted as new information develops or circumstances change. Other than those amounts, it is not possible to reasonably estimate the cost of any environmental remediation and compliance efforts that subsidiaries of Altria Group, Inc. may undertake in the future. In the opinion of management, however, compliance with environmental laws and regulations, including the payment of any remediation and compliance costs or damages and the making of

related expenditures, has not had, and is not expected to have, a material adverse effect on Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated results of operations, capital expenditures, financial position or cash flows.

Financial Information About Geographic Areas

Substantially all of Altria Group, Inc.'s net revenues are from sales generated in the United States for each of the last three fiscal years and substantially all of Altria Group, Inc.'s long-lived assets are located in the United States.

Available Information

Altria Group, Inc. is required to file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Investors may read and copy any document that Altria Group, Inc. files, including this Annual Report on Form 10-K, at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549. Investors may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. In addition, the SEC maintains an Internet site at <http://www.sec.gov> that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC, from which investors can electronically access Altria Group, Inc.'s SEC filings.

Altria Group, Inc. makes available free of charge on or through its website (www.altria.com) its Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), as soon as reasonably practicable after Altria Group, Inc. electronically files such material with, or furnishes it to, the SEC. Investors can access Altria Group, Inc.'s filings with the SEC by visiting www.altria.com/secfilings.

The information on the respective websites of Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries is not, and shall not be deemed to be, a part of this report or incorporated into any other filings Altria Group, Inc. makes with the SEC.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

The following risk factors should be read carefully in connection with evaluating our business and the forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Any of the following risks could materially adversely affect our business, our results of operations, our cash flows, our financial position and the actual outcome of matters as to which forward-looking statements are made in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We ⁽¹⁾ may from time to time make written or oral forward-looking statements, including earnings guidance and other statements contained in filings with the SEC, reports to security

⁽¹⁾ This section uses the terms "we," "our" and "us" when it is not necessary to distinguish among Altria Group, Inc. and its various operating subsidiaries or when any distinction is clear from the context.

holders, press releases and investor webcasts. You can identify these forward-looking statements by use of words such as “strategy,” “expects,” “continues,” “plans,” “anticipates,” “believes,” “will,” “estimates,” “forecasts,” “intends,” “projects,” “goals,” “objectives,” “guidance,” “targets” and other words of similar meaning. You can also identify them by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts.

We cannot guarantee that any forward-looking statement will be realized, although we believe we have been prudent in our plans, estimates and assumptions. Achievement of future results is subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions that may prove to be inaccurate. Should known or unknown risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying estimates or assumptions prove inaccurate, actual results could vary materially from those anticipated, estimated or projected. You should bear this in mind as you consider forward-looking statements and whether to invest in or remain invested in Altria Group, Inc.’s securities. In connection with the “safe harbor” provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, we are identifying important factors that, individually or in the aggregate, could cause actual results and outcomes to differ materially from those contained in, or implied by, any forward-looking statements made by us; any such statement is qualified by reference to the following cautionary statements. We elaborate on these and other risks we face throughout this document, particularly in the “Business Environment” sections preceding our discussion of the operating results of our subsidiaries’ businesses below in Item 7. You should understand that it is not possible to predict or identify all risk factors. Consequently, you should not consider the following to be a complete discussion of all potential risks or uncertainties. We do not undertake to update any forward-looking statement that we may make from time to time except as required by applicable law.

Unfavorable litigation outcomes could materially adversely affect the consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Altria Group, Inc., or the businesses of one or more of its subsidiaries.

Legal proceedings covering a wide range of matters are pending or threatened in various United States and foreign jurisdictions against Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries, including PM USA and UST and its subsidiaries, as well as their respective indemnitees. Various types of claims may be raised in these proceedings, including product liability, consumer protection, antitrust, tax, contraband-related claims, patent infringement, employment matters, claims for contribution and claims of competitors and distributors.

Litigation is subject to uncertainty and it is possible that there could be adverse developments in pending or future cases. An unfavorable outcome or settlement of pending tobacco-related or other litigation could encourage the commencement of additional litigation. Damages claimed in some tobacco-related or other litigation are significant and, in certain cases, have ranged in the billions of dollars. The variability in pleadings in multiple jurisdictions, together with the actual experience of management in litigating claims, demonstrate that the monetary relief that may

be specified in a lawsuit bears little relevance to the ultimate outcome. In certain cases, plaintiffs claim that defendants’ liability is joint and several. In such cases, Altria Group, Inc. or its subsidiaries may face the risk that one or more co-defendants decline or otherwise fail to participate in the bonding required for an appeal or to pay their proportionate or jury-allocated share of a judgment. As a result, Altria Group, Inc. or its subsidiaries under certain circumstances may have to pay more than their proportionate share of any bonding- or judgment-related amounts. Furthermore, in those cases where plaintiffs are successful, Altria Group, Inc. or its subsidiaries may also be required to pay interest and attorneys’ fees.

Although PM USA has historically been able to obtain required bonds or relief from bonding requirements in order to prevent plaintiffs from seeking to collect judgments while adverse verdicts have been appealed, there remains a risk that such relief may not be obtainable in all cases. This risk has been substantially reduced given that 47 states and Puerto Rico now limit the dollar amount of bonds or require no bond at all. As discussed in Note 18. *Contingencies* to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 (“Note 18”), tobacco litigation plaintiffs have challenged the constitutionality of Florida’s bond cap statute in several cases and plaintiffs may challenge state bond cap statutes in other jurisdictions as well. Such challenges may include the applicability of state bond caps in federal court. Although we cannot predict the outcome of such challenges, it is possible that the consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Altria Group, Inc., or the businesses of one or more of its subsidiaries, could be materially adversely affected in a particular fiscal quarter or fiscal year by an unfavorable outcome of one or more such challenges.

In certain litigation, Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries may face potentially significant non-monetary remedies, which may cause reputational harm. For example, in the lawsuit brought by the United States Department of Justice, discussed in detail in Note 18, the district court did not impose monetary penalties but ordered significant non-monetary remedies, including the issuance of “corrective statements” that Altria Group, Inc. and PM USA began making in various media in the fourth quarter of 2017.

Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries have achieved substantial success in managing litigation. Nevertheless, litigation is subject to uncertainty, and significant challenges remain.

It is possible that the consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Altria Group, Inc., or the businesses of one or more of its subsidiaries, could be materially adversely affected in a particular fiscal quarter or fiscal year by an unfavorable outcome or settlement of certain pending litigation. Altria Group, Inc. and each of its subsidiaries named as a defendant believe, and each has been so advised by counsel handling the respective cases, that it has valid defenses to the litigation pending against it, as well as valid bases for appeal of adverse verdicts. Each of the companies has defended, and will continue to defend, vigorously against litigation challenges. However, Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries may enter into

[Table of Contents](#)

settlement discussions in particular cases if they believe it is in the best interests of Altria Group, Inc. to do so. See Item 3. *Legal Proceedings* of this Annual Report on Form 10-K (“Item 3”), Note 18 and Exhibits 99.1 and 99.2 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a discussion of pending tobacco-related litigation.

Significant federal, state and local governmental actions, including actions by the FDA, and various private sector actions may continue to have an adverse impact on our tobacco subsidiaries’ businesses and sales volumes.

As described in *Tobacco Space - Business Environment* in Item 7, our cigarette subsidiaries face significant governmental and private sector actions, including efforts aimed at reducing the incidence of tobacco use and efforts seeking to hold these subsidiaries responsible for the adverse health effects associated with both smoking and exposure to environmental tobacco smoke. These actions, combined with the diminishing social acceptance of smoking, have resulted in reduced cigarette industry volume, and we expect that these factors will continue to reduce cigarette consumption levels.

Actions by the FDA and other federal, state or local governments or agencies, including those specific actions described in *Tobacco Space - Business Environment* in Item 7, may impact the adult tobacco consumer acceptability of or access to tobacco products (for example, through product standards), limit adult tobacco consumer choices, delay or prevent the launch of new or modified tobacco products or products with claims of reduced risk, require the recall or other removal of tobacco products from the marketplace (for example as a result of product contamination, a determination by the FDA that one or more tobacco products do not satisfy the statutory requirements for substantial equivalence, or because the FDA requires that a modification to a currently-marketed tobacco product proceed through the pre-market review process), restrict communications to adult tobacco consumers, restrict the ability to differentiate tobacco products, create a competitive advantage or disadvantage for certain tobacco companies, impose additional manufacturing, labeling or packing requirements, interrupt manufacturing or otherwise significantly increase the cost of doing business, or restrict or prevent the use of specified tobacco products in certain locations or the sale of tobacco products by certain retail establishments. Any one or more of these actions may have a material adverse impact on the business, consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Altria Group, Inc. and its tobacco subsidiaries. See *Tobacco Space - Business Environment* in Item 7 for a more detailed discussion.

Tobacco products are subject to substantial taxation, which could have an adverse impact on sales of the tobacco products of Altria Group, Inc.’s tobacco subsidiaries.

Tobacco products are subject to substantial excise taxes, and significant increases in tobacco product-related taxes or fees have been proposed or enacted and are likely to continue to be proposed or enacted within the United States at the state, federal and local levels. Tax increases are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on sales of the tobacco products of our tobacco

subsidiaries through lower consumption levels and the potential shift in adult consumer purchases from the premium to the non-premium or discount segments or to other low-priced or low-taxed tobacco products or to counterfeit and contraband products. Such shifts may have an adverse impact on the reported share performance of tobacco products of Altria Group, Inc.’s tobacco subsidiaries. For further discussion, see *Tobacco Space - Business Environment - Excise Taxes* in Item 7.

Our tobacco businesses face significant competition within their categories and their failure to compete effectively could have an adverse effect on the consolidated results of operations or cash flows of Altria Group, Inc., or the business of Altria Group, Inc.’s tobacco subsidiaries.

Each of Altria Group, Inc.’s tobacco subsidiaries operates in highly competitive tobacco categories. Significant methods of competition include product quality, taste, price, product innovation, marketing, packaging, distribution and promotional activities. A highly competitive environment could negatively impact the profitability, market share and shipment volume of our tobacco subsidiaries, which could have an adverse effect on the consolidated results of operations or cash flows of Altria Group, Inc.

PM USA also faces competition from lowest priced brands sold by certain United States and foreign manufacturers that have cost advantages because they are not parties to settlements of certain tobacco litigation in the United States. These settlements, among other factors, have resulted in substantial cigarette price increases. These manufacturers may fail to comply with related state escrow legislation or may avoid escrow deposit obligations on the majority of their sales by concentrating on certain states where escrow deposits are not required or are required on fewer than all such manufacturers’ cigarettes sold in such states. Additional competition has resulted from diversion into the United States market of cigarettes intended for sale outside the United States, the sale of counterfeit cigarettes by third parties, the sale of cigarettes by third parties over the Internet and by other means designed to avoid collection of applicable taxes, and imports of foreign lowest priced brands. USSTC faces significant competition in the smokeless tobacco category and has experienced consumer down-trading to lower-priced brands. In the cigar category, additional competition has resulted from increased imports of machine-made large cigars manufactured offshore.

Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries may be unsuccessful in anticipating changes in adult consumer preferences, responding to changes in consumer purchase behavior or managing through difficult competitive and economic conditions.

Each of our tobacco and wine subsidiaries is subject to intense competition and changes in adult consumer preferences. To be successful, they must continue to:

- promote brand equity successfully;

[Table of Contents](#)

- anticipate and respond to new and evolving adult consumer preferences;
- develop, manufacture, market and distribute products that appeal to adult consumers (including, where appropriate, through arrangements with, or investments in, third parties);
- improve productivity; and
- protect or enhance margins through cost savings and price increases.

See *Tobacco Space - Business Environment - Summary* in Item 7 for additional discussion concerning evolving adult tobacco consumer preferences, including e-vapor products. Growth of this product category could contribute to reductions in cigarette consumption levels and cigarette industry sales volume and could adversely affect the growth rates of other tobacco products.

The willingness of adult consumers to purchase premium consumer product brands depends in part on economic conditions. In periods of economic uncertainty, adult consumers may purchase more discount brands and/or, in the case of tobacco products, consider lower-priced tobacco products, which could have a material adverse effect on the business, consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries. While our tobacco and wine subsidiaries work to broaden their brand portfolios to compete effectively with lower-priced products, the failure to do so could negatively impact our companies' ability to compete in these circumstances.

Our financial services business (conducted through PMCC) holds investments in finance leases, principally in transportation (including aircraft), power generation, real estate and manufacturing equipment. Its lessees are subject to significant competition and uncertain economic conditions. If parties to PMCC's leases fail to manage through difficult economic and competitive conditions, PMCC may have to increase its allowance for losses, which would adversely affect our earnings.

Altria Group, Inc.'s tobacco subsidiaries may be unsuccessful in developing and commercializing adjacent products or processes, including innovative tobacco products that may reduce the health risks associated with current tobacco products and that appeal to adult tobacco consumers, which may have an adverse effect on their ability to grow new revenue streams and/or put them at a competitive disadvantage.

Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries have growth strategies involving moves and potential moves into adjacent products or processes, including innovative tobacco products. Some innovative tobacco products may reduce the health risks associated with current tobacco products, while continuing to offer adult tobacco consumers (within and outside the United States) products that meet their taste expectations and evolving preferences. Examples include tobacco-containing and nicotine-containing products that reduce or eliminate exposure to cigarette smoke and/or constituents identified by public health authorities as harmful. These efforts may include arrangements with, or

investments in, third parties. Our tobacco subsidiaries may not succeed in their efforts to introduce such new products, which would have an adverse effect on the ability to grow new revenue streams.

Further, we cannot predict whether regulators, including the FDA, will permit the marketing or sale of products with claims of reduced risk to adult consumers, the speed with which they may make such determinations or whether regulators will impose an unduly burdensome regulatory framework on such products. Nor can we predict whether adult tobacco consumers' purchasing decisions would be affected by reduced risk claims if permitted. Adverse developments on any of these matters could negatively impact the commercial viability of such products.

If our tobacco subsidiaries do not succeed in their efforts to develop and commercialize innovative tobacco products or to obtain regulatory approval for the marketing or sale of products with claims of reduced risk, but one or more of their competitors do succeed, our tobacco subsidiaries may be at a competitive disadvantage.

Significant changes in tobacco leaf price, availability or quality could have an adverse effect on the profitability and business of Altria Group, Inc.'s tobacco subsidiaries.

Any significant change in tobacco leaf prices, quality or availability could adversely affect our tobacco subsidiaries' profitability and business. For further discussion, see *Tobacco Space - Business Environment - Price, Availability and Quality of Agricultural Products* in Item 7.

Because Altria Group, Inc.'s tobacco subsidiaries rely on a few significant facilities and a small number of key suppliers, an extended disruption at a facility or in service by a supplier could have a material adverse effect on the business, the consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Altria Group, Inc. and its tobacco subsidiaries.

Altria Group, Inc.'s tobacco subsidiaries face risks inherent in reliance on a few significant facilities and a small number of key suppliers. A natural or man-made disaster or other disruption that affects the manufacturing operations of any of Altria Group, Inc.'s tobacco subsidiaries or the operations of any key suppliers of any of Altria Group, Inc.'s tobacco subsidiaries, including as a result of a key supplier's unwillingness to supply goods or services to a tobacco company, could adversely impact the operations of the affected subsidiaries. An extended disruption in operations experienced by one or more of Altria Group, Inc.'s subsidiaries or key suppliers could have a material adverse effect on the business, the consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Altria Group, Inc. and its tobacco subsidiaries.

Altria Group, Inc.'s subsidiaries could decide or be required to recall products, which could have a material adverse effect on the business, reputation, consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

[Table of Contents](#)

In addition to a recall required by the FDA, as referenced above, our subsidiaries could decide, or laws or regulations could require them, to recall products due to the failure to meet quality standards or specifications, suspected or confirmed and deliberate or unintentional product contamination, or other adulteration, product misbranding or product tampering. In January 2017, USSTC announced that it was voluntarily recalling certain of its smokeless tobacco products manufactured at a USSTC facility due to product tampering. USSTC recorded a charge during the first quarter of 2017 related to this recall. While this charge was not material to Altria Group, Inc.'s financial statements, future recalls (if any) could have a material adverse effect on the business, reputation, consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Altria Group, Inc. may be unable to attract and retain the best talent due to the impact of decreasing social acceptance of tobacco usage and tobacco control actions.

Our ability to implement our strategy of attracting and retaining the best talent may be impaired by the impact of decreasing social acceptance of tobacco usage and tobacco regulation and control actions. The tobacco industry competes for talent with the consumer products industry and other companies that enjoy greater societal acceptance. As a result, we may be unable to attract and retain the best talent.

Acquisitions or other events may adversely affect Altria Group, Inc.'s credit rating, and Altria Group, Inc. may not achieve its anticipated strategic or financial objectives of a transaction.

From time to time, Altria Group, Inc. considers acquisitions and may engage in confidential acquisition negotiations that are not publicly announced unless and until those negotiations result in a definitive agreement. Although we seek to maintain or improve our credit ratings over time, it is possible that completing a given acquisition or the occurrence of other events could negatively impact our credit ratings or the outlook for those ratings. Any such change in ratings or outlook may negatively affect the amount of credit available to us and may also increase our costs and adversely affect our earnings or our dividend rate.

Furthermore, acquisition opportunities are limited, and acquisitions present risks of failing to achieve efficient and effective integration, strategic objectives and anticipated revenue improvements and cost savings. There can be no assurance that we will be able to acquire attractive businesses on favorable terms or that we will realize any of the anticipated benefits from an acquisition.

Disruption and uncertainty in the debt capital markets could adversely affect Altria Group, Inc.'s access to the debt capital markets, earnings and dividend rate.

Access to the debt capital markets is important for us to satisfy our liquidity and financing needs. Disruption and uncertainty in the credit and debt capital markets and any resulting adverse impact on credit availability, pricing, credit terms or credit rating

may negatively affect the amount of credit available to us and may also increase our costs and adversely affect our earnings or our dividend rate.

Altria Group, Inc. may be required to write down intangible assets, including goodwill, due to impairment, which would reduce earnings.

We periodically calculate the fair value of our reporting units and intangible assets to test for impairment. This calculation may be affected by several factors, including general economic conditions, regulatory developments, changes in category growth rates as a result of changing adult consumer preferences, success of planned new product introductions, competitive activity and tobacco-related taxes. Certain events can also trigger an immediate review of intangible assets. If an impairment is determined to exist in either situation, we will incur impairment losses, which will reduce our earnings.

Competition, unfavorable changes in grape supply and new governmental regulations or revisions to existing governmental regulations could adversely affect Ste. Michelle's wine business.

Ste. Michelle's business is subject to significant competition, including from many large, well-established domestic and international companies. The adequacy of Ste. Michelle's grape supply is influenced by consumer demand for wine in relation to industry-wide production levels as well as by weather and crop conditions, particularly in eastern Washington. Supply shortages related to any one or more of these factors could increase production costs and wine prices, which ultimately may have a negative impact on Ste. Michelle's sales. In addition, federal, state and local governmental agencies regulate the alcohol beverage industry through various means, including licensing requirements, pricing, labeling and advertising restrictions, and distribution and production policies. New regulations or revisions to existing regulations, resulting in further restrictions or taxes on the manufacture and sale of alcoholic beverages, may have an adverse effect on Ste. Michelle's wine business. For further discussion, see *Wine Segment - Business Environment* in Item 7.

The failure of Altria Group, Inc.'s information systems or service providers' information systems to function as intended, or cyber-attacks or security breaches, could have a material adverse effect on the business, reputation, consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries rely extensively on information systems, many of which are managed by third-party service providers (such as cloud providers), to support a variety of business processes and activities, including: complying with regulatory, legal, financial reporting and tax requirements; engaging in marketing and e-commerce activities; managing and improving the effectiveness of our operations; manufacturing and distributing our products; collecting and storing sensitive data and confidential information; and communicating internally and externally with employees, investors, suppliers, trade customers,

[Table of Contents](#)

adult consumers and others. We continue to make investments in administrative, technical and physical safeguards to protect our information systems and data from cyber-threats, including human error and malicious acts. Our safeguards include employee training, testing and auditing protocols, backup systems and business continuity plans, maintenance of security policies and procedures, monitoring of networks and systems, and third-party risk management.

To date, interruptions of our information systems have been infrequent and have not had a material impact on our operations. However, because technology is increasingly complex and cyber-attacks are increasingly sophisticated and more frequent, there can be no assurance that such incidents will not have a material adverse effect on us in the future. Failure of our systems or service providers' systems to function as intended, or cyber-attacks or security breaches, could result in loss of revenue, assets, personal data, intellectual property, trade secrets or other sensitive and confidential data, violation of applicable privacy and data security laws, damage to the reputation of our companies and their brands, operational disruptions, legal challenges and significant remediation and other costs to Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Unfavorable outcomes of any governmental investigations could materially affect the businesses of Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

From time to time, Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries are subject to governmental investigations on a range of matters. We cannot predict whether new investigations may be commenced or the outcome of any such investigation, and it is possible that our business could be materially adversely affected by an unfavorable outcome of a future investigation.

Expanding international business operations subjects Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries to various United States and foreign laws and regulations, and violations of such laws or regulations could result in reputational harm, legal challenges and/or significant costs.

While Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries are primarily engaged in business activities in the United States, they do engage (directly or indirectly) in certain international business activities that are subject to various United States and foreign laws and regulations, such as the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and other laws prohibiting bribery and corruption. Although we have a Code of Conduct and a compliance system designed to prevent and detect violations of applicable law, no system can provide assurance that it will always protect against improper actions by employees or third parties. Violations of these laws, or allegations of such violations, could result in reputational harm, legal challenges and/or significant costs.

Altria Group, Inc.'s reported earnings from and carrying value of its equity investment in AB InBev and the dividends paid by AB InBev on shares owned by Altria Group, Inc. may be adversely affected by unfavorable foreign currency exchange rates and other factors.

For purposes of financial reporting, the earnings from and carrying value of our equity investment in AB InBev are translated into U.S. dollars from various local currencies. In addition, AB InBev pays dividends in euros, which we convert into U.S. dollars. During times of a strengthening U.S. dollar against these currencies, our reported earnings from and carrying value of our equity investment in AB InBev will be reduced because these currencies will translate into fewer U.S. dollars and the dividends that we receive from AB InBev will convert into fewer U.S. dollars.

Dividends and earnings from and carrying value of our equity investment in AB InBev are also subject to the risks encountered by AB InBev in its business. We cannot provide any assurance that AB InBev will successfully execute its business plans and strategies. Earnings from and carrying value of our equity investment in AB InBev are also subject to fluctuations in AB InBev's stock price, for example through mark-to-market losses on AB InBev's derivative financial instruments used to hedge certain share commitments.

We received a substantial portion of our consideration from the Transaction in the form of restricted shares subject to a five-year lock-up. Furthermore, if our percentage ownership in AB InBev were to decrease below certain levels, we may be subject to additional tax liabilities, suffer a reduction in the number of directors that we can have appointed to the AB InBev Board of Directors and be unable to account for our investment under the equity method of accounting.

Upon completion of the Transaction, we received a substantial portion of our consideration in the form of restricted shares that cannot be sold or transferred for a period of five years following the Transaction, subject to limited exceptions. These transfer restrictions will require us to bear the risks associated with our investment in AB InBev for a five-year period that expires on October 10, 2021. Further, in the event that our ownership percentage in AB InBev were to decrease below certain levels, we may be subject to additional tax liabilities, the number of directors that we have the right to have appointed to the AB InBev Board of Directors could be reduced from two to one or zero and our use of the equity method of accounting for our investment in AB InBev could be challenged.

Our tax treatment of the Transaction consideration may be challenged and the tax treatment of AB InBev dividends may not be as favorable as Altria Group, Inc. anticipates.

While we expect the equity consideration that we received from the Transaction to qualify for tax-deferred treatment, we cannot provide any assurance that federal and state tax authorities will not challenge the expected tax treatment and, if they do, what the outcome of any such challenge will be. In addition, there is a risk that the tax treatment of the dividends Altria Group, Inc. expects to receive from AB InBev may not be as favorable as Altria Group, Inc. anticipates.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 2. Properties.

In 2017, Altria Client Services LLC purchased the previously leased property in Richmond, Virginia that serves as the headquarters facility for Altria Group, Inc., PM USA, USSTC, Middleton, Nu Mark and certain other subsidiaries.

At December 31, 2017, PM USA owned and operated a manufacturing site located in Richmond, Virginia (“Richmond Manufacturing Center”), that PM USA uses in the manufacturing of cigarettes. Portions of this facility are leased by Middleton and USSTC for use in the manufacturing of cigars and smokeless tobacco products, respectively.

At December 31, 2017, the smokeable products segment used five manufacturing and processing facilities, including the Richmond Manufacturing Center. In addition to the Richmond Manufacturing Center, PM USA owns and operates a cigarette tobacco processing facility located in the Richmond, Virginia area. Nat Sherman owns and operates a cigarette manufacturing facility in Greensboro, North Carolina. Middleton, in addition to the Richmond Manufacturing Center, operates two manufacturing and processing facilities - one, which it owns, in King of Prussia, Pennsylvania, and one, which it leases, in Limerick, Pennsylvania, that are used in the manufacturing and processing of cigars and pipe tobacco. In addition, PM USA owns a research and technology center in Richmond, Virginia that is leased to an affiliate, Altria Client Services LLC.

At December 31, 2017, in addition to the Richmond Manufacturing Center, the smokeless products segment used five smokeless tobacco manufacturing and processing facilities located in Clarksville, Tennessee; Franklin Park, Illinois; Nashville, Tennessee; and two facilities in Hopkinsville, Kentucky, all of which are owned and operated by USSTC, with the exception of the facility leased by USSTC in Franklin Park, Illinois.

As disclosed in Note 4. *Asset Impairment, Exit and Implementation Costs* to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 (“Note 4”), in October 2016, Altria Group, Inc. announced the consolidation of certain of its operating companies’ manufacturing facilities to streamline operations and achieve greater efficiencies. Middleton is in the process of transferring its Limerick, Pennsylvania operations to the Richmond Manufacturing Center. USSTC is in the process of transferring its Franklin Park, Illinois operations to its Nashville, Tennessee facility and the Richmond Manufacturing Center. The consolidation is expected to be substantially completed by the end of the first quarter of 2018.

At December 31, 2017, the wine segment used 12 wine-making facilities - seven in Washington, four in California and one in Oregon. All of these facilities are owned and operated by Ste. Michelle, with the exception of a facility that is leased by Ste. Michelle in Washington. In addition, in order to support the production of its wines, the wine segment used vineyards in Washington, California and Oregon that are leased or owned by Ste. Michelle.

The plants and properties owned or leased and operated by Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries are maintained in good

condition and are believed to be suitable and adequate for present needs.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

The information required by this Item is included in Note 18 and Exhibits 99.1 and 99.2 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Altria Group, Inc.’s consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes for the year ended December 31, 2017 were filed on Form 8-K on February 1, 2018 (such consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes are also included in Item 8). The following summarizes certain developments in Altria Group, Inc.’s litigation since the filing of the Form 8-K.

Recent Developments

Smoking and Health Litigation

▪ *Engle Progeny Trial Results:*

In *Gloger*, in February 2018, a Miami-Dade County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company (“R.J. Reynolds”) awarding \$7.5 million in compensatory damages. The jury also awarded plaintiff \$5 million in punitive damages against each defendant. PM USA posted a bond in the amount of \$2.5 million. Defendants filed various post-trial motions, which remain pending, and appealed to the Florida Third District Court of Appeal.

In *Wallace*, in February 2018, PM USA filed an appeal to the Florida Fifth District Court of Appeal and posted a bond in the amount of approximately \$3 million.

In *Allen*, in February 2018, the Florida Supreme Court denied PM USA’s petition to invoke the court’s discretionary jurisdiction. PM USA will record a pre-tax provision of approximately \$10 million for the judgment plus interest in the first quarter of 2018.

In *Gore*, in February 2018, the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal affirmed the judgment in favor of plaintiff, withdrew the comparative fault reduction for the compensatory damages award and granted plaintiff leave to seek a new trial on punitive damages. PM USA will record a pre-tax provision of approximately \$1 million for the judgment plus interest in the first quarter of 2018.

In *Bryant*, in February 2018, the trial court denied all post-trial motions and entered final judgment in favor of plaintiff.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

Part II

Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Performance Graph

The graph below compares the cumulative total shareholder return of Altria Group, Inc.’s common stock for the last five years with the cumulative total return for the same period of the S&P 500 Index and the Altria Group, Inc. Peer Group ⁽¹⁾. The graph assumes the investment of \$100 in common stock and each of the indices as of the market close on December 31, 2012 and the reinvestment of all dividends on a quarterly basis.



Date	Altria Group, Inc.	Altria Group, Inc. Peer Group	S&P 500
December 2012	\$ 100.00	\$ 100.00	\$ 100.00
December 2013	\$ 128.56	\$ 124.66	\$ 132.37
December 2014	\$ 172.93	\$ 139.49	\$ 150.48
December 2015	\$ 212.87	\$ 162.74	\$ 152.55
December 2016	\$ 256.43	\$ 177.01	\$ 170.78
December 2017	\$ 280.65	\$ 193.86	\$ 208.05

Source: Bloomberg - “Total Return Analysis” calculated on a daily basis and assumes reinvestment of dividends as of the ex-dividend date.

⁽¹⁾In 2017, the Altria Group, Inc. Peer Group consisted of U.S.-headquartered consumer product companies that are competitors to Altria Group, Inc.’s tobacco operating companies subsidiaries or that have been selected on the basis of revenue or market capitalization: Campbell Soup Company, The Coca-Cola Company, Colgate-Palmolive Company, Conagra Brands, Inc., General Mills, Inc., The Hershey Company, Kellogg Company, Kimberly-Clark Corporation, The Kraft Heinz Company, Mondelez International, Inc., PepsiCo, Inc., Reynolds American Inc. and British American Tobacco p.l.c. headquartered in London, England.

Note - On July 2, 2015, Kraft Foods Group, Inc. merged with and into a wholly owned subsidiary of H.J. Heinz Holding Corporation, which was renamed The Kraft Heinz Company (KHC). On June 12, 2015, Reynolds American Inc. (RAI) acquired Lorillard, Inc. (LO). On November 9, 2016, ConAgra Foods, Inc. (CAG) spun off Lamb Weston Holdings, Inc. (LW) to its shareholders and then changed its name from ConAgra Foods, Inc. to Conagra Brands, Inc. (CAG). On July 24, 2017, British American Tobacco p.l.c. (BTI) acquired RAI. For 2017, Altria Group, Inc. Peer Group total shareholder return calculation includes RAI through July 24, 2017 and BTI American Depositary Receipts for the remainder of the year.

Market and Dividend Information

The principal stock exchange on which Altria Group, Inc.'s common stock (par value \$0.33 1/3 per share) is listed is the New York Stock Exchange. At February 13, 2018, there were approximately 64,000 holders of record of Altria Group, Inc.'s common stock.

The table below discloses the high and low sales prices and cash dividends declared per share for Altria Group, Inc.'s common stock as reported by the New York Stock Exchange.

	Price Per Share		Cash Dividends Declared Per Share
	High	Low	
2017:			
Fourth Quarter	\$ 74.38	\$ 62.32	\$ 0.66
Third Quarter	\$ 74.98	\$ 60.01	\$ 0.66
Second Quarter	\$ 77.79	\$ 69.79	\$ 0.61
First Quarter	\$ 76.55	\$ 67.25	\$ 0.61
2016:			
Fourth Quarter	\$ 68.03	\$ 60.82	\$ 0.61
Third Quarter	\$ 70.15	\$ 62.46	\$ 0.61
Second Quarter	\$ 69.26	\$ 59.48	\$ 0.565
First Quarter	\$ 63.15	\$ 56.15	\$ 0.565

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities During the Quarter Ended December 31, 2017

In July 2015, Altria Group, Inc.'s Board of Directors (the "Board of Directors") authorized a \$1.0 billion share repurchase program that it expanded to \$3.0 billion in October 2016 and to \$4.0 billion in July 2017 (as expanded, the "July 2015 share repurchase program"). The July 2015 share repurchase program was completed in January 2018. In January 2018, the Board of Directors authorized a new \$1.0 billion share repurchase program, which Altria Group, Inc. expects to complete by the end of 2018. The timing of share repurchases under this program depends upon marketplace conditions and other factors, and the program remains subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors.

Altria Group, Inc.'s share repurchase activity for each of the three months in the period ended December 31, 2017, was as follows:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased ⁽¹⁾	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
October 1- October 31, 2017	2,983,437	\$ 64.36	2,982,371	\$ 383,869,878
November 1- November 30, 2017	2,798,299	\$ 64.98	2,790,984	\$ 202,512,372
December 1- December 31, 2017	2,587,120	\$ 71.16	2,587,120	\$ 18,411,335
For the Quarter Ended December 31, 2017	8,368,856	\$ 66.67	8,360,475	

⁽¹⁾ The total number of shares purchased includes (a) shares purchased under the July 2015 share repurchase program (which totaled 2,982,371 shares in October, 2,790,984 shares in November and 2,587,120 shares in December) and (b) shares withheld by Altria Group, Inc. in an amount equal to the statutory withholding taxes for holders who vested in stock-based awards (which totaled 1,066 shares in October and 7,315 shares in November).

[Table of Contents](#)

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

(in millions of dollars, except per share and employee data)

	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013
Summary of Operations:					
Net revenues	\$ 25,576	\$ 25,744	\$ 25,434	\$ 24,522	\$ 24,466
Cost of sales	7,543	7,746	7,740	7,785	7,206
Excise taxes on products	6,082	6,407	6,580	6,577	6,803
Operating income	9,556	8,762	8,361	7,620	8,084
Interest and other debt expense, net	705	747	817	808	1,049
Earnings from equity investment in AB InBev/SABMiller	532	795	757	1,006	991
Gain on AB InBev/SABMiller business combination	445	13,865	5	—	—
Earnings before income taxes ⁽²⁾	9,828	21,852	8,078	7,774	6,942
Pre-tax profit margin ⁽²⁾	38.4%	84.9%	31.8%	31.7%	28.4%
(Benefit) provision for income taxes ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	(399)	7,608	2,835	2,704	2,407
Net earnings ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	10,227	14,244	5,243	5,070	4,535
Net earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc. ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	10,222	14,239	5,241	5,070	4,535
Basic and Diluted EPS — net earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc. ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	5.31	7.28	2.67	2.56	2.26
Dividends declared per share	2.54	2.35	2.17	2.00	1.84
Weighted average shares (millions) — Basic and Diluted	1,921	1,952	1,961	1,978	1,999
Capital expenditures	199	189	229	163	131
Depreciation	188	183	204	188	192
Property, plant and equipment, net	1,914	1,958	1,982	1,983	2,028
Inventories	2,225	2,051	2,031	2,040	1,879
Total assets ⁽²⁾	43,202	45,932	31,459	33,440	33,858
Long-term debt	13,030	13,881	12,843	13,610	13,907
Total debt	13,894	13,881	12,847	14,610	14,432
Total stockholders' equity ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	15,380	12,773	2,873	3,010	4,118
Common dividends declared as a % of Basic and Diluted EPS ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	47.8%	32.3%	81.3%	78.1%	81.4%
Book value per common share outstanding ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	8.09	6.57	1.47	1.53	2.07
Market price per common share — high/low	77.79-60.01	70.15-56.15	61.74-47.31	51.67-33.80	38.58-31.85
Closing price per common share at year end	71.41	67.62	58.21	49.27	38.39
Price/earnings ratio at year end — Basic and Diluted ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	13	9	22	19	17
Number of common shares outstanding at year end (millions)	1,901	1,943	1,960	1,971	1,993
Approximate number of employees	8,300	8,300	8,800	9,000	9,000

⁽¹⁾ Certain 2017 amounts include the impact of the enactment of the Tax Reform Act (as defined in Item 7). For further discussion, see Note 14 in Item 8.

⁽²⁾ Certain 2016 amounts include the impact of the gain on AB InBev/SABMiller business combination. For further information, see Note 6 in Item 8.

The Selected Financial Data should be read in conjunction with Item 7 and Item 8.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the other sections of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, including the consolidated financial statements and related notes contained in Item 8, and the discussion of cautionary factors that may affect future results in Item 1A.

Description of the Company

At December 31, 2017, Altria Group, Inc.'s wholly-owned subsidiaries included PM USA, which is engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes in the United States; Middleton, which is engaged in the manufacture and sale of machine-made large cigars and pipe tobacco and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of PM USA; Nat Sherman, which is engaged in the manufacture and sale of super premium cigarettes and the sale of premium cigars; and UST, which through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, including USSTC and Ste. Michelle, is engaged in the manufacture and sale of smokeless tobacco products and wine. Altria Group, Inc.'s other operating companies included Nu Mark, a wholly-owned subsidiary that is engaged in the manufacture and sale of innovative tobacco products, and PMCC, a wholly-owned subsidiary that maintains a portfolio of finance assets, substantially all of which are leveraged leases. Other Altria Group, Inc. wholly-owned subsidiaries included Altria Group Distribution Company, which provides sales and distribution services to certain Altria Group, Inc. operating subsidiaries, and Altria Client Services LLC, which provides various support services in areas, such as legal, regulatory, consumer engagement, finance, human resources and external affairs to Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries. In addition, Nu Mark, Middleton and Nat Sherman use third-party arrangements in the manufacture of their products. Altria Group, Inc.'s access to the operating cash flows of its wholly-owned subsidiaries consists of cash received from the payment of dividends and distributions, and the payment of interest on intercompany loans by its subsidiaries. At December 31, 2017, Altria Group, Inc.'s principal wholly-owned subsidiaries were not limited by long-term debt or other agreements in their ability to pay cash dividends or make other distributions with respect to their equity interests.

At September 30, 2016, Altria Group, Inc. had an approximate 27% ownership of SABMiller, which Altria Group, Inc. accounted for under the equity method of accounting. In October 2016, Legacy AB InBev completed the Transaction, and AB InBev became the holding company for the combined SABMiller and Legacy AB InBev businesses. Upon completion of the Transaction, Altria Group, Inc. had a 9.6% ownership of AB InBev based on AB InBev's shares outstanding. Subsequently, Altria Group, Inc. purchased approximately 12 million ordinary shares of AB InBev, increasing Altria Group, Inc.'s ownership to approximately 10.2% at December 31, 2016. At December 31, 2017, Altria

Group, Inc. had an approximate 10.2% ownership of AB InBev, which Altria Group, Inc. accounts for under the equity method of accounting using a one-quarter lag. As a result of the one-quarter lag and the timing of the completion of the Transaction, no earnings from Altria Group, Inc.'s equity investment in AB InBev were recorded for the year ended December 31, 2016. Altria Group, Inc. receives cash dividends on its interest in AB InBev if and when AB InBev pays such dividends. For further discussion, see Note 6. *Investment in AB InBev/SABMiller* to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 ("Note 6").

Altria Group, Inc.'s reportable segments are smokeable products, smokeless products and wine. The financial services and the innovative tobacco products businesses are included in an all other category due to the continued reduction of the lease portfolio of PMCC and the relative financial contribution of Altria Group, Inc.'s innovative tobacco products businesses to Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated results.

In January 2017, Altria Group, Inc. acquired Nat Sherman, which joined PM USA and Middleton as part of Altria Group, Inc.'s smokeable products segment.

Executive Summary

The following executive summary is intended to provide significant highlights of the Discussion and Analysis that follows.

Consolidated Results of Operations

The changes in Altria Group, Inc.'s net earnings and diluted earnings per share ("EPS") attributable to Altria Group, Inc. for the year ended December 31, 2017, from the year ended December 31, 2016, were due primarily to the following:

(in millions, except per share data)	Net Earnings	Diluted EPS
For the year ended December 31, 2016	\$ 14,239	\$ 7.28
2016 NPM Adjustment Items	11	0.01
2016 Asset impairment, exit, implementation and acquisition-related costs	135	0.07
2016 Tobacco and health litigation items	71	0.04
2016 SABMiller special items	(57)	(0.03)
2016 Loss on early extinguishment of debt	541	0.28
2016 Patent litigation settlement	13	0.01
2016 Gain on AB InBev/SABMiller business combination	(9,001)	(4.61)
2016 Tax items	(30)	(0.02)
Subtotal 2016 special items	(8,317)	(4.25)
2017 NPM Adjustment Items	(2)	—
2017 Asset impairment, exit, implementation and acquisition-related costs	(55)	(0.03)
2017 Tobacco and health litigation items	(50)	(0.03)
2017 AB InBev special items	(105)	(0.05)
2017 Gain on AB InBev/SABMiller business combination	289	0.15
2017 Settlement charge for lump sum pension payments	(49)	(0.03)
2017 Tax items	3,674	1.91
Subtotal 2017 special items	3,702	1.92
Fewer shares outstanding	—	0.05
Change in tax rate	124	0.06
Operations	474	0.25
For the year ended December 31, 2017	\$ 10,222	\$ 5.31

See the discussion of events affecting the comparability of statement of earnings amounts in the Consolidated Operating Results section of the following Discussion and Analysis.

- **Fewer Shares Outstanding:** Fewer shares outstanding during 2017 compared with 2016 were due primarily to shares repurchased by Altria Group, Inc. under its share repurchase program.
- **Change in Tax Rate:** The change in tax rate was driven primarily by no tax being due on the dividends Altria Group, Inc. received from AB InBev during 2017 as a result of a deemed repatriation tax associated with the Tax Reform Act (as defined below). For further discussion, see Note 14. *Income Taxes* to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 ("Note 14").

- **Operations:** The increase of \$474 million in operations shown in the table above was due primarily to higher income from the smokeable products and smokeless products segments.

For further details, see the Consolidated Operating Results and Operating Results by Business Segment sections of the following Discussion and Analysis.

2018 Forecasted Results

In February 2018, Altria Group, Inc. forecasted that its 2018 full-year adjusted diluted EPS growth rate is expected to be in the range of 15% to 19% over 2017 full-year adjusted diluted EPS. This forecasted growth rate excludes the income and expense items in the table below. Altria Group, Inc.'s 2018 guidance reflects investments in focus areas for long-term growth, including innovative product development and launches, regulatory science, brand equity, retail fixtures and future retail concepts. Altria Group, Inc. expects its 2018 full-year adjusted effective tax rate will be in a range of approximately 23% to 24%.

Altria Group, Inc.'s full-year adjusted diluted EPS guidance and full-year forecast for its adjusted effective tax rate exclude the impact of certain income and expense items that management believes are not part of underlying operations. These items may include, for example, loss on early extinguishment of debt, restructuring charges, gain on the Transaction, AB InBev/SABMiller special items, certain tax items, charges associated with tobacco and health litigation items, and resolutions of certain non-participating manufacturer ("NPM") adjustment disputes under the 1998 Master Settlement Agreement (such dispute resolutions are referred to as "NPM Adjustment Items" and are more fully described in *Health Care Cost Recovery Litigation - NPM Adjustment Disputes* in Note 18).

Altria Group, Inc.'s management cannot estimate on a forward-looking basis the impact of certain income and expense items, including those items noted in the preceding paragraph, on Altria Group, Inc.'s reported diluted EPS and reported effective tax rate because these items, which could be significant, may be infrequent, are difficult to predict and may be highly variable. As a result, Altria Group, Inc. does not provide a corresponding United States generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP") measure for, or reconciliation to, its adjusted diluted EPS guidance or its adjusted effective tax rate forecast.

In addition, the factors described in Item 1A represent continuing risks to this forecast.

[Table of Contents](#)

Expense (Income), Net Excluded from Adjusted Diluted EPS

	2018	2017
Asset impairment, exit, implementation and acquisition-related costs	\$ —	\$ 0.03
Tobacco and health litigation items	—	0.03
AB InBev special items	—	0.05
Gain on AB InBev/SABMiller business combination	—	(0.15)
Settlement charge for lump sum pension payments	—	0.03
Tax items	0.09 ⁽¹⁾	(1.91)
	\$ 0.09	\$ (1.92)

⁽¹⁾ Represents tax expense for a tax basis adjustment related to the deemed repatriation tax associated with the Tax Reform Act (as defined below). For further discussion, see Note 14.

Altria Group, Inc. reports its financial results in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Altria Group, Inc.'s management reviews certain financial results, including diluted EPS, on an adjusted basis, which excludes certain income and expense items, including those items noted above. Altria Group, Inc.'s management does not view any of these special items to be part of Altria Group, Inc.'s underlying results as they may be highly variable, may be infrequent, are difficult to predict and can distort underlying business trends and results. Altria Group, Inc.'s management also reviews income tax rates on an adjusted basis. Altria Group, Inc.'s adjusted effective tax rate may exclude certain tax items from its reported effective tax rate. Altria Group, Inc.'s management believes that adjusted financial measures provide useful additional insight into underlying business trends and results and provide a more meaningful comparison of year-over-year results. Adjusted financial measures are used by management and regularly provided to the CODM for planning, forecasting and evaluating business and financial performance, including allocating resources and evaluating results relative to employee compensation targets. These adjusted financial measures are not consistent with U.S. GAAP and may not be calculated the same as similarly titled measures used by other companies. These adjusted financial measures should thus be considered as supplemental in nature and not considered in isolation or as a substitute for the related financial information prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Discussion and Analysis

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Note 2 includes a summary of the significant accounting policies and methods used in the preparation of Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated financial statements. In most instances, Altria Group, Inc. must use an accounting policy or method because it is the only policy or method permitted under U.S. GAAP.

The preparation of financial statements includes the use of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent liabilities at the dates of the financial statements and the reported amounts

of net revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. If actual amounts are ultimately different from previous estimates, the revisions are included in Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated results of operations for the period in which the actual amounts become known. Historically, the aggregate differences, if any, between Altria Group, Inc.'s estimates and actual amounts in any year have not had a significant impact on its consolidated financial statements.

The following is a review of the more significant assumptions and estimates, as well as the accounting policies and methods, used in the preparation of Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated financial statements:

- **Consolidation:** The consolidated financial statements include Altria Group, Inc., as well as its wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries. Investments in which Altria Group, Inc. has the ability to exercise significant influence are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

- **Revenue Recognition:** Altria Group, Inc.'s businesses recognize revenues, net of sales incentives and sales returns, and including shipping and handling charges billed to customers, upon shipment of goods when title and risk of loss pass to customers. Payments received in advance of revenue recognition are deferred and recorded in other accrued liabilities until revenue is recognized. Altria Group, Inc.'s businesses also include excise taxes billed to customers in net revenues. Shipping and handling costs are classified as part of cost of sales.

- **Depreciation, Amortization, Impairment Testing and Asset Valuation:** Altria Group, Inc. depreciates property, plant and equipment and amortizes its definite-lived intangible assets using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Machinery and equipment are depreciated over periods up to 25 years, and buildings and building improvements over periods up to 50 years. Definite-lived intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful lives up to 25 years.

Altria Group, Inc. reviews long-lived assets, including definite-lived intangible assets, for impairment whenever events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be fully recoverable. Altria Group, Inc. performs undiscounted operating cash flow analyses to determine if an impairment exists. These analyses are affected by general economic conditions and projected growth rates. For purposes of recognition and measurement of an impairment for assets held for use, Altria Group, Inc. groups assets and liabilities at the lowest level for which cash flows are separately identifiable. If an impairment is determined to exist, any related impairment loss is calculated based on fair value. Impairment losses on assets to be disposed of, if any, are based on the estimated proceeds to be received, less costs of disposal. Altria Group, Inc. also reviews the estimated remaining useful lives of long-lived assets whenever events or changes in business circumstances indicate the lives may have changed.

[Table of Contents](#)

Substantially all of the goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets recorded by Altria Group, Inc. at December 31, 2017 relate to the acquisitions of Nat Sherman in 2017, Green Smoke in 2014, UST in 2009 and Middleton in 2007. Altria Group, Inc. conducts a required annual review of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for potential impairment, and more frequently if an event occurs or circumstances change that would require Altria Group, Inc. to perform an interim review. If the carrying value of goodwill exceeds its fair value, goodwill is considered impaired. The amount of impairment loss is measured as the difference between the carrying value and the implied fair value. If the carrying value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset exceeds its fair value, the indefinite-lived intangible asset is considered impaired and is reduced to fair value. For substantially all goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets, the fair values are determined using discounted cash flows.

Goodwill by reporting unit and indefinite-lived intangible assets at December 31, 2017 were as follows:

(in millions)	Goodwill		Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets	
Cigarettes	\$	22	\$	172
Smokeless products		5,023		8,801
Cigars		77		2,640
Wine		74		287
E-vapor		111		31
Other		—		194
Total	\$	5,307	\$	12,125

During 2017, 2016 and 2015, Altria Group, Inc. completed its quantitative annual impairment test of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets, and no impairment charges resulted. At December 31, 2017, the estimated fair values of all reporting units and the indefinite-lived intangible assets within those reporting units substantially exceeded their carrying values, except for the *Columbia Crest* trademark within the wine reporting unit. At December 31, 2017, the fair value of the *Columbia Crest* trademark exceeded its book value of \$54 million by approximately 9%. Results for *Columbia Crest* in 2017 were negatively impacted by increased competitive activity and continued trade inventory reductions.

In 2017, Altria Group, Inc. used an income approach to estimate the fair values of substantially all of its reporting units and indefinite-lived intangible assets. The income approach reflects the discounting of expected future cash flows to their present value at a rate of return that incorporates the risk-free rate for the use of those funds, the expected rate of inflation and the risks associated with realizing expected future cash flows. The weighted-average discount rate used in performing the valuations was approximately 9%.

In performing the 2017 discounted cash flow analysis, Altria Group, Inc. made various judgments, estimates and assumptions, the most significant of which were volume, income, growth rates and discount rates. The analysis incorporated assumptions used in Altria Group, Inc.'s long-term financial forecast, which is used by Altria Group, Inc.'s

management to evaluate business and financial performance, including allocating resources and evaluating results relative to setting employee compensation targets. The assumptions incorporated the highest and best use of Altria Group, Inc.'s indefinite-lived intangible assets and also included perpetual growth rates for periods beyond the long-term financial forecast. The perpetual growth rate used in performing all of the valuations was 2%. Fair value calculations are sensitive to changes in these estimates and assumptions, some of which relate to broader macroeconomic conditions outside of Altria Group, Inc.'s control.

Although Altria Group, Inc.'s discounted cash flow analysis is based on assumptions that are considered reasonable and based on the best available information at the time that the discounted cash flow analysis is developed, there is significant judgment used in determining future cash flows. The following factors have the most potential to impact expected future cash flows and, therefore, Altria Group, Inc.'s impairment conclusions: general economic conditions; federal, state and local regulatory developments; category growth rates; consumer preferences; success of planned product expansions; competitive activity; and income and tobacco-related taxes. For further discussion of these factors, see *Operating Results by Business Segment - Tobacco Space - Business Environment* below.

While Altria Group, Inc.'s management believes that the estimated fair values of each reporting unit and indefinite-lived intangible asset are reasonable, actual performance in the short-term or long-term could be significantly different from forecasted performance, which could result in impairment charges in future periods.

For additional information on goodwill and other intangible assets, see Note 3.

- **Marketing Costs:** Altria Group, Inc.'s businesses promote their products with consumer engagement programs, consumer incentives and trade promotions. Such programs include discounts, coupons, rebates, in-store display incentives, event marketing and volume-based incentives. Consumer engagement programs are expensed as incurred. Consumer incentive and trade promotion activities are recorded as a reduction of revenues, a portion of which is based on amounts estimated as being due to wholesalers, retailers and consumers at the end of a period, based principally on historical volume, utilization and redemption rates. For interim reporting purposes, consumer engagement programs and certain consumer incentive expenses are charged to operations as a percentage of sales, based on estimated sales and related expenses for the full year.

- **Contingencies:** As discussed in Note 18 and Item 3, legal proceedings covering a wide range of matters are pending or threatened in various United States and foreign jurisdictions against Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries, including PM USA and UST and its subsidiaries, as well as their respective indemnitees. In 1998, PM USA and certain other U.S. tobacco product manufacturers entered into the 1998 Master Settlement

Agreement (the “MSA”) with 46 states and various other governments and jurisdictions to settle asserted and unasserted health care cost recovery and other claims. PM USA and certain other U.S. tobacco product manufacturers had previously entered into agreements to settle similar claims brought by Mississippi, Florida, Texas and Minnesota (together with the MSA, the “State Settlement Agreements”). PM USA’s portion of ongoing adjusted payments and legal fees is based on its relative share of the settling manufacturers’ domestic cigarette shipments, including roll-your-own cigarettes, in the year preceding that in which the payment is due. In addition, PM USA, Middleton, Nat Sherman and USSTC are subject to quarterly user fees imposed by the FDA as a result of the FSPTCA. Payments under the State Settlement Agreements and the FDA user fees are based on variable factors, such as volume, operating income, market share and inflation, depending on the subject payment. Altria Group, Inc.’s subsidiaries account for the cost of the State Settlement Agreements and FDA user fees as a component of cost of sales. Altria Group, Inc.’s subsidiaries recorded approximately \$4.7 billion, \$4.9 billion and \$4.8 billion of charges to cost of sales for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively, in connection with the State Settlement Agreements and FDA user fees.

Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries record provisions in the consolidated financial statements for pending litigation when they determine that an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. At the present time, while it is reasonably possible that an unfavorable outcome in a case may occur, except to the extent discussed in Note 18 and Item 3: (i) management has concluded that it is not probable that a loss has been incurred in any of the pending tobacco-related cases; (ii) management is unable to estimate the possible loss or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome in any of the pending tobacco-related cases; and (iii) accordingly, management has not provided any amounts in the consolidated financial statements for unfavorable outcomes, if any. Litigation defense costs are expensed as incurred and included in marketing, administration and research costs in the consolidated statements of earnings.

- **Employee Benefit Plans:** As discussed in Note 16. *Benefit Plans* to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 (“Note 16”), Altria Group, Inc. provides a range of benefits to its employees and retired employees, including pension, postretirement health care and postemployment benefits. Altria Group, Inc. records annual amounts relating to these plans based on calculations specified by U.S. GAAP, which include various actuarial assumptions as to discount rates, assumed rates of return on plan assets, mortality, compensation increases, turnover rates and health care cost trend rates. Altria Group, Inc. reviews its actuarial assumptions on an annual basis and makes modifications to the assumptions based on current rates and trends when it is deemed appropriate to do so. Any effect of the modifications is generally amortized over future periods.

Altria Group, Inc. recognizes the funded status of its defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans on the consolidated balance sheet and records as a component of other comprehensive earnings (losses), net of deferred income taxes, the gains or losses and prior service costs or credits that have not been recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost. The gains or losses and prior service costs or credits recorded as components of other comprehensive earnings (losses) are subsequently amortized into net periodic benefit cost in future years.

At December 31, 2017, Altria Group, Inc.’s discount rate assumptions for its pension and postretirement plans obligations decreased from 4.1% to 3.7% at December 31, 2017. Altria Group, Inc. presently anticipates an increase of approximately \$30 million in its 2018 pre-tax pension and postretirement expense versus 2017, excluding amounts in each year related to termination, settlement and curtailment. This anticipated increase is due primarily to higher amortization of unrecognized losses, driven by the impact of lower discount rates, partially offset by the expected return on postretirement assets resulting from the December 2017 \$270 million contribution to fund certain postretirement benefits. Assuming no change to the shape of the yield curve, a 50 basis point decrease in Altria Group, Inc.’s discount rates would increase Altria Group, Inc.’s pension and postretirement expense by approximately \$53 million, and a 50 basis point increase in Altria Group, Inc.’s discount rates would decrease Altria Group, Inc.’s pension and postretirement expense by approximately \$49 million. Similarly, a 50 basis point decrease (increase) in the expected return on plan assets would increase (decrease) Altria Group, Inc.’s pension and postretirement expense by approximately \$38 million. See Note 16 for a sensitivity discussion of the assumed health care cost trend rates.

- **Income Taxes:** Significant judgment is required in determining income tax provisions and in evaluating tax positions. Altria Group, Inc.’s deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities, using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. Altria Group, Inc. records a valuation allowance when it is more-likely-than-not that some portion or all of a deferred tax asset will not be realized.

Altria Group, Inc. recognizes a benefit for uncertain tax positions when a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon examination by taxing authorities. The amount recognized is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Altria Group, Inc. recognizes accrued interest and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions as part of the provision for income taxes in its consolidated statements of earnings.

Altria Group, Inc. recognized income tax benefits and charges in the consolidated statements of earnings during 2017, 2016 and 2015 as a result of various tax events, including the impact of the Tax Reform Act (as defined below).

Table of Contents

On December 22, 2017, the U.S. Government enacted comprehensive tax legislation commonly referred to as the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the “Tax Reform Act”). The main provisions of the Tax Reform Act that impact Altria Group, Inc. include: (i) a reduction in the U.S. federal statutory corporate income tax rate from 35% to 21% effective January 1, 2018, and (ii) changes in the treatment of foreign-source income, commonly referred to as a modified territorial tax system.

The transition to a modified territorial tax system required Altria Group, Inc. to record a deemed repatriation tax and an associated tax basis benefit in 2017. The tax impact related to the tax basis benefit and the deemed repatriation tax was based on provisional estimates as of January 18, 2018, substantially all of which were related to Altria Group, Inc.’s share of AB InBev’s accumulated earnings and associated taxes. Altria Group, Inc. may be required to adjust these provisional estimates based on (i) additional guidance related to, or interpretation of, the Tax Reform Act and associated tax laws and (ii) additional information to be received from AB InBev, including information regarding AB InBev’s accumulated earnings and associated taxes for the 2016 and 2017 tax years. This additional guidance and information could result in increases or decreases to the provisional estimates, which may be significant in relation to these estimates. Altria Group, Inc. will record any such adjustments in 2018.

For additional information on income taxes, see Note 14.

Consolidated Operating Results

(in millions)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Net Revenues:			
Smokeable products	\$ 22,636	\$ 22,851	\$ 22,792
Smokeless products	2,155	2,051	1,879
Wine	698	746	692
All other	87	96	71
Net revenues	\$ 25,576	\$ 25,744	\$ 25,434
Excise Taxes on Products:			
Smokeable products	\$ 5,927	\$ 6,247	\$ 6,423
Smokeless products	132	135	133
Wine	23	25	24
Excise taxes on products	\$ 6,082	\$ 6,407	\$ 6,580
Operating Income:			
Operating companies income (loss):			
Smokeable products	\$ 8,408	\$ 7,768	\$ 7,569
Smokeless products	1,300	1,177	1,108
Wine	147	164	152
All other	(51)	(99)	(169)
Amortization of intangibles	(21)	(21)	(21)
General corporate expenses	(227)	(222)	(237)
Reductions of PMI tax-related receivable	—	—	(41)
Corporate asset impairment and exit costs	—	(5)	—
Operating income	\$ 9,556	\$ 8,762	\$ 8,361

As discussed further in Note 15, the CODM reviews operating companies income to evaluate the performance of, and allocate resources to, the segments. Operating companies income for the segments is defined as operating income before general corporate expenses and amortization of intangibles. Management believes it is appropriate to disclose this measure to help investors analyze the business performance and trends of the various business segments.

The following events that occurred during 2017, 2016 and 2015 affected the comparability of statement of earnings amounts.

- **Gain on AB InBev/SABMiller Business Combination:** For the year ended December 31, 2017, Altria Group, Inc. recorded pre-tax gains of \$445 million related to the planned completion of the remaining AB InBev divestitures of certain SABMiller assets and businesses in connection with Legacy AB InBev obtaining necessary regulatory clearances for the Transaction. As a result of the Transaction, for the year ended December 31, 2016, Altria Group, Inc. recorded a pre-tax gain of approximately \$13.9 billion. For further discussion, see Note 6.
- **NPM Adjustment Items:** For the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, pre-tax expense (income) for NPM Adjustment Items was recorded in Altria Group, Inc.’s consolidated statements of earnings as follows:

(in millions)	2017	2016	2015
Smokeable products segment	\$ (5)	\$ 12	\$ (97)
Interest and other debt expense, net	9	6	13
Total	\$ 4	\$ 18	\$ (84)

The amounts shown in the table above for the smokeable products segment were recorded by PM USA as increases (reductions) to costs of sales, which decreased (increased) operating companies income in the smokeable products segment. For further discussion, see *Health Care Cost Recovery Litigation - NPM Adjustment Disputes* in Note 18.

- **Tobacco and Health Litigation Items:** For the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, pre-tax charges related to certain tobacco and health litigations items were recorded in Altria Group, Inc.’s consolidated statements of earnings as follows:

(in millions)	2017	2016	2015
Smokeable products segment	\$ 72	\$ 88	\$ 127
Interest and other debt expense, net	8	17	23
Total	\$ 80	\$ 105	\$ 150

During 2017, PM USA recorded pre-tax charges of \$72 million in marketing, administration and research costs and \$8 million in interest costs, substantially all of which related to 11 *Engle* progeny cases.

During 2016, PM USA recorded pre-tax charges of \$88 million in marketing, administration and research costs, primarily

[Table of Contents](#)

related to settlements in the *Miner* and *Aspinall* cases totaling approximately \$67 million and \$16 million related to a judgment in the *Merino* case. In addition, during 2016, PM USA recorded \$17 million in interest costs primarily related to *Aspinall*.

During 2015, PM USA recorded pre-tax charges in marketing, administration and research costs in seven state *Engle* progeny cases and *Schwarz* of \$59 million and \$25 million, respectively, as well as \$14 million and \$9 million, respectively, in interest costs related to these cases. Additionally in 2015, PM USA and certain other cigarette manufacturers reached an agreement to resolve approximately 415 pending federal *Engle* progeny cases. As a result of the agreement, PM USA recorded a pre-tax provision of approximately \$43 million in marketing, administration and research costs.

For further discussion, see Note 18.

▪ **Settlement for Lump Sum Pension Payments:** In the third quarter of 2017, Altria Group, Inc. made a voluntary, limited-time offer to former employees with vested benefits in the Altria Retirement Plan who had not commenced receiving benefit payments and who met certain other conditions. Eligible participants were offered the opportunity to make a one-time election to receive their pension benefit as a single lump sum payment or as a monthly annuity. As a result of the 2017 lump sum distributions, a one-time pre-tax settlement charge of \$81 million was recorded in 2017 in Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated statement of earnings as follows:

For the Year Ended December 31, 2017			
(in millions)	Cost of Sales	Marketing, Administration and Research Costs	Total
Smokeable products	\$ 39	\$ 18	\$ 57
Smokeless products	—	16	16
General corporate and other	—	8	8
Total	\$ 39	\$ 42	\$ 81

For further discussion, see Note 16.

▪ **Asset Impairment, Exit, Implementation, Integration and Acquisition-Related Costs:** Pre-tax asset impairment, exit, implementation, integration and acquisition-related costs for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 were \$89 million, \$206 million and \$11 million, respectively.

In October 2016, Altria Group, Inc. announced the consolidation of certain of its operating companies' manufacturing facilities to streamline operations and achieve greater efficiencies. The consolidation is expected to be substantially completed by the end of the first quarter of 2018 and deliver approximately \$50 million in annualized cost savings by the end of 2018.

As a result of the consolidation, Altria Group, Inc. expects to record total pre-tax charges of approximately \$150 million, or \$0.05 per share. Of this amount, during 2017, Altria Group, Inc.

recorded pre-tax charges of \$78 million and, in 2016, recorded \$71 million.

In January 2016, Altria Group, Inc. announced a productivity initiative designed to maintain its operating companies' leadership and cost competitiveness. The initiative, which reduces spending on certain selling, general and administrative infrastructure and implements a leaner organizational structure, delivered Altria Group, Inc.'s goal of approximately \$300 million in annualized productivity savings as of December 31, 2017. As a result of the initiative, during 2016, Altria Group, Inc. incurred total pre-tax restructuring charges of \$132 million. Total pre-tax charges related to the initiative have been completed.

For further discussion on asset impairment, exit and implementation costs, including a breakdown of these costs by segment, see Note 4.

▪ **Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt:** During 2016 and 2015, Altria Group, Inc. completed debt tender offers to purchase for cash certain of its senior unsecured notes in aggregate principal amounts of \$0.9 billion and \$0.8 billion, respectively.

As a result of these debt tender offers, pre-tax losses on early extinguishment of debt were recorded as follows:

(in millions)	2016	2015
Premiums and fees	\$ 809	\$ 226
Write-off of unamortized debt discounts and debt issuance costs	14	2
Total	\$ 823	\$ 228

For further discussion, see Note 9. *Long-Term Debt* to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 ("Note 9").

▪ **AB InBev/SABMiller Special Items:** Altria Group, Inc.'s earnings from its equity investment in AB InBev for 2017 included net pre-tax charges of \$160 million, consisting primarily of Altria Group, Inc.'s share of AB InBev's Brazilian tax item and Altria Group, Inc.'s share of AB InBev's mark-to-market losses on AB InBev's derivative financial instruments used to hedge certain share commitments. Altria Group, Inc.'s earnings from its equity investment in SABMiller for 2016 included net pre-tax income of \$89 million, due primarily to a pre-tax non-cash gain of \$309 million, reflecting Altria Group, Inc.'s share of SABMiller's increase to shareholders' equity, resulting from the completion of the SABMiller, The Coca-Cola Company and Gutsche Family Investments transaction, combining bottling operations in Africa, partially offset by Altria Group, Inc.'s share of SABMiller's costs related to the Transaction and asset impairment charges. Altria Group, Inc.'s earnings from its equity investment in SABMiller for 2015 included net pre-tax charges of \$126 million, consisting primarily of Altria Group, Inc.'s share of SABMiller's asset impairment charges.

▪ **Tax Items:** Tax items for 2017 included net tax benefits of \$3,367 million related to the Tax Reform Act recorded in the

fourth quarter of 2017 as follows: (i) a tax benefit of \$3,017 million to re-measure Altria Group, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries' net deferred tax liabilities based on the new U.S. federal statutory rate; and (ii) a net tax benefit of \$763 million for a tax basis adjustment associated with the deemed repatriation tax, partially offset by tax expense of \$413 million for the deemed repatriation tax. Additional tax items for 2017 included tax benefits for the release of a valuation allowance related to deferred income tax assets for foreign tax credit carryforwards; and tax benefits related primarily to the effective settlement in 2017 of the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") audit of Altria Group, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries' 2010-2013 tax years ("IRS 2010-2013 Audit"), partially offset by tax expense for tax reserves related to the calculation of certain foreign tax credits. Tax items for 2016 primarily included the reversal of tax accruals no longer required. Tax items for 2015 primarily included the reversal of tax reserves and associated interest due primarily to the closure in August 2015 of the IRS audit of Altria Group, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries' 2007-2009 tax years, partially offset by a reversal of foreign tax credits primarily associated with SABMiller dividends. For further discussion, see Note 14.

2017 Compared with 2016

The following discussion compares consolidated operating results for the year ended December 31, 2017 with the year ended December 31, 2016.

Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, decreased \$168 million (0.7%), due primarily to lower net revenues in the smokeable products and wine segments, partially offset by higher net revenues in the smokeless products segment.

Cost of sales decreased \$203 million (2.6%), due primarily to lower smokeable products segment shipment volume, partially offset by higher per unit settlement charges.

Excise taxes on products decreased \$325 million (5.1%), due primarily to lower smokeable products segment shipment volume.

Marketing, administration and research costs decreased \$288 million (10.9%), due primarily to lower costs in the smokeable products segment.

Operating income increased \$794 million (9.1%), due primarily to higher operating results from the smokeable and smokeless products segments (which included lower asset impairment and exit costs).

Interest and other debt expense, net, decreased \$42 million (5.6%), due primarily to lower interest costs on debt in 2017 as a result of debt refinancing activities in 2016 and higher interest income due to higher interest rates in 2017.

Earnings from Altria Group, Inc.'s equity investment in AB InBev/SABMiller, which decreased \$263 million (33.1%), were negatively impacted by AB InBev/SABMiller special items.

Altria Group, Inc.'s effective income tax rate decreased 38.9 percentage points to an effective income tax benefit rate of 4.1%, substantially all of which is due to the Tax Reform Act. For further discussion, see Note 14.

Net earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc. of \$10,222 million decreased \$4,017 million (28.2%), due primarily to a lower gain on the Transaction in 2017 and lower earnings from Altria Group, Inc.'s equity investment in AB InBev/SABMiller, partially offset by a lower effective income tax rate, a loss on early extinguishment of debt in 2016 and higher operating income. Diluted and basic EPS attributable to Altria Group, Inc. of \$5.31, each decreased by 27.1% due to lower net earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc., partially offset by fewer shares outstanding.

2016 Compared with 2015

The following discussion compares consolidated operating results for the year ended December 31, 2016 with the year ended December 31, 2015.

Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased \$310 million (1.2%), due primarily to higher net revenues in the smokeless products, smokeable products and wine segments.

Cost of sales was essentially unchanged as higher per unit settlement charges and NPM Adjustment Items in 2015 were offset by lower shipment volume and lower pension and benefit costs in the smokeable products segment.

Excise taxes on products decreased \$173 million (2.6%), due primarily to lower smokeable products shipment volume.

Marketing, administration and research costs decreased \$58 million (2.1%), due primarily to lower costs in the smokeable products segment (which included lower tobacco and health litigation items), partially offset by higher costs in the smokeless products segment.

Operating income increased \$401 million (4.8%), due primarily to higher operating results from the smokeable products and smokeless products segments (which included asset impairment, exit and implementation costs in connection with the facilities consolidation and productivity initiative in 2016), lower investment spending in the innovative tobacco products businesses, a reduction of a PMI tax-related receivable in 2015 and higher operating results from the financial services business.

Interest and other debt expense, net, decreased \$70 million (8.6%), due primarily to lower interest costs on debt as a result of a debt maturity in 2015 and debt tender offers in 2016 and 2015.

Earnings from Altria Group, Inc.'s equity investment in SABMiller, which increased \$38 million (5.0%), were positively impacted by SABMiller special items, mostly offset by three fewer months of SABMiller's earnings in 2016 versus 2015, as a result of the timing of the completion of the Transaction.

Net earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc. of \$14,239 million increased \$8,998 million (171.7%), due primarily to the gain on the Transaction, higher operating income and lower interest and other debt expense, partially offset by a higher loss on early extinguishment of debt. Diluted and basic EPS attributable to Altria Group, Inc. of \$7.28, each increased by

172.7% due to higher net earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc. and fewer shares outstanding.

Operating Results by Business Segment

Tobacco Space

Business Environment

Summary

The United States tobacco industry faces a number of business and legal challenges that have adversely affected and may adversely affect the business and sales volume of our tobacco subsidiaries and our consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position. These challenges, some of which are discussed in more detail below, in Note 18, Item 1A and Item 3, include:

- pending and threatened litigation and bonding requirements;
- the requirement to issue “corrective statements” in various media in connection with the federal government’s lawsuit;
- restrictions and requirements imposed by the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act (“FSPTCA”), and restrictions and requirements (and related enforcement actions) that have been, and in the future will be, imposed by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”);
- actual and proposed excise tax increases, as well as changes in tax structures and tax stamping requirements;
- bans and restrictions on tobacco use imposed by governmental entities and private establishments and employers;
- other federal, state and local government actions, including:
 - increases in the minimum age to purchase tobacco products above the current federal minimum age of 18;
 - restrictions on the sale of tobacco products by certain retail establishments, the sale of certain tobacco products with certain characterizing flavors (such as menthol) and the sale of tobacco products in certain package sizes;
 - additional restrictions on the advertising and promotion of tobacco products;
 - other actual and proposed tobacco product legislation and regulation; and
 - governmental investigations;
- the diminishing prevalence of cigarette smoking and increased efforts by tobacco control advocates and others (including retail establishments) to further restrict tobacco use;
- changes in adult tobacco consumer purchase behavior, which is influenced by various factors such as economic

conditions, excise taxes and price gap relationships, may result in adult tobacco consumers switching to discount products or other lower priced tobacco products;

- the highly competitive nature of the tobacco categories in which our tobacco subsidiaries operate, including competitive disadvantages related to cigarette price increases attributable to the settlement of certain litigation;
- illicit trade in tobacco products; and
- potential adverse changes in tobacco leaf and other raw material prices, availability and quality.

In addition to and in connection with the foregoing, evolving adult tobacco consumer preferences pose challenges for Altria Group, Inc.’s tobacco subsidiaries. Our tobacco subsidiaries believe that a significant number of adult tobacco consumers switch between tobacco categories, use multiple forms of tobacco products and try innovative tobacco products, such as e-vapor products. The e-vapor category grew rapidly from 2012 through early 2015 off a small base, but then slowed. The growth trend resumed in 2017. Nu Mark believes the category will continue to be dynamic as adult tobacco consumers explore a variety of tobacco product options.

Altria Group, Inc. and its tobacco subsidiaries work to meet these evolving adult tobacco consumer preferences over time by developing, manufacturing, marketing and distributing products both within and outside the United States through innovation and adjacency growth strategies (including, where appropriate, arrangements with, or investments in, third parties). See the discussions regarding new product technologies, adjacency growth strategy and evolving consumer preferences in Item 1A for certain risks associated with the foregoing discussion.

We have provided additional detail on the following topics below:

- FSPTCA and FDA Regulation;
- Excise Taxes;
- International Treaty on Tobacco Control;
- State Settlement Agreements;
- Other Federal, State and Local Regulation and Activity;
- Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products;
- Price, Availability and Quality of Agricultural Products; and
- Timing of Sales.

FSPTCA and FDA Regulation

- **The Regulatory Framework:** The FSPTCA expressly establishes certain restrictions and prohibitions on our tobacco businesses and authorizes or requires further FDA action. Under the FSPTCA, the FDA has broad authority to (1) regulate the design, manufacture, packaging, advertising, promotion, sale and distribution of tobacco products; (2) require disclosures of related information; and (3) enforce the FSPTCA and related regulations. The FSPTCA went into effect in 2009 for cigarettes, cigarette tobacco and smokeless tobacco products and in August 2016 for

[Table of Contents](#)

all other tobacco products, including cigars, e-vapor products, pipe tobacco and oral tobacco-derived nicotine products (“Other Tobacco Products”). See *FDA Regulatory Actions - Deeming Regulations* below.

- Among other measures, the FSPTCA or its implementing regulations:
- imposes restrictions on the advertising, promotion, sale and distribution of tobacco products, including at retail;
 - bans descriptors such as “light,” “mild” or “low” or similar descriptors when used as descriptors of modified risk unless expressly authorized by the FDA;
 - requires extensive product disclosures to the FDA and may require public disclosures;
 - prohibits any express or implied claims that a tobacco product is or may be less harmful than other tobacco products without FDA authorization;
 - imposes reporting obligations relating to contraband activity and grants the FDA authority to impose recordkeeping and other obligations to address illicit trade in tobacco products;
 - changes the language of the cigarette and smokeless tobacco product health warnings, enlarges their size and requires the development by the FDA of graphic warnings for cigarettes, establishes warning requirements for Other Tobacco Products, and gives the FDA the authority to require new warnings for any type of tobacco products;
 - authorizes the FDA to adopt product regulations and related actions, including imposing tobacco product standards that are appropriate for the protection of the public health (e.g., related to the use of menthol in cigarettes, nicotine yields and other constituents or ingredients) and imposing manufacturing standards for tobacco products (see *FDA’s Comprehensive Regulatory Plan for Tobacco and Nicotine Regulation, and FDA Regulatory Actions - Product Standards* below);
 - establishes pre-market review pathways for new and modified tobacco products for the FDA to follow (see *Pre-Market Review Pathways Including Substantial Equivalence* below); and
 - equips the FDA with a variety of investigatory and enforcement tools, including the authority to inspect tobacco product manufacturing and other facilities.
- **Pre-Market Review Pathways Including Substantial Equivalence:** The FSPTCA imposes restrictions on marketing new and modified tobacco products, requiring FDA review to begin marketing a new product or continue marketing a modified product. Specifically, cigarettes, cigarette tobacco and smokeless tobacco products modified or first introduced into the market after March 22, 2011, and Other Tobacco Products modified or first introduced into the market after August 8, 2016, are subjected to new tobacco product application and pre-market review and authorization requirements unless a manufacturer can demonstrate they are “substantially equivalent” to products commercially marketed as of February 15, 2007. The FDA could deny any such

new tobacco product application, thereby preventing the distribution and sale of any product affected by such denial.

For cigarettes, cigarette tobacco and smokeless tobacco products modified or first introduced into the market between February 15, 2007 and March 22, 2011 (“provisional products”) for which a manufacturer submitted substantial equivalence reports that the FDA determines are not “substantially equivalent” to products commercially marketed as of February 15, 2007, the FDA could require the removal of such products from the marketplace (see *FDA Regulatory Actions - Substantial Equivalence and Other New Product Processes/Pathways* below).

Similarly, the FDA could determine that Other Tobacco Products modified or first introduced into the market between February 15, 2007 and August 8, 2016 for which a manufacturer submits substantial equivalence reports that the FDA determines are not “substantially equivalent” to products commercially marketed as of February 15, 2007, or rejects a new tobacco product application submitted by a manufacturer, both of which could require the removal of such products from the marketplace (see *FDA’s Comprehensive Regulatory Plan for Tobacco and Nicotine Regulation, and FDA Regulatory Actions - Substantial Equivalence and Other New Product Processes/Pathways* below).

Modifications to currently-marketed products, including modifications that result from, for example, a supplier being unable to maintain the consistency required in ingredients or a manufacturer being unable to obtain the ingredients with the required specifications, can trigger the FDA’s pre-market review process described above. As noted, adverse determinations by the FDA during that process could restrict a manufacturer’s ability to continue marketing such products.

- **FDA’s Comprehensive Regulatory Plan for Tobacco and Nicotine Regulation:** In July 2017, the FDA announced a new comprehensive plan for tobacco and nicotine regulation that will serve as the FDA’s multi-year regulatory road map (the “July 2017 Comprehensive Plan”). The FDA has stated its belief that this approach will strike an appropriate balance between regulation and encouraging development of innovative tobacco products that may be less risky than cigarettes. Major components of the July 2017 Comprehensive Plan include the following:
 - the FDA’s planned issuance of advance notices of proposed rulemaking (“ANPRM”) seeking comments for potential future regulations establishing product standards for (i) nicotine in combustible cigarettes, (ii) flavors in tobacco products and (iii) e-vapor products (see *FDA Regulatory Actions - Product Standards* below);
 - the FDA’s planned extension of the timelines to submit applications for Other Tobacco Products that were on the market as of August 8, 2016, which the FDA extended in August 2017 (see *FDA Regulatory Actions - Substantial Equivalence and Other New Product Processes/Pathways* below);

[Table of Contents](#)

- the FDA’s reconsideration of whether its current plan, which is to review all “provisional” products pending in the substantial equivalence queue, is an effective use of its resources and, if not, whether it should continue to pursue its current approach to these reviews (see *FDA Regulatory Actions - Substantial Equivalence and Other New Product Processes/Pathways* below). As previously noted, a “provisional” product refers to cigarettes, cigarette tobacco and smokeless tobacco products modified or first commercially available after February 15, 2007 and before March 22, 2011; and
 - the FDA’s planned issuance of foundational regulations identifying the information the FDA expects to be included in substantial equivalence reports and applications for “new tobacco products” and “modified risk tobacco products.” The FDA also plans to finalize guidance on how it intends to review new product applications for e-vapor products.
- **Implementation Timing, Rulemaking and Guidance:** The implementation of the FSPTCA began in 2009 for cigarettes, cigarette tobacco and smokeless tobacco products and in August 2016 for Other Tobacco Products and will continue over time. The provisions of the FSPTCA that require the FDA to take action through rulemaking generally involve consideration of public comment and, for some issues, scientific review. As required by the FSPTCA, the FDA has established a tobacco product scientific advisory committee (the “TPSAC”), which consists of voting and non-voting members, to provide advice, reports, information and recommendations to the FDA on scientific and health issues relating to tobacco products. TPSAC votes are considered by the FDA, but are not binding. From time to time, the FDA issues guidance that also generally involves public comment, which may be issued in draft or final form.
- Altria Group, Inc.’s tobacco subsidiaries participate actively in processes established by the FDA to develop and implement the FSPTCA’s regulatory framework, including submission of comments to various FDA proposals and participation in public hearings and engagement sessions.
- The implementation of the FSPTCA and related regulations and guidance also may have an impact on enforcement efforts by states, territories and localities of the United States of their laws and regulations as well as of the State Settlement Agreements discussed below (see *State Settlement Agreements* below). Such enforcement efforts may adversely affect our tobacco subsidiaries’ ability to market and sell regulated tobacco products in those states, territories and localities.
- **Impact on Our Business; Compliance Costs and User Fees:** Regulations imposed and other regulatory actions taken by the FDA under the FSPTCA could have a material adverse effect on the business, consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Altria Group, Inc. and its tobacco subsidiaries in a number of different ways. For example, actions by the FDA could:
- impact the consumer acceptability of tobacco products;
 - delay, discontinue or prevent the sale or distribution of existing, new or modified tobacco products;
 - limit adult tobacco consumer choices;
 - impose restrictions on communications with adult tobacco consumers;
 - create a competitive advantage or disadvantage for certain tobacco companies;
 - impose additional manufacturing, labeling or packaging requirements;
 - impose additional restrictions at retail;
 - result in increased illicit trade in tobacco products; or
 - otherwise significantly increase the cost of doing business.
- The failure to comply with FDA regulatory requirements, even inadvertently, and FDA enforcement actions could also have a material adverse effect on the business, consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Altria Group, Inc. and its tobacco subsidiaries.
- The FSPTCA imposes user fees on cigarette, cigarette tobacco, smokeless tobacco, cigar and pipe tobacco manufacturers and importers to pay for the cost of regulation and other matters. The FSPTCA does not impose user fees on e-vapor product manufacturers. The cost of the FDA user fee is allocated first among tobacco product categories subject to FDA regulation and then among manufacturers and importers within each respective category based on their relative market shares, all as prescribed by the statute and FDA regulations. Payments for user fees are adjusted for several factors, including inflation, market share and industry volume. For a discussion of the impact of the FDA user fee payments on Altria Group, Inc., see *Financial Review - Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Aggregate Contractual Obligations - Payments Under State Settlement Agreements and FDA Regulation* below. In addition, compliance with the FSPTCA’s regulatory requirements has resulted and will continue to result in additional costs for our tobacco businesses. The amount of additional compliance and related costs has not been material in any given quarter or year to date period but could become material, either individually or in the aggregate, to one or more of our tobacco subsidiaries.
- **Investigation and Enforcement:** The FDA has a number of investigatory and enforcement tools available to it, including document requests and other required information submissions, facility inspections, examinations and investigations, injunction proceedings, monetary penalties, product withdrawal and recall orders, and product seizures. The use of any of these investigatory or enforcement tools by the FDA could result in significant costs to the tobacco businesses of Altria Group, Inc. or otherwise have a material adverse effect on the business, consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Altria Group, Inc. and its tobacco subsidiaries.

▪ **Final Tobacco Marketing Rule:** As required by the FSPTCA, the FDA re-promulgated in March 2010 a wide range of advertising and promotion restrictions in substantially the same form as regulations that were previously adopted in 1996 (but never imposed on tobacco manufacturers due to a United States Supreme Court ruling) (the “Final Tobacco Marketing Rule”). The May 2016 amendments to the Final Tobacco Marketing Rule (instituted as part of the FDA’s deeming regulations) apply certain provisions to certain “covered tobacco products,” which include cigars, e-vapor products containing nicotine or other tobacco derivatives, pipe tobacco and oral tobacco-derived nicotine products, but do not include any component or part that is not made or derived from tobacco. The Final Tobacco Marketing Rule as so amended:

- bans the use of color and graphics in cigarette and smokeless tobacco product labeling and advertising;
- prohibits the sale of cigarettes, smokeless tobacco and covered tobacco products to persons under the age of 18;
- restricts the use of non-tobacco trade and brand names on cigarettes and smokeless tobacco products;
- requires the sale of cigarettes and smokeless tobacco in direct, face-to-face transactions;
- prohibits sampling of cigarettes and covered tobacco products and prohibits sampling of smokeless tobacco products except in qualified adult-only facilities;
- prohibits the sale or distribution of items such as hats and tee shirts with cigarette or smokeless tobacco brands or logos; and
- prohibits cigarettes and smokeless tobacco brand name sponsorship of any athletic, musical, artistic or other social or cultural event, or any entry or team in any event.

Subject to the limitations described below, the Final Tobacco Marketing Rule took effect in June 2010 for cigarettes and smokeless tobacco products and in August 2016 for covered tobacco products. At the time of the re-promulgation of the Final Tobacco Marketing Rule, the FDA also issued an ANPRM regarding the so-called “1000 foot rule,” which would establish restrictions on the placement of outdoor tobacco advertising in relation to schools and playgrounds. PM USA and USSTC submitted comments on this ANPRM.

Since enactment in 2009, several lawsuits have been filed challenging various provisions of the FSPTCA, the Final Tobacco Marketing Rule and the deeming regulations, including their constitutionality and the scope of the FDA’s authority thereunder. One lawsuit challenged the constitutionality of an FDA regulation that restricts tobacco manufacturers from using the trade or brand name of a non-tobacco product on cigarettes or smokeless tobacco products. The case was dismissed and the FDA agreed not to enforce the current or any amended trade name rule until at least 180 days after rulemaking on the amended rule concludes. In November 2011, the FDA proposed an amended rule, but has not yet issued a final rule. PM USA and USSTC submitted comments on the proposed amended rule.

▪ **FDA Regulatory Actions**

▪ *Graphic Warnings:* In June 2011, as required by the FSPTCA, the FDA issued its final rule to modify the required warnings that appear on cigarette packages and in cigarette advertisements. The FSPTCA requires the warnings to consist of nine new textual warning statements accompanied by color graphics depicting the negative health consequences of smoking. The graphic health warnings will (i) be located beneath the cellophane, and comprise the top 50% of the front and rear panels of cigarette packages and (ii) occupy 20% of a cigarette advertisement and be located at the top of the advertisement. After a legal challenge to the rule initiated by R.J. Reynolds, Lorillard and several other plaintiffs, in which plaintiffs prevailed both at the federal trial and appellate levels, the FDA decided not to seek further review of the U.S. Court of Appeals’ decision and announced its plans to propose a new graphic warnings rule in the future.

▪ *Substantial Equivalence and Other New Product Processes/Pathways:* In general, in order to continue marketing provisional products, manufacturers of such products were required to send to the FDA a report demonstrating substantial equivalence by March 22, 2011 for the FDA to determine if such tobacco products are “substantially equivalent” to products commercially available as of February 15, 2007. All cigarette and smokeless tobacco products currently marketed by PM USA and USSTC are provisional products, as are some of the products currently marketed by Nat Sherman. Our subsidiaries submitted timely substantial equivalence reports for these provisional products and can continue marketing these products unless the FDA makes a determination that a specific provisional product is not substantially equivalent. If the FDA ultimately makes such a determination, it could require the removal of such products from the marketplace. PM USA and USSTC also submitted substantial equivalence reports on products proposed to be marketed after March 22, 2011 (“non-provisional” products). While our cigarette and smokeless tobacco subsidiaries believe all of their current products meet the statutory requirements of the FSPTCA, they cannot predict whether, when or how the FDA ultimately will apply its guidance to their various respective substantial equivalence reports or seek to enforce the law and regulations consistent with its guidance.

PM USA and USSTC have received decisions on certain provisional and non-provisional products, some of which were found to be substantially equivalent and others were found to be not substantially equivalent. The provisional products (all smokeless tobacco products) found to be not substantially equivalent had been discontinued for business reasons prior to the FDA’s determination; therefore, the determinations did not impact business results. In February 2018, USSTC filed a lawsuit challenging the FDA’s determination that certain of its non-provisional products are not substantially equivalent. There remain a significant number of substantial equivalence reports for products for

which the FDA has not announced decisions. At the request of the FDA, our cigarette and smokeless tobacco subsidiaries have provided additional information with respect to certain of these substantial equivalence reports. We cannot predict whether this additional information will be satisfactory to the FDA to result in substantial equivalence determinations for the products covered by those reports. It is also not possible to predict how long reviews by the FDA of substantial equivalence reports or new tobacco product applications for any tobacco product will take. A “not substantially equivalent” determination or denial of a new tobacco product application on one or more products could have a material adverse impact on the business, consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Altria Group, Inc. and its tobacco subsidiaries.

In order to continue marketing Other Tobacco Products modified or introduced into the market for the first time between February 15, 2007 and August 8, 2016, manufacturers originally were required to send to the FDA a report demonstrating substantial equivalence by May 8, 2018 or a new tobacco product application by November 8, 2018. In August 2017, the FDA extended the filing deadlines for combustible Other Tobacco Products, such as cigars and pipe tobacco, to August 8, 2021, and for non-combustible Other Tobacco Products, such as e-vapor and oral nicotine products, to August 8, 2022. The FDA also announced that it will permit manufacturers to continue to market such Other Tobacco Products until the FDA renders a decision on the applicable substantial equivalence report or new tobacco product application.

Because of the limited number of e-vapor products on the market as of February 15, 2007, Nu Mark may not be able to file substantial equivalence reports with the FDA on its e-vapor products in the market as of August 8, 2016. In such case, Nu Mark would have to file new tobacco product applications which, among other things, demonstrate that the marketing of the e-vapor products would be appropriate for the protection of the public health. It is uncertain how the FDA will interpret the requirements for obtaining a “new tobacco product marketing order,” although as noted above the FDA has indicated its intention to issue appropriate regulations to clarify the requirements.

Manufacturers intending to first introduce new and modified cigarette, cigarette tobacco and smokeless tobacco products into the market after March 22, 2011 or intending to first introduce new and modified Other Tobacco Products into the market after August 8, 2016, must submit substantial equivalence reports to the FDA and obtain “substantial equivalence orders” from the FDA or submit new tobacco product applications to the FDA and obtain “new tobacco product marketing orders” from the FDA before introducing the products into the market.

In March 2015, the FDA issued a document entitled “Guidance for Industry: Demonstrating the Substantial Equivalence of a New Tobacco Product: Responses to Frequently Asked Questions” (“Substantial Equivalence

Guidance”). In that document, the FDA announced that (i) certain label changes and (ii) changes to the quantity of tobacco product(s) in a package would each require submission of newly required substantial equivalence reports and authorization from the FDA prior to marketing tobacco products with such changes, even when the tobacco product itself is not changed. Our cigarette and smokeless tobacco subsidiaries market various products that fall within the scope of the Substantial Equivalence Guidance.

In September 2015, after industry objections to the Substantial Equivalence Guidance, the FDA issued a second edition of the guidance (the “Revised SE Guidance”), which continued to require FDA pre-authorization for certain label changes and for product quantity changes. PM USA, USSTC and other tobacco product manufacturers initiated litigation challenging the Revised SE Guidance. In August 2016, the court held that a modification to an existing product’s label does not result in a “new tobacco product” and therefore such a label change does not give rise to the substantial equivalence review process. However, the court upheld the Revised SE Guidance in all other respects, including its treatment of product quantity changes as modifications that give rise to a new tobacco product requiring substantial equivalence review.

- *Deeming Regulations:* As discussed above under *FSPTCA and FDA Regulation - The Regulatory Framework*, in May 2016, the FDA issued final regulations for all Other Tobacco Products, imposing the FSPTCA regulatory framework on the tobacco products manufactured, marketed and sold by Middleton and Nu Mark. At the same time the FDA issued its final deeming regulations, it also amended the Final Tobacco Marketing Rule as described above in *FSPTCA and FDA Regulation - Final Tobacco Marketing Rule*. Under the new regulations, for Other Tobacco Products modified or introduced into the market for the first time between February 15, 2007 and August 8, 2016, manufacturers must demonstrate substantial equivalence to a product on the market as of February 15, 2007 or obtain a “new tobacco marketing order” by certain specified dates to continue marketing those products. For further details, see *FSPTCA and FDA Regulation - FDA Regulatory Actions - Substantial Equivalence and Other New Product Processes/Pathways* above.

Among the FSPTCA requirements that apply to Other Tobacco Products is a ban on descriptors, including “mild,” when used as descriptors of modified risk unless expressly authorized by the FDA. In May 2016, Middleton filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia against the FDA challenging the application of the descriptor ban on the use of the word “mild” as it relates to the “Black & Mild” trademark. In July 2016, the Department of Justice, on behalf of the FDA, informed Middleton that at present the FDA does not intend to bring an enforcement action against Middleton for the use of the term “mild” in the trademark “Black & Mild.” Consequently, Middleton dismissed its

lawsuit without prejudice. If the FDA were to change its mind at some later date, Middleton would have the opportunity to make a submission to the FDA and ultimately, if necessary, to bring another lawsuit.

▪ **Potential Product Standards**

- *Menthol in cigarettes:* As required by the FSPTCA, the TPSAC submitted a report on the impact of the use of menthol in cigarettes on the public health and related recommendations to the FDA in March 2011. It recommended, among other things, that the “[r]emoval of menthol cigarettes from the marketplace would benefit public health in the United States” and also noted that any ban on menthol cigarettes could lead to an increase in contraband cigarettes and other potential unintended consequences. Also in March 2011, PM USA submitted a report to the FDA outlining its position that regulatory actions related to the use of menthol cigarettes are not warranted based on available science and evidence and that any significant restrictions on the use of menthol in cigarettes would have unintended consequences detrimental to public health and society.

In July 2013, the FDA released its preliminary scientific evaluation on menthol, which states “that menthol cigarettes pose a public health risk above that seen with non-menthol cigarettes.” At the same time, the FDA also issued an ANPRM requesting comments on the FDA’s preliminary scientific evaluation and information that may inform potential regulatory actions regarding menthol in cigarettes. PM USA submitted comments to the FDA raising a number of concerns about the preliminary scientific evidence and unintended consequences.

The July 2017 Comprehensive Plan contemplates the issuance of an ANPRM seeking comments on the role that flavors including menthol in tobacco products play in attracting youth. No future action can be taken by the FDA to regulate the manufacture, marketing or sale of menthol cigarettes (including a possible ban) until the completion of a full rulemaking process.

- *NNN in Smokeless Tobacco:* In January 2017, the FDA proposed a product standard for N-nitrosotobaccoamine (“NNN”) levels in finished smokeless tobacco products. USSTC believes that the FDA has not adequately considered whether the proposed standard is technically achievable and further believes it would have a significant negative impact on farmers and manufacturers. USSTC is advocating for withdrawal of the proposed rule. In March 2017, the FDA extended the comment period and acknowledged what it described as a “typographical error” in a formula it used in documentation supporting the proposed rule. USSTC submitted comments to the FDA in July 2017. If the proposed rule as presently proposed were to become final and upheld in the courts, it could have a material adverse effect on the business, consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Altria Group, Inc. and USSTC.

- *Nicotine and Flavors:* As noted above, the FDA announced in the July 2017 Comprehensive Plan its intent to seek comments through an ANPRM on the following matters, among others:

- *Nicotine in cigarettes:* The potential public health benefits and any possible adverse effects of lowering nicotine in combustible cigarettes to non-addictive or minimally addictive levels through achievable product standards. Specifically, the FDA intends to seek comments on the potential unintended consequences of such product standard, including (i) smokers compensating by smoking more cigarettes to obtain the same level of nicotine as with their current product and (ii) the illicit trade of cigarettes containing nicotine at levels higher than a non-addictive threshold that may be established by the FDA; and
- *Flavors in all tobacco products:* The role that flavors (including menthol) in tobacco products play in attracting youth and may play in helping some smokers switch to potentially less harmful forms of nicotine delivery.

These ANPRM processes may ultimately lead to the FDA’s development of product standards for nicotine and flavors. The July 2017 Comprehensive Plan also includes the FDA’s intent to develop e-vapor product standards to protect against known public health risks such as battery issues and concerns about children’s exposure to liquid nicotine.

- *Good Manufacturing Practices:* The FSPTCA requires that the FDA promulgate good manufacturing practice regulations (referred to by the FDA as “Requirements for Tobacco Product Manufacturing Practice”) for tobacco product manufacturers, but does not specify a timeframe for such regulations.

Excise Taxes

Tobacco products are subject to substantial excise taxes in the United States. Significant increases in tobacco-related taxes or fees have been proposed or enacted (including with respect to e-vapor products) and are likely to continue to be proposed or enacted at the federal, state and local levels within the United States.

Federal, state and local excise taxes have increased substantially over the past decade, far outpacing the rate of inflation. By way of example, in 2009, the federal excise tax (“FET”) on cigarettes increased from \$0.39 per pack to approximately \$1.01 per pack, in 2010, the New York state excise tax increased by \$1.60 to \$4.35 per pack, in October 2014, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania enacted a \$2.00 per pack local cigarette excise tax and in November 2016, California passed a ballot measure to increase its cigarette excise tax by \$2.00 per pack and its smokeless tobacco ad valorem excise tax from 27.30% to 65.08%, which went into effect on April 1, 2017 and July 1, 2017, respectively. Between the end of 1998 and February 23, 2018, the weighted-average state and certain local cigarette excise taxes increased from \$0.36 to \$1.75 per pack. During

[Table of Contents](#)

2017, Rhode Island, Delaware, Connecticut and Puerto Rico enacted legislation to increase their cigarette excise taxes. As of February 23, 2018, no state has increased its cigarette excise tax in 2018, but various increases are under consideration or have been proposed.

Tax increases are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on sales of the tobacco products of our tobacco subsidiaries through lower consumption levels and the potential shift in adult consumer purchases from the premium to the non-premium or discount segments or to other low-priced or low-taxed tobacco products or to counterfeit and contraband products. Such shifts may have an adverse impact on the sales volume and reported share performance of tobacco products of Altria Group, Inc.'s tobacco subsidiaries.

A majority of states currently tax smokeless tobacco products using an ad valorem method, which is calculated as a percentage of the price of the product, typically the wholesale price. This ad valorem method results in more tax being paid on premium products than is paid on lower-priced products of equal weight. Altria Group, Inc.'s subsidiaries support legislation to convert ad valorem taxes on smokeless tobacco to a weight-based methodology because, unlike the ad valorem tax, a weight-based tax subjects cans of equal weight to the same tax. As of February 23, 2018, the federal government, 23 states, Puerto Rico, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania and Cook County, Illinois have adopted a weight-based tax methodology for smokeless tobacco.

International Treaty on Tobacco Control

The World Health Organization's Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (the "FCTC") entered into force in February 2005. As of February 23, 2018, 180 countries, as well as the European Community, have become parties to the FCTC. While the United States is a signatory of the FCTC, it is not currently a party to the agreement, as the agreement has not been submitted to, or ratified by, the United States Senate. The FCTC is the first international public health treaty and its objective is to establish a global agenda for tobacco regulation with the purpose of reducing initiation of tobacco use and encouraging cessation. The treaty recommends (and in certain instances, requires) signatory nations to enact legislation that would, among other things: establish specific actions to prevent youth tobacco product use; restrict or eliminate all tobacco product advertising, marketing, promotion and sponsorship; initiate public education campaigns to inform the public about the health consequences of tobacco consumption and exposure to tobacco smoke and the benefits of quitting; implement regulations imposing product testing, disclosure and performance standards; impose health warning requirements on packaging; adopt measures intended to combat tobacco product smuggling and counterfeit tobacco products, including tracking and tracing of tobacco products through the distribution chain; and restrict smoking in public places.

There are a number of proposals currently under consideration by the governing body of the FCTC, some of which call for substantial restrictions on the manufacture, marketing, distribution and sale of tobacco products. In addition, the

Protocol to Eliminate Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products (the "Protocol") was approved by the Conference of Parties to the FCTC in November 2012. It includes provisions related to the tracking and tracing of tobacco products through the distribution chain and numerous other provisions regarding the regulation of the manufacture, distribution and sale of tobacco products. The Protocol has not yet entered into force, but in any event will not apply to the United States until the Senate ratifies the FCTC and until the President signs, and the Senate ratifies, the Protocol. It is not possible to predict the outcome of these proposals or the impact of any FCTC actions on legislation or regulation in the United States, either indirectly or as a result of the United States becoming a party to the FCTC, or whether or how these actions might indirectly influence FDA regulation and enforcement.

State Settlement Agreements

As discussed in Note 18, during 1997 and 1998, PM USA and other major domestic tobacco product manufacturers entered into the State Settlement Agreements. These settlements require participating manufacturers to make substantial annual payments, which are adjusted for several factors, including inflation, operating income, market share and industry volume. For a discussion of the impact of the State Settlement Agreements on Altria Group, Inc., see *Financial Review - Debt and Liquidity - Payments Under State Settlement Agreements and FDA Regulation* below and Note 18. The State Settlement Agreements also place numerous requirements and restrictions on participating manufacturers' business operations, including prohibitions and restrictions on the advertising and marketing of cigarettes and smokeless tobacco products. Among these are prohibitions of outdoor and transit brand advertising, payments for product placement and free sampling (except in adult-only facilities). Restrictions are also placed on the use of brand name sponsorships and brand name non-tobacco products. The State Settlement Agreements also place prohibitions on targeting youth and the use of cartoon characters. In addition, the State Settlement Agreements require companies to affirm corporate principles directed at reducing underage use of cigarettes; impose requirements regarding lobbying activities; mandate public disclosure of certain industry documents; limit the industry's ability to challenge certain tobacco control and underage use laws; and provide for the dissolution of certain tobacco-related organizations and place restrictions on the establishment of any replacement organizations.

In November 1998, USSTC entered into the Smokeless Tobacco Master Settlement Agreement (the "STMSA") with the attorneys general of various states and United States territories to resolve the remaining health care cost reimbursement cases initiated against USSTC. The STMSA required USSTC to adopt various marketing and advertising restrictions. USSTC is the only smokeless tobacco manufacturer to sign the STMSA.

Other Federal, State and Local Regulation and Activity

▪ **Federal, State and Local Regulation:** A number of states and localities have enacted or proposed legislation that imposes restrictions on tobacco products (including innovative tobacco products, such as e-vapor products), such as legislation that (1) prohibits the sale of certain tobacco products with certain characterizing flavors, including menthol cigarettes, (2) requires the disclosure of health information separate from or in addition to federally-mandated health warnings and (3) restricts commercial speech or imposes additional restrictions on the marketing or sale of tobacco products (including proposals to ban all tobacco product sales). The legislation varies in terms of the type of tobacco products, the conditions under which such products are or would be restricted or prohibited, and exceptions to the restrictions or prohibitions. For example, a number of proposals involving characterizing flavors would prohibit smokeless tobacco products with characterizing flavors without providing an exception for mint- or wintergreen-flavored products.

Whether other states or localities will enact legislation in these areas, and the precise nature of such legislation if enacted, cannot be predicted. Altria Group, Inc.'s tobacco subsidiaries have challenged and will continue to challenge certain state and local legislation, including through litigation.

▪ **State and Local Legislation to Increase the Legal Age to Purchase Tobacco Products:** An increasing number of states and localities have proposed legislation to increase the minimum age to purchase tobacco products above the current Federal minimum age of 18. The following states have enacted such legislation: California (21), Hawaii (21), Alabama (19), Alaska (19), New Jersey (21), Utah (19), Oregon (21) and Maine (21). Various localities (such as New York City (21) and Chicago (21)) have taken similar actions.

▪ **Health Effects of Tobacco Product Consumption and Exposure to Environmental Tobacco Smoke (“ETS”):** Reports with respect to the health effects of smoking have been publicized for many years, including various reports by the U.S. Surgeon General. Altria Group, Inc. and its tobacco subsidiaries believe that the public should be guided by the messages of the United States Surgeon General and public health authorities worldwide in making decisions concerning the use of tobacco products.

Most jurisdictions within the United States have restricted smoking in public places. Some public health groups have called for, and various jurisdictions have adopted or proposed, bans on smoking in outdoor places, in private apartments and in cars transporting minors. It is not possible to predict the results of ongoing scientific research or the types of future scientific research into the health risks of tobacco exposure and the impact of such research on regulation.

▪ **Other Legislation or Governmental Initiatives:** In addition to the actions discussed above, other regulatory initiatives affecting the tobacco industry have been adopted or are being considered at the federal level and in a number of state and local jurisdictions. For example, in recent years, legislation has been introduced or enacted at the state or local level to subject tobacco products to various reporting requirements and performance standards (such as reduced cigarette ignition propensity standards); establish educational campaigns relating to tobacco consumption or tobacco control programs, or provide additional funding for governmental tobacco control activities; restrict the sale of tobacco products in certain retail establishments and the sale of tobacco products in certain package sizes; require tax stamping of moist smokeless tobacco (“MST”) products; require the use of state tax stamps using data encryption technology; and further restrict the sale, marketing and advertising of cigarettes and Other Tobacco Products. Such legislation may be subject to constitutional or other challenges on various grounds, which may or may not be successful.

It is not possible to predict what, if any, additional legislation, regulation or other governmental action will be enacted or implemented (and, if challenged, upheld) relating to the manufacturing, design, packaging, marketing, advertising, sale or use of tobacco products, or the tobacco industry generally. It is possible, however, that legislation, regulation or other governmental action could be enacted or implemented that could have a material adverse impact on the business and volume of our tobacco subsidiaries and the consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Altria Group, Inc. and its tobacco subsidiaries.

▪ **Governmental Investigations:** From time to time, Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries are subject to governmental investigations on a range of matters. Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries cannot predict whether new investigations may be commenced.

Illicit Trade in Tobacco Products

Illicit trade in tobacco products can have an adverse impact on the businesses of Altria Group, Inc. and its tobacco subsidiaries. Illicit trade can take many forms, including the sale of counterfeit tobacco products; the sale of tobacco products in the United States that are intended for sale outside the country; the sale of untaxed tobacco products over the Internet and by other means designed to avoid the collection of applicable taxes; and diversion into one taxing jurisdiction of tobacco products intended for sale in another. Counterfeit tobacco products, for example, are manufactured by unknown third parties in unregulated environments. Counterfeit versions of our tobacco subsidiaries' products can negatively affect adult tobacco consumer experiences with and opinions of those brands. Illicit trade in tobacco products also harms law-abiding wholesalers and retailers by depriving them of lawful sales and undermines the significant investment Altria Group, Inc.'s tobacco subsidiaries have made in legitimate distribution channels. Moreover, illicit trade in tobacco products results in federal, state and local governments losing tax

[Table of Contents](#)

revenues. Losses in tax revenues can cause such governments to take various actions, including increasing excise taxes; imposing legislative or regulatory requirements that may adversely impact Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated results of operations and cash flows and the businesses of its tobacco subsidiaries; or asserting claims against manufacturers of tobacco products or members of the trade channels through which such tobacco products are distributed and sold.

Altria Group, Inc. and its tobacco subsidiaries devote significant resources to help prevent illicit trade in tobacco products and to protect legitimate trade channels. For example, Altria Group, Inc.'s tobacco subsidiaries are engaged in a number of initiatives to help prevent illicit trade in tobacco products, including communication with wholesale and retail trade members regarding illicit trade in tobacco products and how they can help prevent such activities; enforcement of wholesale and retail trade programs and policies that address illicit trade in tobacco products; engagement with and support of law enforcement and regulatory agencies; litigation to protect their trademarks; and support for a variety of federal and state legislative initiatives. Legislative initiatives to address illicit trade in tobacco products are designed to protect the legitimate channels of distribution, impose more stringent penalties for the violation of illegal trade laws and provide additional tools for law enforcement. Regulatory measures and related governmental actions to prevent the illicit manufacture and trade of tobacco products continue to evolve as the nature of illicit tobacco products evolves.

Price, Availability and Quality of Agricultural Products

Shifts in crops (such as those driven by economic conditions and adverse weather patterns), government mandated prices, economic trade sanctions, geopolitical instability and production control programs may increase or decrease the cost or reduce the supply or quality of tobacco and other agricultural products used to manufacture our companies' products. As with other agriculture commodities, the price of tobacco leaf can be influenced by economic conditions and imbalances in supply and demand and crop quality and availability can be influenced by variations in weather patterns, including those caused by climate change. Tobacco production in certain countries is subject to a variety of controls, including government mandated prices and production control programs. Changes in the patterns of demand for agricultural products and the cost of tobacco production could impact tobacco leaf prices and tobacco supply. Certain types of tobacco are only available in limited geographies, including geographies experiencing political instability, and loss of their availability could impact adult tobacco consumer product acceptability. Any significant change in the price, quality or availability of tobacco leaf or other agricultural products used to manufacture our products could restrict our subsidiaries' ability to continue marketing existing products or impact adult consumer product acceptability, adversely affecting our subsidiaries' profitability and businesses.

Timing of Sales

In the ordinary course of business, our tobacco subsidiaries are subject to many influences that can impact the timing of sales to customers, including the timing of holidays and other annual or special events, the timing of promotions, customer incentive programs and customer inventory programs, as well as the actual or speculated timing of pricing actions and tax-driven price increases.

Operating Results

The following table summarizes operating results for the smokeable and smokeless products segments:

(in millions)	For the Years Ended December 31,					
	Net Revenues			Operating Companies Income		
	2017	2016	2015	2017	2016	2015
Smokeable products	\$ 22,636	\$ 22,851	\$ 22,792	\$ 8,408	\$ 7,768	\$ 7,569
Smokeless products	2,155	2,051	1,879	1,300	1,177	1,108
Total smokeable and smokeless products	\$ 24,791	\$ 24,902	\$ 24,671	\$ 9,708	\$ 8,945	\$ 8,677

Smokeable Products Segment

The smokeable products segment's operating companies income increased during 2017 due primarily to higher pricing and lower costs, partially offset by lower shipment volume. Shipment volume and retail share were negatively impacted in 2017 by a large cigarette excise tax increase in California.

The following table summarizes the smokeable products segment shipment volume performance:

(sticks in millions)	Shipment Volume		
	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Cigarettes:			
<i>Marlboro</i>	99,974	105,297	108,113
Other premium	5,967	6,382	6,753
Discount	10,665	11,251	11,152
Total cigarettes	116,606	122,930	126,018
Cigars:			
<i>Black & Mild</i>	1,527	1,379	1,295
Other	15	24	30
Total cigars	1,542	1,403	1,325
Total smokeable products	118,148	124,333	127,343

Cigarettes shipment volume includes *Marlboro*; Other premium brands, such as *Virginia Slims*, *Parliament* and *Benson & Hedges*; and Discount brands, which include *L&M* and *Basic*. Cigarettes volume includes units sold as well as promotional units, but excludes units sold for distribution to

[Table of Contents](#)

Puerto Rico, and units sold in U.S. Territories, to overseas military and by Philip Morris Duty Free Inc., none of which, individually or in the aggregate, is material to the smokeable products segment.

The following table summarizes cigarettes retail share performance:

	Retail Share		
	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Cigarettes:			
<i>Marlboro</i>	43.3%	43.7%	43.8%
Other premium	2.7	2.8	2.8
Discount	4.7	4.6	4.5
Total cigarettes	50.7%	51.1%	51.1%

Retail share results for cigarettes are based on data from IRI/Management Science Associate Inc., a tracking service that uses a sample of stores and certain wholesale shipments to project market share and depict share trends. This service tracks sales in the food, drug, mass merchandisers, convenience, military, dollar store and club trade classes. For other trade classes selling cigarettes, retail share is based on shipments from wholesalers to retailers through the Store Tracking Analytical Reporting System (“STARS”). This service is not designed to capture sales through other channels, including the internet, direct mail and some illicitly tax-advantaged outlets. It is IRI’s standard practice to periodically refresh its services, which could restate retail share results that were previously released in this service.

PM USA and Middleton executed the following pricing and promotional allowance actions during 2017, 2016 and 2015:

- Effective September 24, 2017, PM USA increased the list price on all of its cigarette brands by \$0.10 per pack.
- Effective May 21, 2017, Middleton increased various list prices across substantially all of its cigar brands resulting in a weighted-average increase of approximately \$0.10 per five-pack.
- Effective March 19, 2017, PM USA increased the list price on *Parliament* by \$0.12 per pack. In addition, PM USA increased the list price on all of its other cigarette brands by \$0.08 per pack.
- Effective November 13, 2016, PM USA reduced its wholesale promotional allowance on *Marlboro* by \$0.02 per pack and *L&M* by \$0.08 per pack. In addition, PM USA increased the list price on *Marlboro* by \$0.06 per pack and on all of its other cigarette brands by \$0.08 per pack, except for *L&M*, which had no list price change.
- Effective May 15, 2016, PM USA increased the list price on all of its cigarette brands by \$0.07 per pack.
- Effective November 15, 2015, PM USA increased the list price on all of its cigarette brands by \$0.07 per pack.

- Effective May 17, 2015, PM USA increased the list price on all of its cigarette brands by \$0.07 per pack.

The following discussion compares operating results for the smokeable products segment for the year ended December 31, 2017 with the year ended December 31, 2016.

Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, decreased \$215 million (0.9%), due primarily to lower shipment volume (\$1,273 million), partially offset by higher pricing, which includes higher promotional investments.

Operating companies income increased \$640 million (8.2%), due primarily to higher pricing (\$1,023 million), which includes higher promotional investments, lower marketing, administration and research costs (\$251 million), which includes 2016 state excise tax ballot initiative spending and lower product liability defense costs, and lower asset impairment and exit costs (\$120 million). These factors were partially offset by lower shipment volume (\$691 million) and higher per unit settlement charges.

Marketing, administration and research costs for the smokeable products segment include PM USA’s cost of administering and litigating product liability claims. Litigation defense costs are influenced by a number of factors, including the number and types of cases filed, the number of cases tried annually, the results of trials and appeals, the development of the law controlling relevant legal issues, and litigation strategy and tactics. For further discussion on these matters, see Note 18 and Item 3. For the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, product liability defense costs for PM USA were \$179 million, \$234 million and \$228 million, respectively. The factors that have influenced past product liability defense costs are expected to continue to influence future costs. PM USA does not expect future product liability defense costs to be significantly different from product liability defense costs incurred in the last few years.

Total smokeable products segment’s reported shipment volume decreased 5.0%. The smokeable products segment’s reported domestic cigarettes shipment volume decreased 5.1%, driven primarily by the industry’s rate of decline, retail share declines and one fewer shipping day. When adjusted for calendar differences, the smokeable products segment’s domestic cigarettes shipment volume decreased an estimated 5%. Total cigarette industry volumes declined by an estimated 4%.

Shipments of premium cigarettes accounted for 90.9% of smokeable products’ reported domestic cigarettes shipment volume for 2017, versus 90.8% for 2016.

The smokeable products segment’s reported cigars shipment volume increased 9.9%.

Marlboro’s retail share declined 0.4 share points, driven primarily by competitive activity and the effect of the cigarette excise tax increase in California. PM USA’s total retail share decreased 0.4 share points.

The following discussion compares operating results for the smokeable products segment for the year ended December 31, 2016 with the year ended December 31, 2015.

[Table of Contents](#)

Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased \$59 million (0.3%), due primarily to higher pricing, which includes higher promotional investments, partially offset by lower shipment volume (\$577 million).

Operating companies income increased \$199 million (2.6%), due primarily to higher pricing, which includes higher promotional investments, lower costs (due primarily to lower pension and benefit costs) and lower tobacco and health litigation items (\$39 million). These factors were partially offset by lower shipment volume (\$298 million), higher per unit settlement charges, costs in connection with the productivity initiative and facilities consolidation (\$134 million) and NPM Adjustment Items in 2015 (\$97 million).

Total smokeable products segment’s reported shipment volume decreased 2.4%. The smokeable products segment’s reported and adjusted domestic cigarettes shipment volume decreased approximately 2.5% driven primarily by the industry’s rate of decline. Total cigarette industry volumes declined by an estimated 2.5%.

Shipments of premium cigarettes accounted for 90.8% of smokeable products’ reported domestic cigarettes shipment volume for 2016, versus 91.2% for 2015.

Middleton’s reported cigars shipment volume increased 5.9%, driven primarily by *Black & Mild* in the tipped cigars segment.

Marlboro’s retail share declined 0.1 share point in 2016. PM USA’s total retail share was unchanged in 2016.

Smokeless Products Segment

During 2017, the smokeless products segment grew net revenues and operating companies income, primarily through higher pricing, partially offset by unfavorable mix and lower shipment volume.

During 2017, USSTC voluntarily recalled certain smokeless tobacco products manufactured at its Franklin Park, Illinois facility due to a product tampering incident (the “Recall”). USSTC has concluded the Recall and trade inventories have been replenished. USSTC estimates that the Recall reduced smokeless products segment operating companies income by approximately \$60 million in 2017.

The following table summarizes smokeless products segment shipment volume performance:

(cans and packs in millions)	Shipment Volume		
	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
<i>Copenhagen</i>	531.6	525.1	474.7
<i>Skoal</i>	241.9	260.9	267.9
<i>Copenhagen and Skoal</i>	773.5	786.0	742.6
Other	67.8	67.5	70.9
Total smokeless products	841.3	853.5	813.5

Smokeless products shipment volume includes cans and packs sold, as well as promotional units, but excludes international volume, which is not material to the smokeless products segment. New types of smokeless products, as well as new packaging configurations of existing smokeless products,

may or may not be equivalent to existing MST products on a can-for-can basis. To calculate volumes of cans and packs shipped, one pack of snus, irrespective of the number of pouches in the pack, is assumed to be equivalent to one can of MST.

The following table summarizes smokeless products segment retail share performance (excluding international volume):

	Retail Share		
	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
<i>Copenhagen</i>	33.7%	33.2%	31.0%
<i>Skoal</i>	16.7	18.1	19.4
<i>Copenhagen and Skoal</i>	50.4	51.3	50.4
Other	3.3	3.4	3.7
Total smokeless products	53.7%	54.7%	54.1%

Retail share results for smokeless products are based on data from IRI InfoScan, a tracking service that uses a sample of stores to project market share and depict share trends. This service tracks sales in the food, drug, mass merchandisers, convenience, military, dollar store and club trade classes on the number of cans and packs sold. Smokeless products is defined by IRI as moist smokeless and spit-free tobacco products. New types of smokeless products, as well as new packaging configurations of existing smokeless products, may or may not be equivalent to existing MST products on a can-for-can basis. For example, one pack of snus, irrespective of the number of pouches in the pack, is assumed to be equivalent to one can of MST. Because this service represents retail share performance only in key trade channels, it should not be considered a precise measurement of actual retail share. It is IRI’s standard practice to periodically refresh its InfoScan services, which could restate retail share results that were previously released in this service.

USSTC executed the following pricing actions during 2017, 2016 and 2015:

- Effective September 26, 2017, USSTC increased the list price on *Copenhagen* and *Skoal* popular price products by \$0.12 per can. In addition, USSTC increased the list price on all its brands, except for *Copenhagen* and *Skoal* popular price products, by \$0.07 per can.
- Effective April 25, 2017, USSTC increased the list price on all its brands by \$0.07 per can.
- Effective December 6, 2016, USSTC increased the list price on *Copenhagen* and *Skoal* popular price products by \$0.12 per can. In addition, USSTC increased the list price on all its brands, except for *Copenhagen* and *Skoal* popular price products, by \$0.07 per can.
- Effective May 10, 2016, USSTC increased the list price on all its brands by \$0.07 per can.
- Effective December 8, 2015, USSTC increased the list price on *Copenhagen* and *Skoal* popular price products by \$0.12 per can. In addition, USSTC increased the list price

[Table of Contents](#)

on all its brands, except for *Copenhagen* and *Skoal* popular price products, by \$0.07 per can.

- Effective May 5, 2015, USSTC increased the list price on all its brands by \$0.07 per can.

The following discussion compares operating results for the smokeless products segment for the year ended December 31, 2017 with the year ended December 31, 2016.

Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased \$104 million (5.1%), due primarily to higher pricing (\$168 million), which includes lower promotional investments, partially offset by unfavorable mix and lower shipment volume (\$24 million).

Operating companies income increased \$123 million (10.5%), due primarily to higher pricing (\$168 million), which includes lower promotional investments, and lower manufacturing costs, partially offset by unfavorable mix, lower shipment volume (\$18 million) and a settlement charge for lump sum pension payments (\$16 million).

USSTC's reported domestic shipment volume decreased 1.4%, driven primarily by declines in *Skoal*. After adjusting for trade inventory movements and other factors, USSTC estimates that its domestic smokeless products shipment volume declined approximately 2%. USSTC estimates that the smokeless products category volume was essentially unchanged over the six months ended December 31, 2017.

Copenhagen's 0.5 retail share point growth was offset by *Skoal*'s 1.4 retail share point loss, contributing to a combined retail share decline of 0.9 share points.

The following discussion compares operating results for the smokeless products segment for the year ended December 31, 2016 with the year ended December 31, 2015.

Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased \$172 million (9.2%), due primarily to higher shipment volume (\$111 million) and higher pricing, which includes higher promotional investments, partially offset by mix due to growth in popular price products.

Operating companies income increased \$69 million (6.2%), due primarily to higher shipment volume (\$98 million) and higher pricing, which includes higher promotional investments, partially offset by costs in connection with the productivity initiative and facilities consolidation (\$57 million), product mix, higher marketing, administration and research costs and higher manufacturing costs.

The smokeless products segment's reported domestic shipment volume increased 4.9%, driven by *Copenhagen*, partially offset by declines in *Skoal* and Other portfolio brands. *Copenhagen* and *Skoal*'s combined reported domestic shipment volume increased 5.8%.

After adjusting for trade inventory movements and other factors, USSTC estimates that its domestic smokeless products shipment volume grew approximately 5% for 2016. USSTC estimates that the smokeless products category volume grew approximately 2.5% over the six months ended December 31, 2016.

Copenhagen and *Skoal*'s combined retail share increased 0.9 share points to 51.3%. *Copenhagen*'s retail share increased 2.2 share points and *Skoal*'s retail share declined 1.3 share points.

Total smokeless products retail share increased 0.6 share points to 54.7%.

Wine Segment

Business Environment

Ste. Michelle is a leading producer of Washington state wines, primarily *Chateau Ste. Michelle*, *Columbia Crest* and *14 Hands*, and owns wineries in or distributes wines from several other domestic and foreign wine regions. Ste. Michelle holds an 85% ownership interest in Michelle-Antinori, LLC, which owns *Stag's Leap Wine Cellars* in Napa Valley. Ste. Michelle also owns *Conn Creek* in Napa Valley, *Patz & Hall* in Sonoma and *Erath* in Oregon. In addition, Ste. Michelle imports and markets *Antinori*, *Torres* and *Villa Maria Estate* wines and *Champagne Nicolas Feuillatte* in the United States. Key elements of Ste. Michelle's strategy are expanded domestic distribution of its wines, especially in certain account categories such as restaurants, wholesale clubs, supermarkets, wine shops and mass merchandisers, and a focus on improving product mix to higher-priced, premium products.

Ste. Michelle's business is subject to significant competition, including competition from many larger, well-established domestic and international companies, as well as from many smaller wine producers. Wine segment competition is primarily based on quality, price, consumer and trade wine tastings, competitive wine judging, third-party acclaim and advertising. Substantially all of Ste. Michelle's sales occur in the United States through state-licensed distributors. Ste. Michelle also sells to domestic consumers through retail and e-commerce channels and exports wines to international distributors.

Federal, state and local governmental agencies regulate the beverage alcohol industry through various means, including licensing requirements, pricing rules, labeling and advertising restrictions, and distribution and production policies. Further regulatory restrictions or additional excise or other taxes on the manufacture and sale of alcoholic beverages may have an adverse effect on Ste. Michelle's wine business.

Operating Results

Ste. Michelle's results for 2017 were negatively impacted by competitive activity, continued trade inventory reductions and slower premium wine category growth.

The following table summarizes operating results for the wine segment:

(in millions)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Net revenues	\$ 698	\$ 746	\$ 692
Operating companies income	\$ 147	\$ 164	\$ 152

[Table of Contents](#)

The following discussion compares operating results for the wine segment for the year ended December 31, 2017 with the year ended December 31, 2016.

Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, decreased \$48 million (6.4%), due primarily to lower shipment volume, partially offset by improved premium mix.

Operating companies income decreased \$17 million (10.4%), due primarily to lower shipment volume.

For 2017, Ste. Michelle's reported wine shipment volume of 8,530 thousand cases decreased 8.6%.

The following discussion compares operating results for the wine segment for the year ended December 31, 2016 with the year ended December 31, 2015.

Net revenues, which include excise taxes billed to customers, increased \$54 million (7.8%), due primarily to higher shipment volume. Operating companies income increased \$12 million (7.9%), due primarily to higher shipment volume and improved premium mix, partially offset by higher costs.

For 2016, Ste. Michelle's reported wine shipment volume of 9,333 thousand cases grew 5.3%, driven primarily by growth among its core premium brands.

Financial Review

Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities

During 2017, net cash provided by operating activities was \$4.9 billion compared with \$3.8 billion during 2016. This increase was due primarily to the following:

- income taxes paid on both the cash proceeds from the Transaction and gains from exercising derivative financial instruments associated with the Transaction in 2016;
- higher operating companies income in the smokeable and smokeless products segments;
- lower contributions to Altria Group, Inc.'s pension and postretirement plans in 2017; and
- lower payments for tobacco and health litigation items in 2017;

partially offset by:

- higher payments of settlement charges in 2017.

During 2016, net cash provided by operating activities was \$3.8 billion compared with \$5.8 billion during 2015. This decrease was due primarily to the following:

- income taxes paid on both the cash proceeds from the Transaction and gains from exercising derivative financial instruments associated with the Transaction in 2016; and
- voluntary contributions totaling \$500 million to Altria Group, Inc.'s pension plans during 2016;

partially offset by:

- higher cumulative dividends received from AB InBev and SABMiller in 2016.

Altria Group, Inc. had a working capital deficit at December 31, 2017 and 2016. Altria Group, Inc.'s management believes that it has the ability to fund these working capital deficits with cash provided by operating activities and/or short-term borrowings under its commercial paper program as discussed in the *Debt and Liquidity* section below.

Net Cash Provided by/Used in Investing Activities

During 2017, net cash used in investing activities was \$0.5 billion compared with net cash provided by investing activities of \$3.7 billion during 2016. This change was due primarily to the following:

- proceeds of \$4.8 billion from the Transaction during 2016;
- proceeds of \$0.5 billion from exercising derivative financial instruments associated with the Transaction during 2016; and
- higher acquisitions of businesses and assets in 2017;

partially offset by:

- payment of approximately \$1.6 billion for the purchase of ordinary shares of AB InBev during 2016.

During 2016, net cash provided by investing activities was \$3.7 billion compared with net cash used in investing activities of \$15 million during 2015. This change was due primarily to the following:

- proceeds of \$4.8 billion from the Transaction during 2016; and
- proceeds of \$0.5 billion from exercising derivative financial instruments associated with the Transaction during 2016;

partially offset by:

- payment of approximately \$1.6 billion for the purchase of ordinary shares of AB InBev during 2016.

Capital expenditures for 2017 increased 5.3% to \$199 million, due primarily to the acquisition of the previously leased headquarters in Richmond, Virginia in 2017, partially offset by lower spending related to manufacturing. Capital expenditures for 2018 are expected to be in the range of \$200 million to \$250 million, and are expected to be funded from operating cash flows. The increase in expected capital expenditures in 2018 compared with 2017 is due primarily to spending related to manufacturing.

Net Cash Used in Financing Activities

During 2017, net cash used in financing activities was \$7.8 billion compared with \$5.3 billion during 2016. This increase was due to the following:

- debt issuance of \$2.0 billion of senior unsecured notes during 2016 used in part to repurchase senior unsecured notes in connection with the 2016 debt tender offer;
- higher repurchases of common stock during 2017; and
- higher dividends paid during 2017;

partially offset by:

- debt repayments of \$0.9 billion and premiums and fees of \$0.8 billion in connection with the debt tender offer during 2016.

During 2016, net cash used in financing activities was \$5.3 billion compared with \$6.8 billion during 2015. This decrease was due primarily to the following:

- debt issuance of \$2.0 billion of senior unsecured notes during 2016 used in part to repurchase senior unsecured notes in connection with the 2016 debt tender offer; and
- \$1.0 billion repayment of Altria Group, Inc. senior unsecured notes at scheduled maturity in 2015;

partially offset by:

- higher premiums, fees and repayments of debt in connection with debt tender offers during 2016;
- higher repurchases of common stock during 2016; and
- higher dividends paid during 2016.

Debt and Liquidity

Credit Ratings - Altria Group, Inc.'s cost and terms of financing and its access to commercial paper markets may be impacted by applicable credit ratings. The impact of credit ratings on the cost of borrowings under Altria Group, Inc.'s credit agreement is discussed below. See the discussion in Item 1A regarding the potential adverse impact of certain events on Altria Group, Inc.'s credit ratings.

At December 31, 2017, the credit ratings and outlook for Altria Group, Inc.'s indebtedness by major credit rating agencies were:

	Short-term Debt	Long-term Debt	Outlook
Moody's Investor Service, Inc. ("Moody's")	P-2	A3	Stable
Standard & Poor's Ratings Services ("Standard & Poor's")	A-1	A-	Stable
Fitch Ratings Ltd. ("Fitch") ¹	F2	A-	Stable

¹ On April 3, 2017, Fitch raised the long-term debt credit rating for Altria Group, Inc. to A- from BBB+.

Credit Lines - From time to time, Altria Group, Inc. has short-term borrowing needs to meet its working capital requirements and generally uses its commercial paper program to meet those needs.

At December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, Altria Group, Inc. had no short-term borrowings.

At December 31, 2017, Altria Group, Inc. had in place a senior unsecured 5-year revolving credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement"). The Credit Agreement provides for borrowings up to an aggregate principal amount of \$3.0 billion and expires August 19, 2020.

Pricing for interest and fees under the Credit Agreement may be modified in the event of a change in the rating of Altria Group, Inc.'s long-term senior unsecured debt. Interest rates on borrowings under the Credit Agreement are expected to be based on the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus a percentage based on the higher of the ratings of Altria Group, Inc.'s long-term senior unsecured debt from Moody's and Standard & Poor's. The applicable percentage based on Altria Group, Inc.'s long-term senior unsecured debt ratings at December 31, 2017 for borrowings under the Credit Agreement was 1.125%. The Credit Agreement does not include any other rating triggers, nor does it contain any provisions that could require the posting of collateral. At December 31, 2017, credit available to Altria Group, Inc. under the Credit Agreement was \$3.0 billion.

The Credit Agreement is used for general corporate purposes and to support Altria Group, Inc.'s commercial paper issuances. The Credit Agreement requires that Altria Group, Inc. maintain (i) a ratio of debt to consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") of not more than 3.0 to 1.0 and (ii) a ratio of consolidated EBITDA to consolidated interest expense of not less than 4.0 to 1.0, each calculated as of the end of the applicable quarter on a rolling four quarters basis. At December 31, 2017, the ratios of debt to consolidated EBITDA and consolidated EBITDA to consolidated interest expense, calculated in accordance with the Credit Agreement, were 1.3 to 1.0 and 14.8 to 1.0, respectively. Altria Group, Inc. expects to continue to meet its covenants associated with the Credit Agreement. The terms "consolidated EBITDA," "debt" and "consolidated interest expense," as defined in the Credit Agreement, include certain adjustments. Exhibit 99.3 to Altria Group, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2013 sets forth the definitions of these terms as they appear in the Credit Agreement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Any commercial paper issued by Altria Group, Inc. and borrowings under the Credit Agreement are guaranteed by PM USA as further discussed in Note 19. *Condensed Consolidating Financial Information* to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 ("Note 19").

Financial Market Environment - Altria Group, Inc. believes it has adequate liquidity and access to financial resources to meet its anticipated obligations and ongoing business needs in the foreseeable future. Altria Group, Inc. continues to monitor the credit quality of its bank group and is not aware of any potential non-performing credit provider in that group. Altria Group, Inc. believes the lenders in its bank group will be willing and able to advance funds in accordance with their legal obligations. See Item

[Table of Contents](#)

IA for certain risk factors associated with the foregoing discussion.

Tax Reform Act - As a result of the Tax Reform Act's reduction in the U.S. federal statutory corporate income tax rate from 35% to 21% effective January 1, 2018, Altria Group, Inc. expects increased liquidity. Altria Group, Inc. plans to make strategic long-term investments with the increased liquidity, reinvesting approximately one-third of the total tax reform benefit in 2018, with a moderating level of investment in subsequent years.

Debt - At December 31, 2017 and 2016, Altria Group, Inc.'s total debt was \$13.9 billion for each period.

All of Altria Group, Inc.'s debt was fixed-rate debt at December 31, 2017 and 2016. The weighted-average coupon interest rate on total debt was approximately 4.9% at December 31, 2017 and 2016. For further details on long-term debt, see Note 9.

In October 2017, Altria Group, Inc. filed a registration statement on Form S-3 with the SEC, under which Altria Group, Inc. may offer debt securities or warrants to purchase debt securities from time to time over a three-year period from the date of filing.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Aggregate Contractual Obligations

Altria Group, Inc. has no off-balance sheet arrangements, including special purpose entities, other than guarantees and contractual obligations that are discussed below.

Guarantees and Other Similar Matters - As discussed in Note 18, Altria Group, Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries had unused letters of credit obtained in the ordinary course of business, guarantees (including third-party guarantees) and a redeemable noncontrolling interest outstanding at December 31, 2017. From time to time, subsidiaries of Altria Group, Inc. also issue lines of credit to affiliated entities. In addition, as discussed in Note 19, PM USA has issued guarantees relating to Altria Group, Inc.'s obligations under its outstanding debt securities, borrowings under the Credit Agreement and amounts outstanding under its commercial paper program. These items have not had, and are not expected to have, a significant impact on Altria Group, Inc.'s liquidity.

Aggregate Contractual Obligations - The following table summarizes Altria Group, Inc.'s contractual obligations at December 31, 2017:

(in millions)	Payments Due				
	Total	2018	2019 - 2020	2021 - 2022	2023 and Thereafter
Long-term debt ⁽¹⁾	\$ 14,017	\$ 864	\$ 2,144	\$ 3,400	\$ 7,609
Interest on borrowings ⁽²⁾	8,403	693	1,100	849	5,761
Operating leases ⁽³⁾	192	38	61	49	44
Purchase obligations: ⁽⁴⁾					
Inventory and production costs	3,452	1,023	1,250	600	579
Other	634	456	159	19	—
	4,086	1,479	1,409	619	579
Other long-term liabilities ⁽⁵⁾	2,084	78	166	194	1,646
	\$ 28,782	\$ 3,152	\$ 4,880	\$ 5,111	\$ 15,639

⁽¹⁾ Amounts represent the expected cash payments of Altria Group, Inc.'s long-term debt.

⁽²⁾ Amounts represent the expected cash payments of Altria Group, Inc.'s interest expense on its long-term debt. Interest on Altria Group, Inc.'s debt, which was all fixed-rate debt at December 31, 2017, is presented using the stated coupon interest rate. Amounts exclude the amortization of debt discounts and debt issuance costs, the amortization of loan fees and fees for lines of credit that would be included in interest and other debt expense, net in the consolidated statements of earnings.

⁽³⁾ Amounts represent the minimum rental commitments under non-cancelable operating leases.

⁽⁴⁾ Purchase obligations for inventory and production costs (such as raw materials, indirect materials and services, contract manufacturing, packaging, storage and distribution) are commitments for projected needs to be used in the normal course of business. Other purchase obligations include commitments for marketing, capital expenditures, information technology and professional services. Arrangements are considered purchase obligations if a contract specifies all significant terms, including fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased, a pricing structure and approximate timing of the transaction. Most arrangements are cancelable without a significant penalty, and with short notice (usually 30 days). Any amounts reflected on the consolidated balance sheet as accounts payable and accrued liabilities are excluded from the table above.

⁽⁵⁾ Other long-term liabilities consist of accrued postretirement health care costs and certain accrued pension costs. The amounts included in the table above for accrued pension costs consist of the actuarially determined anticipated minimum funding requirements for each year from 2018 through 2022. Contributions beyond 2022 cannot be reasonably estimated and, therefore, are not included in the table above. In addition, the following long-term liabilities included on the consolidated balance sheet are excluded from the table above: accrued postemployment costs, income taxes and tax contingencies, and other accruals. Altria Group, Inc. is unable to estimate the timing of payments for these items.

The State Settlement Agreements and related legal fee payments, and payments for FDA user fees, as discussed below and in Note 18, are excluded from the table above, as the payments are subject to adjustment for several factors, including inflation, operating income, market share and industry volume. Litigation escrow deposits, as discussed below and in Note 18, are also excluded from the table above since these deposits will be returned to PM USA should it prevail on appeal.

Payments Under State Settlement Agreements and FDA Regulation - As discussed previously and in Note 18, PM USA and Nat Sherman have entered into State Settlement Agreements with the states and territories of the United States that call for certain payments. In addition, PM USA, Middleton, Nat Sherman and USSTC are subject to quarterly user fees imposed by the FDA as a result of the FSPTCA. Payments under the State Settlement Agreements and the FDA user fees are based on variable factors, such as volume, operating income, market share and inflation, depending on the subject payment. Altria Group, Inc.'s subsidiaries account for the cost of the State Settlement Agreements and FDA user fees as a component of cost of sales. Altria Group, Inc.'s subsidiaries recorded approximately \$4.7 billion, \$4.9 billion and \$4.8 billion of charges to cost of sales for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively, in connection with the State Settlement Agreements and FDA user fees. For further discussion of the resolutions of certain disputes with states and territories related to the NPM Adjustment provision under the MSA, see *Health Care Cost Recovery Litigation - NPM Adjustment Disputes* in Note 18.

Based on current agreements, 2017 market share and historical annual industry volume decline rates, the estimated amounts that Altria Group, Inc.'s subsidiaries may charge to cost of sales for payments related to State Settlement Agreements and FDA user fees approximate \$4.8 billion in 2018 and each year thereafter. These amounts exclude the potential impact of the NPM Adjustment provision applicable under the MSA and the revised NPM Adjustment provisions applicable under the resolutions of the NPM Adjustment disputes.

The estimated amounts due under the State Settlement Agreements charged to cost of sales in each year would generally be paid in the following year. The amounts charged to cost of sales for FDA user fees are generally paid in the quarter in which the fees are incurred. As previously stated, the payments due under the terms of the State Settlement Agreements and FDA user fees are subject to adjustment for several factors, including volume, operating income, inflation and certain contingent events and, in general, are allocated based on each manufacturer's market share. The future payment amounts discussed above are estimates, and actual payment amounts will differ to the extent underlying assumptions differ from actual future results.

Litigation-Related Deposits and Payments - With respect to certain adverse verdicts currently on appeal, to obtain stays of judgments pending appeals, as of December 31, 2017, PM USA had posted various forms of security totaling approximately \$61 million, the majority of which have been collateralized with cash deposits. These cash deposits are included in assets on the consolidated balance sheet.

Although litigation is subject to uncertainty and an adverse outcome or settlement of litigation could have a material adverse effect on the financial position, cash flows or results of operations of PM USA, UST or Altria Group, Inc. in a particular fiscal quarter or fiscal year, as more fully disclosed in Note 18, Item 3 and Item 1A, management expects cash flow from operations, together with Altria Group, Inc.'s access to capital markets, to provide sufficient liquidity to meet ongoing business needs.

Equity and Dividends

As discussed in Note 11, *Stock Plans* to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8, during 2017 Altria Group, Inc. granted an aggregate of 0.6 million restricted stock units and 0.2 million performance stock units to eligible employees.

At December 31, 2017, the number of shares to be issued upon vesting of restricted stock units and performance stock units was not significant.

Dividends paid in 2017 and 2016 were approximately \$4.8 billion and \$4.5 billion, respectively, an increase of 6.5%, reflecting a higher dividend rate, partially offset by fewer shares outstanding as a result of shares repurchased by Altria Group, Inc. under its share repurchase program.

During the third quarter of 2017, the Board of Directors approved an 8.2% increase in the quarterly dividend rate to \$0.66 per share of Altria Group, Inc. common stock versus the previous rate of \$0.61 per share. Altria Group, Inc. expects to continue to maintain a dividend payout ratio target of approximately 80% of its adjusted diluted EPS. The current annualized dividend rate is \$2.64 per share. Future dividend payments remain subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors.

At December 31, 2017, Altria Group, Inc. had approximately \$18 million remaining in the July 2015 share repurchase program, which it subsequently completed in January 2018. In January 2018, the Board of Directors authorized a new \$1.0 billion share repurchase program, which Altria Group, Inc. expects to complete by the end of 2018. For further discussion of Altria Group, Inc.'s share repurchase programs, see Note 10, *Capital Stock* to the consolidated financial statements in Item 8 and Part II, Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Recent Accounting Guidance Not Yet Adopted

See Note 2 for a discussion of recently issued accounting guidance applicable to, but not yet adopted by, Altria Group, Inc.

In addition, in February 2018, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2018-02, *Income Statement - Reporting Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reclassification of Certain Tax Effects from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income* ("ASU No. 2018-02"). Under ASU No. 2018-02, an entity may elect to reclassify the income tax effects of the Tax Reform Act on items within accumulated other comprehensive income to retained earnings. ASU No. 2018-02 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted in any interim period for which

[Table of Contents](#)

financial statements have not yet been issued. The guidance in ASU No. 2018-02 should be applied either in the period of adoption or retrospectively to each period (or periods) in which the effect of the change in the U.S. federal corporate tax rate in the Tax Reform Act is recognized. Altria Group, Inc. is in the process of evaluating the impact of this guidance on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

Contingencies

See Note 18 and Item 3 for a discussion of contingencies.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

At December 31, 2017 and 2016, the fair value of Altria Group, Inc.'s total debt was \$15.3 billion and \$15.1 billion, respectively. The fair value of Altria Group, Inc.'s debt is subject to fluctuations resulting from changes in market interest rates. A 1% increase in market interest rates at December 31, 2017 and 2016 would decrease the fair value of Altria Group, Inc.'s total debt by approximately \$1.2 billion for each period. A 1% decrease in market interest rates at December 31, 2017 and 2016 would increase the fair value of Altria Group, Inc.'s total debt by approximately \$1.3 billion and \$1.4 billion, respectively.

Interest rates on borrowings under the Credit Agreement are expected to be based on LIBOR plus a percentage based on the higher of the ratings of Altria Group, Inc.'s long-term senior unsecured debt from Moody's and Standard & Poor's. The applicable percentage based on Altria Group, Inc.'s long-term senior unsecured debt ratings at December 31, 2017 for borrowings under the Credit Agreement was 1.125%. At December 31, 2017, Altria Group, Inc. had no borrowings under the Credit Agreement.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Balance Sheets
(in millions of dollars)

at December 31,	2017	2016
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,253	\$ 4,569
Receivables	142	151
Inventories:		
Leaf tobacco	941	892
Other raw materials	170	164
Work in process	560	512
Finished product	554	483
	2,225	2,051
Income taxes	461	269
Other current assets	263	220
Total current assets	4,344	7,260
Property, plant and equipment, at cost:		
Land and land improvements	302	316
Buildings and building equipment	1,437	1,481
Machinery and equipment	2,975	2,917
Construction in progress	165	121
	4,879	4,835
Less accumulated depreciation	2,965	2,877
	1,914	1,958
Goodwill	5,307	5,285
Other intangible assets, net	12,400	12,036
Investment in AB InBev	17,952	17,852
Finance assets, net	899	1,028
Other assets	386	513
Total Assets	\$ 43,202	\$ 45,932

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Balance Sheets (Continued)
(in millions of dollars, except share and per share data)

at December 31,	2017	2016
Liabilities		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 864	\$ —
Accounts payable	374	425
Accrued liabilities:		
Marketing	695	747
Employment costs	188	289
Settlement charges	2,442	3,701
Other	971	1,025
Dividends payable	1,258	1,188
Total current liabilities	6,792	7,375
Long-term debt	13,030	13,881
Deferred income taxes	5,247	8,416
Accrued pension costs	445	805
Accrued postretirement health care costs	1,987	2,217
Other liabilities	283	427
Total liabilities	27,784	33,121
Contingencies (Note 18)		
Redeemable noncontrolling interest	38	38
Stockholders' Equity		
Common stock, par value \$0.33 1/3 per share (2,805,961,317 shares issued)	935	935
Additional paid-in capital	5,952	5,893
Earnings reinvested in the business	42,251	36,906
Accumulated other comprehensive losses	(1,897)	(2,052)
Cost of repurchased stock (904,702,125 shares at December 31, 2017 and 862,689,093 shares at December 31, 2016)	(31,864)	(28,912)
Total stockholders' equity attributable to Altria Group, Inc.	15,377	12,770
Noncontrolling interests	3	3
Total stockholders' equity	15,380	12,773
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 43,202	\$ 45,932

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Earnings
(in millions of dollars, except per share data)

for the years ended December 31,	2017	2016	2015
Net revenues	\$ 25,576	\$ 25,744	\$ 25,434
Cost of sales	7,543	7,746	7,740
Excise taxes on products	6,082	6,407	6,580
Gross profit	11,951	11,591	11,114
Marketing, administration and research costs	2,362	2,650	2,708
Reduction of PMI tax-related receivable	—	—	41
Asset impairment and exit costs	33	179	4
Operating income	9,556	8,762	8,361
Interest and other debt expense, net	705	747	817
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	—	823	228
Earnings from equity investment in AB InBev/SABMiller	(532)	(795)	(757)
Gain on AB InBev/SABMiller business combination	(445)	(13,865)	(5)
Earnings before income taxes	9,828	21,852	8,078
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	(399)	7,608	2,835
Net earnings	10,227	14,244	5,243
Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	(5)	(5)	(2)
Net earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc.	\$ 10,222	\$ 14,239	\$ 5,241
Per share data:			
Basic and diluted earnings per share attributable to Altria Group, Inc.	\$ 5.31	\$ 7.28	\$ 2.67

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Earnings
(in millions of dollars)

for the years ended December 31,	2017	2016	2015
Net earnings	\$ 10,227	\$ 14,244	\$ 5,243
Other comprehensive earnings (losses), net of deferred income taxes:			
Currency translation adjustments	—	1	(3)
Benefit plans	209	(38)	30
AB InBev/SABMiller	(54)	1,265	(625)
Other comprehensive earnings (losses), net of deferred income taxes	155	1,228	(598)
Comprehensive earnings	10,382	15,472	4,645
Comprehensive earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	(5)	(5)	(2)
Comprehensive earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc.	\$ 10,377	\$ 15,467	\$ 4,643

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(in millions of dollars)

for the years ended December 31,	2017	2016	2015
Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities			
Net earnings	\$ 10,227	\$ 14,244	\$ 5,243
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to operating cash flows:			
Depreciation and amortization	209	204	225
Deferred income tax (benefit) provision	(3,126)	3,119	(132)
Earnings from equity investment in AB InBev/SABMiller	(532)	(795)	(757)
Gain on AB InBev/SABMiller business combination	(445)	(13,865)	(5)
Dividends from AB InBev/SABMiller	806	739	495
Asset impairment and exit costs, net of cash paid	(38)	106	1
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	—	823	228
Cash effects of changes:			
Receivables	10	(27)	3
Inventories	(171)	(34)	(33)
Accounts payable	(55)	24	26
Income taxes	(294)	(231)	(12)
Accrued liabilities and other current assets	(85)	(113)	184
Accrued settlement charges	(1,259)	111	90
Pension and postretirement plans contributions	(294)	(531)	(28)
Pension provisions and postretirement, net	(11)	(73)	114
Other	(20)	120	201
Net cash provided by operating activities	4,922	3,821	5,843
Cash Provided by (Used in) Investing Activities			
Capital expenditures	(199)	(189)	(229)
Acquisitions of businesses and assets	(415)	(45)	—
Proceeds from finance assets	133	231	354
Proceeds from AB InBev/SABMiller business combination	—	4,773	—
Purchase of AB InBev ordinary shares	—	(1,578)	—
Payment for derivative financial instruments	(5)	(3)	(132)
Proceeds from derivative financial instruments	—	510	—
Other	19	9	(8)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(467)	3,708	(15)
Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities			
Long-term debt issued	—	1,976	—
Long-term debt repaid	—	(933)	(1,793)
Repurchases of common stock	(2,917)	(1,030)	(554)
Dividends paid on common stock	(4,807)	(4,512)	(4,179)
Premiums and fees related to early extinguishment of debt	—	(809)	(226)
Other	(47)	(21)	(28)
Net cash used in financing activities	(7,771)	(5,329)	(6,780)
Cash and cash equivalents:			
(Decrease) increase	(3,316)	2,200	(952)
Balance at beginning of year	4,569	2,369	3,321
Balance at end of year	\$ 1,253	\$ 4,569	\$ 2,369
Cash paid: Interest	\$ 696	\$ 775	\$ 776
Income taxes	\$ 3,036	\$ 4,664	\$ 3,029

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity
(in millions of dollars, except per share data)

	Attributable to Altria Group, Inc.						
	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Earnings Reinvested in the Business	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Losses	Cost of Repurchased Stock	Non- controlling Interests	Total Stockholders' Equity
Balances, December 31, 2014	\$ 935	\$ 5,735	\$ 26,277	\$ (2,682)	\$ (27,251)	\$ (4)	\$ 3,010
Net earnings (losses) ⁽¹⁾	—	—	5,241	—	—	(3)	5,238
Other comprehensive losses, net of deferred income taxes	—	—	—	(598)	—	—	(598)
Stock award activity	—	78	—	—	(40)	—	38
Cash dividends declared (\$2.17 per share)	—	—	(4,261)	—	—	—	(4,261)
Repurchases of common stock	—	—	—	—	(554)	—	(554)
Balances, December 31, 2015	935	5,813	27,257	(3,280)	(27,845)	(7)	2,873
Net earnings ⁽¹⁾	—	—	14,239	—	—	—	14,239
Other comprehensive earnings, net of deferred income taxes	—	—	—	1,228	—	—	1,228
Stock award activity	—	90	—	—	(37)	—	53
Cash dividends declared (\$2.35 per share)	—	—	(4,590)	—	—	—	(4,590)
Repurchases of common stock	—	—	—	—	(1,030)	—	(1,030)
Other	—	(10)	—	—	—	10	—
Balances, December 31, 2016	935	5,893	36,906	(2,052)	(28,912)	3	12,773
Net earnings ⁽¹⁾	—	—	10,222	—	—	—	10,222
Other comprehensive earnings, net of deferred income taxes	—	—	—	155	—	—	155
Stock award activity	—	59	—	—	(35)	—	24
Cash dividends declared (\$2.54 per share)	—	—	(4,877)	—	—	—	(4,877)
Repurchases of common stock	—	—	—	—	(2,917)	—	(2,917)
Balances, December 31, 2017	\$ 935	\$ 5,952	\$ 42,251	\$ (1,897)	\$ (31,864)	\$ 3	\$ 15,380

⁽¹⁾ Amounts attributable to noncontrolling interests for each of the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 exclude net earnings of \$5 million due to the redeemable noncontrolling interest related to Stag's Leap Wine Cellars, which is reported in the mezzanine equity section on the consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015. See Note 18.

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Note 1. Background and Basis of Presentation

▪ **Background:** At December 31, 2017, Altria Group, Inc.'s wholly-owned subsidiaries included Philip Morris USA Inc. ("PM USA"), which is engaged in the manufacture and sale of cigarettes in the United States; John Middleton Co. ("Middleton"), which is engaged in the manufacture and sale of machine-made large cigars and pipe tobacco and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of PM USA; Sherman Group Holdings, LLC and its subsidiaries ("Nat Sherman"), which are engaged in the manufacture and sale of super premium cigarettes and the sale of premium cigars; and UST LLC ("UST"), which through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, including U.S. Smokeless Tobacco Company LLC ("USSTC") and Ste. Michelle Wine Estates Ltd. ("Ste. Michelle"), is engaged in the manufacture and sale of smokeless tobacco products and wine. Altria Group, Inc.'s other operating companies included Nu Mark LLC ("Nu Mark"), a wholly-owned subsidiary that is engaged in the manufacture and sale of innovative tobacco products, and Philip Morris Capital Corporation ("PMCC"), a wholly-owned subsidiary that maintains a portfolio of finance assets, substantially all of which are leveraged leases. Other Altria Group, Inc. wholly-owned subsidiaries included Altria Group Distribution Company, which provides sales and distribution services to certain Altria Group, Inc. operating subsidiaries, and Altria Client Services LLC, which provides various support services in areas such as legal, regulatory, consumer engagement, finance, human resources and external affairs to Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries. Altria Group, Inc.'s access to the operating cash flows of its wholly-owned subsidiaries consists of cash received from the payment of dividends and distributions, and the payment of interest on intercompany loans by its subsidiaries. At December 31, 2017, Altria Group, Inc.'s principal wholly-owned subsidiaries were not limited by long-term debt or other agreements in their ability to pay cash dividends or make other distributions with respect to their equity interests.

At September 30, 2016, Altria Group, Inc. had an approximate 27% ownership of SABMiller plc ("SABMiller"), which Altria Group, Inc. accounted for under the equity method of accounting. In October 2016, Anheuser-Busch InBev SA/NV ("Legacy AB InBev") completed its business combination with SABMiller, and Altria Group, Inc. received cash and shares representing a 9.6% ownership in the combined company (the "Transaction"). The newly formed Belgian company, which retained the name Anheuser-Busch InBev SA/NV ("AB InBev"), became the holding company for the combined businesses. Subsequently, Altria Group, Inc. purchased approximately 12 million ordinary shares of AB InBev, increasing Altria Group, Inc.'s ownership to approximately 10.2% at December 31, 2016. At December 31, 2017, Altria Group, Inc. had an approximate 10.2% ownership of AB InBev, which Altria Group, Inc. accounts for under the equity method of accounting using a one-quarter lag. As a result of the one-quarter lag and the timing of the completion of the Transaction, no earnings from Altria Group, Inc.'s equity investment in AB InBev were recorded for the year

ended December 31, 2016. Altria Group, Inc. receives cash dividends on its interest in AB InBev if and when AB InBev pays such dividends. For further discussion, see Note 6. *Investment in AB InBev/SABMiller*.

In January 2017, Altria Group, Inc. acquired Nat Sherman, which joined PM USA and Middleton as part of Altria Group, Inc.'s smokeable products segment.

▪ **Basis of Presentation:** The consolidated financial statements include Altria Group, Inc., as well as its wholly-owned and majority-owned subsidiaries. Investments in which Altria Group, Inc. has the ability to exercise significant influence are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent liabilities at the dates of the financial statements and the reported amounts of net revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Significant estimates and assumptions include, among other things, pension and benefit plan assumptions, lives and valuation assumptions for goodwill and other intangible assets, marketing programs, income taxes, and the allowance for losses and estimated residual values of finance leases. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform with the current year's presentation due primarily to Altria Group, Inc.'s 2017 adoption of Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2016-09, *Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting* ("ASU No. 2016-09"). For further discussion, see Note 11. *Stock Plans*.

Note 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

▪ **Cash and Cash Equivalents:** Cash equivalents include demand deposits with banks and all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less. Cash equivalents are stated at cost plus accrued interest, which approximates fair value.

▪ **Depreciation, Amortization, Impairment Testing and Asset Valuation:** Property, plant and equipment are stated at historical costs and depreciated by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Machinery and equipment are depreciated over periods up to 25 years, and buildings and building improvements over periods up to 50 years. Definite-lived intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful lives up to 25 years.

Altria Group, Inc. reviews long-lived assets, including definite-lived intangible assets, for impairment whenever events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be fully recoverable. Altria Group, Inc. performs undiscounted operating cash flow analyses to determine if an impairment exists. For purposes of recognition and measurement of an impairment for assets held for use, Altria

Group, Inc. groups assets and liabilities at the lowest level for which cash flows are separately identifiable. If an impairment is determined to exist, any related impairment loss is calculated based on fair value. Impairment losses on assets to be disposed of, if any, are based on the estimated proceeds to be received, less costs of disposal. Altria Group, Inc. also reviews the estimated remaining useful lives of long-lived assets whenever events or changes in business circumstances indicate the lives may have changed.

Altria Group, Inc. conducts a required annual review of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for potential impairment, and more frequently if an event occurs or circumstances change that would require Altria Group, Inc. to perform an interim review. If the carrying value of goodwill exceeds its fair value, which is determined using discounted cash flows, goodwill is considered impaired. The amount of impairment loss is measured as the difference between the carrying value and the implied fair value. If the carrying value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset exceeds its fair value, which is determined using discounted cash flows, the intangible asset is considered impaired and is reduced to fair value.

▪ **Derivative Financial Instruments:** In November 2017, Altria Group, Inc. adopted ASU No. 2017-12, *Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Targeted Improvements to Accounting for Hedging Activities*, which expands hedge accounting for both financial and nonfinancial risk components to better portray the economic results of an entity's risk management activities in its financial statements. In addition, the guidance includes certain targeted improvements to simplify the application of hedge accounting. At adoption, Altria Group, Inc. had no derivative or nonderivative financial instruments designated in hedging relationships. Adoption of the guidance had no impact on prior years.

Altria Group, Inc. enters into derivatives to mitigate the potential impact of certain market risks, including foreign currency exchange rate risk. Altria Group, Inc. uses various types of derivative financial instruments, including forward contracts, options and swaps.

Derivative financial instruments are recorded at fair value on the consolidated balance sheets as either assets or liabilities. Derivative financial instruments that qualify for hedge accounting are designated as either fair value hedges, cash flow hedges or net investment hedges at the inception of the contracts. For fair value hedges, changes in the fair value of the derivative, as well as the offsetting changes in the fair value of the hedged item, are recorded in the consolidated statements of earnings each period. For cash flow hedges, changes in the fair value of the derivative are recorded each period in accumulated other comprehensive earnings (losses) and are reclassified to the consolidated statements of earnings in the same periods in which operating results are affected by the respective hedged item. For net investment hedges, changes in the fair value of the derivative or foreign currency transaction gains or losses on a nonderivative hedging instrument are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive earnings (losses) to offset the change in the value

of the net investment being hedged. Such amounts remain in accumulated other comprehensive earnings (losses) until the complete or substantially complete liquidation of the underlying foreign operations occurs or, for investments in foreign entities accounted for under the equity method of accounting, Altria Group, Inc.'s economic interest in the underlying foreign entity decreases. Cash flows from hedging instruments are classified in the same manner as the respective hedged item in the consolidated statements of cash flows.

To qualify for hedge accounting, the hedging relationship, both at inception of the hedge and on an ongoing basis, is expected to be highly effective at achieving the offsetting changes in the fair value of the hedged risk during the period that the hedge is designated. Altria Group, Inc. formally designates and documents, at inception, the financial instrument as a hedge of a specific underlying exposure, the risk management objective, the strategy for undertaking the hedge transaction and method for assessing hedge effectiveness. Additionally, for qualified hedges of forecasted transactions, if it becomes probable that a forecasted transaction will not occur, the hedge will no longer be effective and all of the derivative gains and losses would be recorded in the consolidated statement of earnings in the current period.

For financial instruments that are not designated as hedging instruments or do not qualify for hedge accounting, changes in fair value are recorded in the consolidated statements of earnings each period. Altria Group, Inc. does not enter into or hold derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

▪ **Employee Benefit Plans:** Altria Group, Inc. provides a range of benefits to its employees and retired employees, including pension, postretirement health care and postemployment benefits. Altria Group, Inc. records annual amounts relating to these plans based on calculations specified by U.S. GAAP, which include various actuarial assumptions as to discount rates, assumed rates of return on plan assets, mortality, compensation increases, turnover rates and health care cost trend rates.

Altria Group, Inc. recognizes the funded status of its defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans on the consolidated balance sheet and records as a component of other comprehensive earnings (losses), net of deferred income taxes, the gains or losses and prior service costs or credits that have not been recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost. The gains or losses and prior service costs or credits recorded as components of other comprehensive earnings (losses) are subsequently amortized into net periodic benefit cost in future years.

▪ **Environmental Costs:** Altria Group, Inc. is subject to laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment. Altria Group, Inc. provides for expenses associated with environmental remediation obligations on an undiscounted basis when such amounts are probable and can be reasonably estimated. Such accruals are adjusted as new information develops or circumstances change.

Compliance with environmental laws and regulations, including the payment of any remediation and compliance costs or damages and the making of related expenditures, has not had,

and is not expected to have, a material adverse effect on Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated results of operations, capital expenditures, financial position or cash flows (see Note 18. *Contingencies - Environmental Regulation*).

▪ **Fair Value Measurements:** Altria Group, Inc. measures certain assets and liabilities at fair value. Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Altria Group, Inc. uses a fair value hierarchy, which gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of inputs used to measure fair value are:

- Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.
- Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

▪ **Finance Leases:** Income attributable to leveraged leases is initially recorded as unearned income and subsequently recognized as revenue over the terms of the respective leases at constant after-tax rates of return on the positive net investment balances. Investments in leveraged leases are stated net of related nonrecourse debt obligations.

Finance leases include unguaranteed residual values that represent PMCC's estimates at lease inception as to the fair values of assets under lease at the end of the non-cancelable lease terms. The estimated residual values are reviewed at least annually by PMCC's management. This review includes analysis of a number of factors, including activity in the relevant industry. If necessary, revisions are recorded to reduce the residual values.

PMCC considers rents receivable past due when they are beyond the grace period of their contractual due date. PMCC stops recording income ("non-accrual status") on rents receivable when contractual payments become 90 days past due or earlier if management believes there is significant uncertainty of collectability of rent payments, and resumes recording income when collectability of rent payments is reasonably certain. Payments received on rents receivable that are on non-accrual status are used to reduce the rents receivable balance. Write-offs to the allowance for losses are recorded when amounts are deemed to be uncollectible.

▪ **Guarantees:** Altria Group, Inc. recognizes a liability for the fair value of the obligation of qualifying guarantee activities. See Note 18. *Contingencies* for a further discussion of guarantees.

▪ **Income Taxes:** Significant judgment is required in

determining income tax provisions and in evaluating tax positions.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities, using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. Altria Group, Inc. records a valuation allowance when it is more-likely-than-not that some portion or all of a deferred tax asset will not be realized.

Altria Group, Inc. recognizes a benefit for uncertain tax positions when a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon examination by taxing authorities. The amount recognized is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Altria Group, Inc. recognizes accrued interest and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions as part of the provision for income taxes in its consolidated statements of earnings.

▪ **Inventories:** The last-in, first-out ("LIFO") method is used to determine the cost of substantially all tobacco inventories. The cost of the remaining inventories is determined using the first-in, first-out ("FIFO") and average cost methods. Inventories that are measured using the LIFO method are stated at the lower of cost or market. Inventories that are measured using the FIFO and average cost methods are stated at the lower of cost and net realizable value. It is a generally recognized industry practice to classify leaf tobacco and wine inventories as current assets although part of such inventory, because of the duration of the curing and aging process, ordinarily would not be used within one year.

▪ **Litigation Contingencies and Costs:** Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries record provisions in the consolidated financial statements for pending litigation when it is determined that an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Litigation defense costs are expensed as incurred and included in marketing, administration and research costs in the consolidated statements of earnings.

▪ **Marketing Costs:** Altria Group, Inc.'s businesses promote their products with consumer engagement programs, consumer incentives and trade promotions. Such programs include discounts, coupons, rebates, in-store display incentives, event marketing and volume-based incentives. Consumer engagement programs are expensed as incurred. Consumer incentive and trade promotion activities are recorded as a reduction of revenues, a portion of which is based on amounts estimated as being due to wholesalers, retailers and consumers at the end of a period, based principally on historical volume, utilization and redemption rates. For interim reporting purposes, consumer engagement programs and certain consumer incentive expenses are charged to operations as a percentage of sales, based on estimated sales and related expenses for the full year.

▪ **Revenue Recognition:** Altria Group, Inc.'s businesses recognize revenues, net of sales incentives and sales returns, and including shipping and handling charges billed to customers, upon shipment of goods when title and risk of loss pass to

customers. Payments received in advance of revenue recognition are deferred and recorded in other accrued liabilities until revenue is recognized. Altria Group, Inc.'s businesses also include excise taxes billed to customers in net revenues. Shipping and handling costs are classified as part of cost of sales.

- **Stock-Based Compensation:** Altria Group, Inc. measures compensation cost for all stock-based awards at fair value on date of grant, net of estimated forfeitures, and recognizes compensation expense over the service periods for awards expected to vest.

- **New Accounting Standards:** The following table provides a description of the recently issued accounting guidance applicable to, but not yet adopted by, Altria Group, Inc.:

Standards	Description	Effective Date for Public Entity	Effect on Financial Statements
ASU Nos. 2014-09; 2015-14; 2016-08; 2016-10; 2016-12; 2016-20 <i>Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)</i>	The guidance establishes principles for reporting information about the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from an entity's contracts with customers.	The guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that reporting period.	The adoption of this guidance will not have a material impact on the amount or timing of revenue recognized on Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated financial statements based on current contracts with customers. The guidance will result in expanded footnote disclosures. Altria Group, Inc. will adopt this guidance in the first quarter of 2018, using the modified retrospective transition method.
ASU No. 2016-01 <i>Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities (Subtopic 825-10)</i>	The guidance addresses certain aspects of recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of financial instruments.	The guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that reporting period.	The adoption of this guidance will not have a material impact on Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated financial statements. Altria Group, Inc. will adopt this guidance in the first quarter of 2018.
ASU Nos. 2016-02; 2018-01 <i>Leases (Topic 842)</i>	The guidance increases transparency and comparability among organizations by requiring entities to recognize lease assets and lease liabilities on the balance sheet and disclose key information about leasing arrangements.	The guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within that reporting period. Early adoption is permitted.	Altria Group, Inc. is in the process of evaluating the impact of this guidance on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures, including identifying and analyzing all contracts that contain a lease. As a lessor, PMCC maintains a portfolio of finance assets, substantially all of which are leveraged leases, the accounting of which will be unchanged under the new guidance and is not expected to change unless there is a contract modification to an existing lease. As a lessee, Altria Group, Inc.'s various leases under existing guidance are classified as operating leases that are not recorded on its consolidated balance sheets but are recorded in its consolidated statements of earnings as expense is incurred. Upon adoption of the new guidance, Altria Group, Inc. will record substantially all leases on its balance sheets as a right-of-use asset and a lease liability. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated financial statements. The guidance will result in expanded footnote disclosures.
ASU No. 2016-13 <i>Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments (Topic 326)</i>	The guidance replaces the current incurred loss impairment methodology for recognizing credit losses for financial assets with a methodology that reflects the entity's current estimate of all expected credit losses and requires consideration of a broader range of reasonable and supportable information for estimating credit losses.	The guidance is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019, including interim periods within that reporting period. Early adoption is permitted only as of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within that reporting period.	Altria Group, Inc. is in the process of evaluating the impact of this guidance on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures. Altria Group, Inc.'s financial assets that are within the scope of the new guidance were approximately 2% of Altria Group, Inc.'s total assets at December 31, 2017.
ASU No. 2016-15 <i>Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments (Topic 230)</i>	The guidance addresses how eight specific cash flow issues are to be presented and classified in the statement of cash flows.	The guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017 and interim periods within those fiscal years.	The adoption of this guidance will not have a material impact on Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated statements of cash flows. Altria Group, Inc. will adopt this guidance in the first quarter of 2018.

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Standards	Description	Effective Date for Public Entity	Effect on Financial Statements
ASU No. 2016-18 <i>Restricted Cash (Topic 230)</i>	The guidance requires that a statement of cash flows explain the change during the period in the total of cash, cash equivalents and amounts generally described as restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents.	The guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017 and interim periods within those fiscal years.	At December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, Altria Group, Inc. had restricted cash of \$61 million and \$82 million, respectively. Altria Group, Inc. will retrospectively adopt this guidance in the first quarter of 2018 and will comply with the required presentation of restricted cash in its consolidated statements of cash flows upon adoption.
ASU No. 2017-07 <i>Improving the Presentation of Net Periodic Pension Cost and Net Periodic Postretirement Benefit Cost (Topic 715)</i>	The guidance requires an employer to report the service cost component of net periodic pension cost and net periodic postretirement benefit cost in the same line item or items as other compensation costs arising from services rendered by employees during the period. The other components of net periodic pension cost and net periodic postretirement benefit cost are required to be presented in the statement of earnings separately from the service cost component and outside the subtotal of operating income. Additionally, only the service cost component is eligible for capitalization.	The guidance is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017 and interim periods within that reporting period. The guidance is required to be applied retrospectively for the presentation of the service cost component and the other components of net periodic pension cost and net periodic postretirement benefit cost in the statement of earnings, and prospectively for the capitalization of the service cost component.	Under the new guidance, the amount of non-service cost components of net periodic benefit cost (income) presented within operating income that would have been presented separately from operating income was \$37 million, \$(1) million and \$151 million for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The prospective adoption of this guidance related to the capitalization of the service cost component will not have a material impact on Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated financial statements. Altria Group, Inc. will adopt this guidance in the first quarter of 2018.

Note 3. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, net

Goodwill and other intangible assets, net, by segment were as follows:

(in millions)	Goodwill		Other Intangible Assets, net	
	December 31, 2017	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Smokeable products	\$ 99	\$ 77	\$ 3,054	\$ 2,901
Smokeless products	5,023	5,023	8,827	8,829
Wine	74	74	294	295
Other	111	111	225	11
Total	\$ 5,307	\$ 5,285	\$ 12,400	\$ 12,036

Goodwill relates to the 2017 acquisition of Nat Sherman, 2014 acquisition of Green Smoke, 2009 acquisition of UST and 2007 acquisition of Middleton. Other intangible assets consisted of the following:

(in millions)	December 31, 2017		December 31, 2016	
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Indefinite-lived intangible assets	\$ 12,125	\$ —	\$ 11,740	\$ —
Definite-lived intangible assets	465	190	465	169
Total other intangible assets	\$ 12,590	\$ 190	\$ 12,205	\$ 169

Indefinite-lived intangible assets consist substantially of trademarks from Altria Group, Inc.'s 2009 acquisition of UST (\$9.1 billion) and 2007 acquisition of Middleton (\$2.6 billion). Definite-lived intangible assets, which consist primarily of customer relationships and certain cigarette trademarks, are amortized over periods up to 25 years. Pre-tax amortization expense for definite-lived intangible assets during each of the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, was \$21 million. Annual amortization expense for each of the next five years is estimated to be approximately \$20 million, assuming no

additional transactions occur that require the amortization of intangible assets.

During 2017, 2016 and 2015, Altria Group, Inc. completed its quantitative annual impairment test of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets, and no impairment charges resulted.

For the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, there have been no changes in goodwill and the gross carrying amount of other intangible assets except for the purchase of certain intellectual property in 2017 primarily related to innovative tobacco products, the 2017 acquisition of Nat Sherman and Ste. Michelle's 2016 purchase of substantially all of the assets

of Patz & Hall Wine Company, Inc. In addition, there were no accumulated impairment losses related to goodwill and other intangible assets, net at December 31, 2017 and 2016.

Note 4. Asset Impairment, Exit and Implementation Costs

Pre-tax asset impairment, exit and implementation costs consisted of the following:

For the Year Ended December 31, 2017					
(in millions)	Asset Impairment and Exit Costs		Implementation Costs ⁽¹⁾		Total
Smokeable products	\$	5	\$	17	\$ 22
Smokeless products		28		28	56
Total	\$	33	\$	45	\$ 78

⁽¹⁾ The pre-tax implementation costs were included in cost of sales in Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated statement of earnings.

For the Year Ended December 31, 2016					
(in millions)	Asset Impairment and Exit Costs ⁽¹⁾		Implementation Costs		Total
Smokeable products	\$	125	\$	9	\$ 134
Smokeless products		42		15	57
All other		7		—	7
General corporate		5		—	5
Total	\$	179	\$	24	\$ 203

⁽¹⁾ Includes termination, settlement and curtailment costs of \$27 million. See Note 16. *Benefit Plans*.

The pre-tax asset impairment, exit and implementation costs for 2017 are related to the facilities consolidation discussed below, and the pre-tax asset impairment, exit and implementation costs for 2016 are related to both the facilities consolidation and the productivity initiative discussed below.

The movement in the restructuring liabilities (excluding termination, settlement and curtailment costs), substantially all of which are severance liabilities, for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 was as follows:

(in millions)	
Balances at December 31, 2015	\$ —
Charges	152
Cash spent	(73)
Balances at December 31, 2016	79
Charges	25
Cash spent	(71)
Balances at December 31, 2017	\$ 33

- **Facilities Consolidation:** In October 2016, Altria Group, Inc. announced the consolidation of certain of its operating companies' manufacturing facilities to streamline operations and achieve greater efficiencies. Middleton is in the process of transferring its Limerick, Pennsylvania operations to the Manufacturing Center site in Richmond, Virginia ("Richmond Manufacturing Center"). USSTC is in the process of transferring its Franklin Park, Illinois operations to its Nashville, Tennessee facility and the Richmond Manufacturing Center. Separation benefits are being paid to non-relocating employees. The consolidation is expected to be substantially completed by the end of the first quarter of 2018.

As a result of the consolidation, Altria Group, Inc. expects to record total pre-tax charges of approximately \$150 million, or \$0.05 per share. Of this amount, during 2017, Altria Group, Inc. incurred pre-tax charges of \$78 million and recorded \$71 million in 2016. The total estimated charges relate primarily to accelerated depreciation and asset impairment (\$50 million), employee separation costs (\$45 million) and other exit and implementation costs (\$55 million). Approximately \$95 million of the total pre-tax charges are expected to result in cash expenditures.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, total pre-tax asset impairment and exit costs for the consolidation of \$54 million were recorded in the smokeable products segment (\$25 million) and smokeless products segment (\$29 million). In addition, for the year ended December 31, 2016, pre-tax implementation costs of \$17 million were recorded in the smokeable products segment (\$3 million) and smokeless products segment (\$14 million). The pre-tax implementation costs were included in cost of sales in Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated statement of earnings.

Cash payments related to the consolidation of \$58 million were made during the year ended December 31, 2017, for total cash payments of \$63 million since inception.

- **Productivity Initiative:** In January 2016, Altria Group, Inc. announced a productivity initiative designed to maintain its operating companies' leadership and cost competitiveness through reduced spending on certain selling, general and administrative infrastructure and a leaner organizational structure. As a result of the initiative, during 2016, Altria Group, Inc. incurred total pre-

tax restructuring charges of \$132 million, or \$0.04 per share, substantially all of which result in cash expenditures. The charges consisted of employee separation costs of \$117 million and other associated costs of \$15 million. Total pre-tax charges related to the initiative have been completed.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, total pre-tax asset impairment and exit costs for the initiative of \$125 million were recorded in the smokeable products segment (\$100 million), smokeless products segment (\$13 million), all other (\$7 million) and general corporate (\$5 million). In addition, for the year ended December 31, 2016, pre-tax implementation costs of \$7 million were recorded in the smokeable products segment (\$6 million) and smokeless products segment (\$1 million). The pre-tax implementation costs were included in marketing, administration and research costs in Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated statement of earnings.

Cash payments related to the initiative of \$32 million were made during the year ended December 31, 2017, for total cash payments of \$106 million since inception.

Note 5. Inventories

On January 1, 2017, Altria Group, Inc. adopted ASU No. 2015-11, *Inventory (Topic 330): Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory*, which requires inventory that is measured using the FIFO or average cost methods to be measured at the lower of cost and net realizable value. Previous guidance required inventory that was measured using the FIFO or average cost methods to be measured at the lower of cost or market. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated financial statements.

The cost of approximately 59% and 62% of inventories at December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, was determined using the LIFO method. The stated LIFO amounts of inventories were approximately \$0.7 billion lower than the current cost of inventories at December 31, 2017 and 2016.

Note 6. Investment in AB InBev/SABMiller

At December 31, 2017, Altria Group, Inc. had an approximate 10.2% ownership of AB InBev, consisting of approximately 185 million restricted shares of AB InBev (the "Restricted Shares") and approximately 12 million ordinary shares of AB InBev. Altria Group, Inc. accounts for its investment in AB InBev under the equity method of accounting because Altria Group, Inc. has the ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of AB InBev, including having active representation on AB InBev's Board of Directors ("AB InBev Board") and certain AB InBev Board Committees. Through this representation, Altria Group, Inc. participates in AB InBev policy making processes.

Altria Group, Inc. reports its share of AB InBev's results using a one-quarter lag because AB InBev's results are not available in time for Altria Group, Inc. to record them in the concurrent period.

Pre-tax earnings from Altria Group, Inc.'s equity investment in AB InBev were \$532 million for the year ended December 31, 2017. As a result of the one-quarter lag and the timing of the

completion of the Transaction, no earnings from Altria Group, Inc.'s equity investment in AB InBev were recorded for the year ended December 31, 2016.

On December 22, 2017, the U.S. Government enacted comprehensive tax legislation commonly referred to as the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the "Tax Reform Act"). Consistent with the one-quarter lag for recording AB InBev's results, in the first quarter of 2018 Altria Group, Inc. will record its share of AB InBev's recorded fourth quarter 2017 estimated effect of the Tax Reform Act.

Summary financial data of AB InBev is as follows:

(in millions)	For Altria Group, Inc.'s Year Ended December 31, 2017 ⁽¹⁾	
Net revenues	\$	56,004
Gross profit	\$	34,376
Earnings from continuing operations	\$	6,769
Net earnings	\$	6,845
Net earnings attributable to AB InBev	\$	5,473

(in millions)	At September 30, 2017 ⁽¹⁾		At October 10, 2016 ⁽¹⁾	
Current assets	\$	30,920	\$	40,086
Long-term assets	\$	213,696	\$	223,701
Current liabilities	\$	37,765	\$	44,272
Long-term liabilities	\$	134,236	\$	139,112
Noncontrolling interests	\$	10,639	\$	9,177

⁽¹⁾ Reflecting the one-quarter lag: (i) summary financial data of AB InBev's results for Altria Group, Inc.'s year ended December 31, 2017 include AB InBev's results for the last three months of 2016 and the first nine months of 2017, and (ii) summary financial data of AB InBev's financial position is disclosed at September 30, 2017 and October 10, 2016.

At December 31, 2017, Altria Group, Inc.'s carrying amount of its equity investment in AB InBev exceeded its share of AB InBev's net assets attributable to equity holders of AB InBev by approximately \$11.7 billion. Substantially all of this difference is comprised of goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets (consisting primarily of trademarks).

The fair value of Altria Group, Inc.'s equity investment in AB InBev is based on: (i) unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for AB InBev's ordinary shares and was classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy and (ii) observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets for the Restricted Shares, and was classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. Altria Group, Inc. may, in certain instances, pledge or otherwise grant a security interest in all or part of its Restricted Shares. In the event the pledgee or security interest holder forecloses on the Restricted Shares, the relevant Restricted Shares will be automatically converted, one-for-one, into ordinary shares. Therefore, the fair value of each Restricted Share is based on the value of an ordinary share. The fair value of Altria Group, Inc.'s equity investment in AB InBev at December 31, 2017 and 2016 was \$22.1 billion and \$20.9 billion, respectively, compared

with its carrying value of \$18.0 billion and \$17.9 billion, respectively.

Prior to the completion of the Transaction on October 10, 2016, Altria Group, Inc. held an approximate 27% ownership of SABMiller that was accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

Pre-tax earnings from Altria Group, Inc.'s equity investment in SABMiller were \$795 million and \$757 million for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Altria Group, Inc.'s earnings from its equity investment in SABMiller for the year ended December 31, 2016 included a pre-tax non-cash gain of \$309 million, reflecting Altria Group, Inc.'s share of SABMiller's increase to shareholders' equity, resulting from the completion of the SABMiller, The Coca-Cola Company and Gutsche Family Investments transaction, combining bottling operations in Africa. As a result of the timing of the completion of the Transaction, Altria Group, Inc.'s pre-tax earnings from its equity investment in SABMiller for the year ended December 31, 2016 included its share of approximately nine months of SABMiller's earnings.

Summary financial data of SABMiller is as follows:

(in millions)	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2016 ⁽¹⁾	2015
Net revenues	\$ 14,543	\$ 20,188
Operating profit	\$ 2,099	\$ 3,690
Net earnings attributable to SABMiller	\$ 1,803	\$ 2,838

⁽¹⁾ As a result of the timing of the completion of the Transaction, summary financial data of SABMiller for the year ended December 31, 2016 included approximately nine months of SABMiller's results.

▪ **AB InBev and SABMiller Business Combination:** On October 10, 2016, Legacy AB InBev completed the Transaction, and AB InBev became the holding company for the combined SABMiller and Legacy AB InBev businesses. Under the terms of the Transaction, SABMiller shareholders received 45 British pounds ("GBP") in cash for each SABMiller share held, with a partial share alternative ("PSA"), which was subject to proration, available for approximately 41% of the SABMiller shares. Altria Group, Inc. elected the PSA.

Upon completion of the Transaction and taking into account proration, Altria Group, Inc. received, in respect of its 430,000,000 SABMiller shares, (i) an interest that was converted into the Restricted Shares, representing a 9.6% ownership of AB InBev based on AB InBev's shares outstanding at October 10, 2016, and (ii) approximately \$4.8 billion in pre-tax cash as the cash component of the PSA. Additionally, Altria Group, Inc. received pre-tax cash proceeds of approximately \$0.5 billion from exercising the derivative financial instruments discussed below, which, together with the pre-tax cash from the Transaction, totaled approximately \$5.3 billion in pre-tax cash. Subsequently, Altria Group, Inc. purchased approximately 12 million ordinary shares of AB InBev for a total cost of approximately \$1.6 billion, thereby increasing Altria Group, Inc.'s ownership of AB InBev to approximately 10.2% at December 31, 2016.

The Restricted Shares:

- are unlisted and not admitted to trading on any stock exchange;
- are subject to a five-year lock-up (subject to limited exceptions) ending October 10, 2021;
- are convertible into ordinary shares of AB InBev on a one-for-one basis after the end of this five-year lock-up period;
- rank equally with ordinary shares of AB InBev with regards to dividends and voting rights; and
- have director nomination rights with respect to AB InBev.

As a result of the Transaction, for the year ended December 31, 2016, Altria Group, Inc. recorded a pre-tax gain of approximately \$13.9 billion, or \$9.0 billion after-tax, which was based on the following:

- the Legacy AB InBev share price as of October 10, 2016;
- the book value of Altria Group, Inc.'s investment in SABMiller, including Altria Group, Inc.'s accumulated other comprehensive losses directly attributable to SABMiller, at October 10, 2016;
- the gains on the derivative financial instruments discussed below; and
- the impact of AB InBev's divestitures of certain SABMiller assets and businesses in connection with Legacy AB InBev obtaining necessary regulatory clearances for the Transaction ("AB InBev divestitures") that occurred by December 31, 2016.

For the year ended December 31, 2017, Altria Group, Inc. recorded pre-tax gains of \$445 million related to the planned completion of the remaining AB InBev divestitures in gain on AB InBev/SABMiller business combination in Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated statement of earnings.

Altria Group, Inc.'s gain on the Transaction was deferred for United States corporate income tax purposes, except to the extent of the cash consideration received.

▪ **Derivative Financial Instruments:** In November 2015 and August 2016, Altria Group, Inc. entered into a derivative financial instrument, each in the form of a put option (together the "options") to hedge Altria Group, Inc.'s exposure to foreign currency exchange rate movements in the GBP to the United States dollar, in relation to the pre-tax cash consideration that Altria Group, Inc. expected to receive under the PSA pursuant to the revised and final offer announced by Legacy AB InBev on July 26, 2016. The notional amounts of the November 2015 and August 2016 options were \$2,467 million (1,625 million GBP) and \$480 million (378 million GBP), respectively. The options did not qualify for hedge accounting; therefore, changes in the fair values of the options were recorded as gains or losses in Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated statements of earnings in the periods in which the changes occurred. For the year ended December 31, 2016, Altria Group, Inc. recorded pre-tax gains associated with the November 2015 and August

2016 options of \$330 million and \$19 million, respectively, for the changes in the fair values of the options in gain on AB InBev/SABMiller business combination in Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated statement of earnings. For the year ended December 31, 2015, Altria Group, Inc. recorded a pre-tax gain of \$20 million for the change in the fair value of the November 2015 option. Exercising the options in October 2016 resulted in approximately \$0.5 billion in pre-tax cash proceeds.

The fair values of the options were determined using binomial option pricing models, which reflect the contractual terms of the options and other observable market-based inputs, and were classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Note 7. Finance Assets, net

In 2003, PMCC ceased making new investments and began focusing exclusively on managing its portfolio of finance assets in order to maximize its operating results and cash flows from its existing lease portfolio activities and asset sales. Accordingly, PMCC's operating companies income will fluctuate over time as investments mature or are sold.

At December 31, 2017, finance assets, net, of \$899 million were comprised of investments in finance leases of \$922 million, reduced by the allowance for losses of \$23 million. At December 31, 2016, finance assets, net, of \$1,028 million were comprised of investments in finance leases of \$1,060 million, reduced by the allowance for losses of \$32 million.

A summary of the net investments in finance leases, substantially all of which were leveraged leases, at December 31, 2017 and 2016, before allowance for losses was as follows:

(in millions)	2017	2016
Rents receivable, net	\$ 696	\$ 805
Unguaranteed residual values	427	495
Unearned income	(201)	(240)
Investments in finance leases	922	1,060
Deferred income taxes	(407)	(717)
Net investments in finance leases	\$ 515	\$ 343

Rents receivable, net, represent unpaid rents, net of principal and interest payments on third-party nonrecourse debt. PMCC's rights to rents receivable are subordinate to the third-party nonrecourse debtholders and the leased equipment is pledged as collateral to the debtholders. The repayment of the nonrecourse debt is collateralized by lease payments receivable and the leased property, and is nonrecourse to the general assets of PMCC. As required by U.S. GAAP, the third-party nonrecourse debt of \$0.6 billion and \$0.8 billion at December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, has been offset against the related rents receivable. There were no leases with contingent rentals in 2017 and 2016.

In 2017, 2016 and 2015 PMCC's review of estimated residual values resulted in a decrease of \$8 million, \$28 million and \$65 million, respectively, to unguaranteed residual values. These decreases in unguaranteed residual values resulted in a

reduction to PMCC's net revenues of \$5 million, \$18 million and \$41 million in 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

At December 31, 2017, PMCC's investments in finance leases were principally comprised of the following investment categories: aircraft (40%), electric power (27%), railcar (13%), real estate (10%) and manufacturing (10%). There were no investments located outside the United States at December 31, 2017 and 2016.

Rents receivable in excess of debt service requirements on third-party nonrecourse debt at December 31, 2017 were as follows:

(in millions)	2017
2018	\$ 96
2019	173
2020	116
2021	96
2022	142
Thereafter	73
Total	\$ 696

PMCC maintains an allowance for losses that provides for estimated credit losses on its investments in finance leases. PMCC's portfolio consists substantially of leveraged leases to a diverse base of lessees participating in a variety of industries. Losses on such leases are recorded when probable and estimable. PMCC regularly performs a systematic assessment of each individual lease in its portfolio to determine potential credit or collection issues that might indicate impairment. Impairment takes into consideration both the probability of default and the likelihood of recovery if default were to occur. PMCC considers both quantitative and qualitative factors of each investment when performing its assessment of the allowance for losses.

Quantitative factors that indicate potential default are tied most directly to public debt ratings. PMCC monitors publicly available information on its obligors, including financial statements and credit rating agency reports. Qualitative factors that indicate the likelihood of recovery if default were to occur include underlying collateral value, other forms of credit support, and legal/structural considerations impacting each lease. Using available information, PMCC calculates potential losses for each lease in its portfolio based on its default and recovery rating assumptions for each lease. The aggregate of these potential losses forms a range of potential losses which is used as a guideline to determine the adequacy of PMCC's allowance for losses.

PMCC assesses the adequacy of its allowance for losses relative to the credit risk of its leasing portfolio on an ongoing basis. During 2017 and 2016, PMCC determined that its allowance for losses exceeded the amount required based on management's assessment of the credit quality and size of PMCC's leasing portfolio. As a result, PMCC reduced its allowance for losses by \$9 million and \$10 million for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively. There was no such adjustment for the year ended December 31, 2015. These

decreases to the allowance for losses were recorded as a reduction to marketing, administration and research costs in Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated statements of earnings. PMCC believes that, as of December 31, 2017, the allowance for losses of \$23 million was adequate. PMCC continues to monitor economic and credit conditions, and the individual situations of its lessees and their respective industries, and may increase or decrease its allowance for losses if such conditions change in the future.

The activity in the allowance for losses on finance assets for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 was as follows:

(in millions)	2017	2016	2015
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 32	\$ 42	\$ 42
Decrease to allowance	(9)	(10)	—
Balance at end of year	\$ 23	\$ 32	\$ 42

All PMCC lessees were current on their lease payment obligations as of December 31, 2017.

The credit quality of PMCC's investments in finance leases as assigned by Standard & Poor's Ratings Services ("Standard & Poor's") and Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's") at December 31, 2017 and 2016 was as follows:

(in millions)	2017	2016
Credit Rating by Standard & Poor's/Moody's:		
"AAA/Aaa" to "A-/A3"	\$ 220	\$ 218
"BBB+/Baa1" to "BBB-/Baa3"	550	559
"BB+/Ba1" and Lower	152	283
Total	\$ 922	\$ 1,060

Note 8. Short-Term Borrowings and Borrowing Arrangements

At December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, Altria Group, Inc. had no short-term borrowings. The credit line available to Altria Group, Inc. at December 31, 2017 under the Credit Agreement (as defined below) was \$3.0 billion.

At December 31, 2017, Altria Group, Inc. had in place a senior unsecured 5-year revolving credit agreement (the "Credit Agreement"). The Credit Agreement provides for borrowings up to an aggregate principal amount of \$3.0 billion and expires on August 19, 2020. Pricing for interest and fees under the Credit Agreement may be modified in the event of a change in the rating of Altria Group, Inc.'s long-term senior unsecured debt. Interest rates on borrowings under the Credit Agreement are expected to be based on the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus a percentage based on the higher of the ratings of Altria Group, Inc.'s long-term senior unsecured debt from Moody's and Standard & Poor's. The applicable percentage based on Altria Group, Inc.'s long-term senior unsecured debt ratings at December 31, 2017 for borrowings under the Credit Agreement was 1.125%. The Credit Agreement does not include any other rating triggers, nor does it contain any provisions that could require the posting of collateral.

The Credit Agreement is used for general corporate purposes and to support Altria Group, Inc.'s commercial paper issuances.

The Credit Agreement requires that Altria Group, Inc. maintain (i) a ratio of debt to consolidated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") of not more than 3.0 to 1.0 and (ii) a ratio of consolidated EBITDA to consolidated interest expense of not less than 4.0 to 1.0, each calculated as of the end of the applicable quarter on a rolling four quarters basis. At December 31, 2017, the ratios of debt to consolidated EBITDA and consolidated EBITDA to consolidated interest expense, calculated in accordance with the Credit Agreement, were 1.3 to 1.0 and 14.8 to 1.0, respectively. Altria Group, Inc. expects to continue to meet its covenants associated with the Credit Agreement. The terms "consolidated EBITDA," "debt" and "consolidated interest expense," as defined in the Credit Agreement, include certain adjustments.

Any commercial paper issued by Altria Group, Inc. and borrowings under the Credit Agreement are guaranteed by PM USA as further discussed in Note 19. *Condensed Consolidating Financial Information.*

Note 9. Long-Term Debt

At December 31, 2017 and 2016, Altria Group, Inc.'s long-term debt consisted of the following:

(in millions)	2017	2016
Notes, 2.625% to 10.20%, interest payable semi-annually, due through 2046 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 13,852	\$ 13,839
Debenture, 7.75%, interest payable semi-annually, due 2027	42	42
	13,894	13,881
Less current portion of long-term debt	864	—
	\$ 13,030	\$ 13,881

⁽¹⁾ Weighted-average coupon interest rate of 4.9% at December 31, 2017 and 2016.

At December 31, 2017, aggregate maturities of Altria Group, Inc.'s long-term debt were as follows:

(in millions)	
2018	\$ 864
2019	1,144
2020	1,000
2021	1,500
2022	1,900
Thereafter	7,609
	14,017
Less: debt issuance costs	68
debt discounts	55
	\$ 13,894

Altria Group, Inc.'s estimate of the fair value of its debt is based on observable market information derived from a third party pricing source and is classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. The aggregate fair value of Altria Group, Inc.'s total long-term debt at December 31, 2017 and 2016, was \$15.3 billion and \$15.1 billion, respectively, as compared with its carrying value of \$13.9 billion for each period.

▪ **Altria Group, Inc. Senior Notes:** The notes of Altria Group, Inc. are senior unsecured obligations and rank equally in right of payment with all of Altria Group, Inc.'s existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness. Upon the occurrence of both (i) a change of control of Altria Group, Inc. and (ii) the notes ceasing to be rated investment grade by each of Moody's, Standard & Poor's and Fitch Ratings Ltd. within a specified time period, Altria Group, Inc. will be required to make an offer to purchase the notes at a price equal to 101% of the aggregate principal amount of such notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of repurchase as and to the extent set forth in the terms of the notes.

The obligations of Altria Group, Inc. under the notes are guaranteed by PM USA as further discussed in Note 19. *Condensed Consolidating Financial Information.*

▪ **Debt Tender Offers:** During 2016 and 2015, Altria Group, Inc. completed debt tender offers to purchase for cash certain of its senior unsecured notes in aggregate principal amounts of \$0.9 billion and \$0.8 billion, respectively.

Details of these debt tender offers and the associated pre-tax losses on early extinguishment of debt recorded by Altria Group, Inc. were as follows:

(in millions)	2016	2015
Notes Purchased		
9.95% Notes due 2038	\$ 441	\$ —
10.20% Notes due 2039	492	—
9.70% Notes due 2018	—	793
Total	\$ 933	\$ 793
Pre-tax Loss on Early Extinguishment of Debt		
Premiums and fees	\$ 809	\$ 226
Write-off of unamortized debt discounts and debt issuance costs	14	2
Total	\$ 823	\$ 228

Note 10. Capital Stock

At December 31, 2017, Altria Group, Inc. had 12 billion shares of authorized common stock; issued, repurchased and outstanding shares of common stock were as follows:

	Shares Issued	Shares Repurchased	Shares Outstanding
Balances, December 31, 2014	2,805,961,317	(834,486,794)	1,971,474,523
Stock award activity	—	(732,623)	(732,623)
Repurchases of common stock	—	(10,682,419)	(10,682,419)
Balances, December 31, 2015	2,805,961,317	(845,901,836)	1,960,059,481
Stock award activity	—	(566,256)	(566,256)
Repurchases of common stock	—	(16,221,001)	(16,221,001)
Balances, December 31, 2016	2,805,961,317	(862,689,093)	1,943,272,224
Stock award activity	—	(408,891)	(408,891)
Repurchases of common stock	—	(41,604,141)	(41,604,141)
Balances, December 31, 2017	2,805,961,317	(904,702,125)	1,901,259,192

At December 31, 2017, 41,688,666 shares of common stock were reserved for stock-based awards under Altria Group, Inc.'s stock plans, and 10 million shares of serial preferred stock, \$1.00 par value, were authorized. No shares of serial preferred stock have been issued.

▪ **Dividends:** During the third quarter of 2017, Altria Group, Inc.'s Board of Directors (the "Board of Directors") approved an 8.2% increase in the quarterly dividend rate to \$0.66 per share of Altria Group, Inc. common stock versus the previous rate of \$0.61 per share. The current annualized dividend rate is \$2.64 per share. Future dividend payments remain subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors.

▪ **Share Repurchases:** In July 2014, the Board of Directors authorized a \$1.0 billion share repurchase program (the "July 2014 share repurchase program"). During the third quarter of 2015, Altria Group, Inc. completed the July 2014 share repurchase program, under which Altria Group, Inc. repurchased a total of 20.4 million shares of its common stock at an average price of \$48.90 per share.

In July 2015, the Board of Directors authorized a \$1.0 billion share repurchase program that it expanded to \$3.0 billion in October 2016 and to \$4.0 billion in July 2017 (as expanded, the "July 2015 share repurchase program"). During 2017, 2016 and 2015, Altria Group, Inc. repurchased 41.6 million shares, 16.2 million shares, and 0.6 million shares, respectively, of its common stock (at an aggregate cost

of approximately \$2,917 million, \$1,030 million and \$35 million, respectively, and at an average price of \$70.10 per share, \$63.48 per share and \$57.66 per share, respectively) under the July 2015 share repurchase program. At December 31, 2017, Altria Group, Inc. had approximately \$18 million remaining in the July 2015 share repurchase program. In January 2018, Altria Group, Inc. completed the July 2015 share repurchase program, under which it purchased a total of 58.7 million shares of its common stock at an average price of \$68.15 per share.

In January 2018, the Board of Directors authorized a new \$1.0 billion share repurchase program. The timing of share repurchases under this program depends upon marketplace conditions and other factors, and the program remains subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors.

For the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, Altria Group, Inc.'s total share repurchase activity was as follows:

	2017	2016	2015
	(in millions, except per share data)		
Total number of shares repurchased	41.6	16.2	10.7
Aggregate cost of shares repurchased	\$ 2,917	\$ 1,030	\$ 554
Average price per share of shares repurchased	\$ 70.10	\$ 63.48	\$ 51.83

Note 11. Stock Plans

Under the Altria Group, Inc. 2015 Performance Incentive Plan (the "2015 Plan"), Altria Group, Inc. may grant stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted and deferred stock units, and other stock-based awards, as well as cash-based annual and long-term incentive awards to employees of Altria Group, Inc. or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates. Any awards granted pursuant to the 2015 Plan may be in the form of performance-based awards subject to the achievement or satisfaction of performance goals and performance cycles. Up to 40 million shares of common stock may be issued under the 2015 Plan. In addition, under the 2015 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the "Directors Plan"), Altria Group, Inc. may grant up to one million shares of common stock to members of the Board of Directors who are not employees of Altria Group, Inc.

Shares available to be granted under the 2015 Plan and the Directors Plan at December 31, 2017, were 38,161,242 and 920,942, respectively.

On January 1, 2017, Altria Group, Inc. adopted ASU No. 2016-09, which simplifies several aspects of the accounting for share-based payment transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, and classification on the statement of cash flows. The adoption of ASU No. 2016-09 did not have a material impact on Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated financial statements. The portions of the guidance that have an impact on Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated financial statements have been adopted prospectively, with the exception of the classification of employee

taxes paid by Altria Group, Inc. on the consolidated statements of cash flows related to shares withheld by Altria Group, Inc. for tax withholding purposes, which has been applied retrospectively. Altria Group, Inc. has made an accounting policy election to continue to estimate the number of share-based awards that are expected to vest, which includes estimating forfeitures.

- Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units:** Altria Group, Inc. may grant shares of restricted stock and restricted stock units to employees of Altria Group, Inc. or any of its subsidiaries or affiliates. During the vesting period, these shares include nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents and may not be sold, assigned, pledged or otherwise encumbered. Such shares are subject to forfeiture if certain employment conditions are not met. Altria Group, Inc. estimates the number of awards expected to be forfeited and adjusts this estimate when subsequent information indicates that the actual number of forfeitures is likely to differ from previous estimates. Shares of restricted stock and restricted stock units generally vest three years after the grant date.

The fair value of the shares of restricted stock and restricted stock units at the date of grant, net of estimated forfeitures, is amortized to expense ratably over the restriction period, which is generally three years. Altria Group, Inc. recorded pre-tax compensation expense related to restricted stock and restricted stock units granted to employees for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 of \$49 million, \$44 million and \$51 million, respectively. The deferred tax benefit recorded related to this compensation expense was \$18 million, \$17 million and \$20 million for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The unamortized compensation expense related to Altria Group, Inc. restricted stock and restricted stock units was \$54 million at December 31, 2017 and is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately two years.

Altria Group, Inc.'s restricted stock and restricted stock units activity was as follows for the year ended December 31, 2017:

	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Share
Balance at December 31, 2016	3,245,534	\$ 48.45
Granted	641,263	\$ 71.05
Vested	(1,321,620)	\$ 36.40
Forfeited	(180,676)	\$ 59.11
Balance at December 31, 2017	2,384,501	\$ 60.40

The weighted-average grant date fair value of Altria Group, Inc. restricted stock and restricted stock units granted during the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 was \$46 million, \$56 million and \$65 million, respectively, or \$71.05, \$59.38 and \$54.54 per restricted stock or restricted stock unit, respectively. The total fair value of Altria Group, Inc. restricted stock and restricted stock units that vested during the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 was \$95 million, \$78 million and \$85 million, respectively.

▪ **Performance Stock Units:** In January 2017, Altria Group, Inc. granted an aggregate of 187,886 performance stock units to eligible employees. The payout of the performance stock units requires the achievement of certain performance measures, which were predetermined at the time of grant, over a three-year performance cycle. These performance measures consist of Altria Group, Inc.'s adjusted diluted earnings per share ("EPS") compounded annual growth rate and Altria Group, Inc.'s total shareholder return relative to a predetermined peer group. The performance stock units are also subject to forfeiture if certain employment conditions are not met. At December 31, 2017, Altria Group, Inc. had 170,755 performance stock units remaining, with a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$70.39 per performance stock unit. The fair value of the performance stock units at the date of grant, net of estimated forfeitures, is amortized to expense over the performance period. Altria Group, Inc. recorded pre-tax compensation expense related to performance stock units for the year ended December 31, 2017 of \$6 million. The unamortized compensation expense related to Altria Group, Inc.'s performance stock units was \$7 million at December 31, 2017. Altria Group, Inc. did not grant any performance stock units during 2016 and 2015.

Note 12. Earnings per Share

Basic and diluted EPS were calculated using the following:

(in millions)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Net earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc.	\$ 10,222	\$ 14,239	\$ 5,241
Less: Distributed and undistributed earnings attributable to share-based awards	(14)	(24)	(10)
Earnings for basic and diluted EPS	\$ 10,208	\$ 14,215	\$ 5,231
Weighted-average shares for basic and diluted EPS	1,921	1,952	1,961

Note 13. Other Comprehensive Earnings/Losses

The following tables set forth the changes in each component of accumulated other comprehensive losses, net of deferred income taxes, attributable to Altria Group, Inc.:

(in millions)	Benefit Plans	AB InBev/SABMiller	Currency Translation Adjustments and Other	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Losses
Balances, December 31, 2014	\$ (2,040)	\$ (640)	\$ (2)	\$ (2,682)
Other comprehensive losses before reclassifications	(223)	(983)	(4)	(1,210)
Deferred income taxes	86	344	1	431
Other comprehensive losses before reclassifications, net of deferred income taxes	(137)	(639)	(3)	(779)
Amounts reclassified to net earnings	272	21	—	293
Deferred income taxes	(105)	(7)	—	(112)
Amounts reclassified to net earnings, net of deferred income taxes	167	14	—	181
Other comprehensive earnings (losses), net of deferred income taxes	30	(625) ⁽¹⁾	(3)	(598)
Balances, December 31, 2015	(2,010)	(1,265)	(5)	(3,280)
Other comprehensive (losses) earnings before reclassifications	(247)	787	1	541
Deferred income taxes	96	(276)	—	(180)
Other comprehensive (losses) earnings before reclassifications, net of deferred income taxes	(151)	511 ⁽²⁾	1	361
Amounts reclassified to net earnings	178	1,160	—	1,338
Deferred income taxes	(65)	(406)	—	(471)
Amounts reclassified to net earnings, net of deferred income taxes	113	754 ⁽³⁾	—	867
Other comprehensive (losses) earnings, net of deferred income taxes	(38)	1,265	1	1,228
Balances, December 31, 2016	(2,048)	—	(4)	(2,052)
Other comprehensive earnings (losses) before reclassifications	52	(91)	—	(39)
Deferred income taxes	(21)	32	—	11
Other comprehensive earnings (losses) before reclassifications, net of deferred income taxes	31	(59)	—	(28)
Amounts reclassified to net earnings	291	8	—	299
Deferred income taxes	(113)	(3)	—	(116)
Amounts reclassified to net earnings, net of deferred income taxes	178	5	—	183
Other comprehensive earnings (losses), net of deferred income taxes	209	(54) ⁽¹⁾	—	155
Balances, December 31, 2017	\$ (1,839)	\$ (54)	\$ (4)	\$ (1,897)

⁽¹⁾ Altria Group, Inc.'s proportionate share of AB InBev's and SABMiller's other comprehensive earnings/losses consisted primarily of currency translation adjustments for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2015, respectively.

⁽²⁾ As a result of the Transaction, Altria Group, Inc. reversed to investment in SABMiller \$414 million of its accumulated other comprehensive losses directly attributable to SABMiller; the remaining \$97 million consisted primarily of currency translation adjustments.

⁽³⁾ As a result of the Transaction, Altria Group, Inc. recognized \$737 million of its accumulated other comprehensive losses directly attributable to SABMiller.

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The following table sets forth pre-tax amounts by component, reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive losses to net earnings:

(in millions)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Benefit Plans: ⁽¹⁾			
Net loss	\$ 325	\$ 223	\$ 304
Prior service cost/credit	(34)	(45)	(32)
	291	178	272
AB InBev/SABMiller ⁽²⁾	8	1,160	21
Pre-tax amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive losses to net earnings	\$ 299	\$ 1,338	\$ 293

⁽¹⁾ Amounts are included in net defined benefit plan costs. For further details, see Note 16. *Benefit Plans*.

⁽²⁾ For the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2015, amounts are included in earnings from equity investment in AB InBev/SABMiller. Substantially all of the amount for the year ended December 31, 2016 is included in gain on AB InBev/SABMiller business combination. For further information, see Note 6. *Investment in AB InBev/SABMiller*.

Note 14. Income Taxes

As a result of the Tax Reform Act, Altria Group, Inc. recorded net tax benefits of approximately \$3.4 billion in the fourth quarter of 2017 as discussed below. The main provisions of the Tax Reform Act that impact Altria Group, Inc. include: (i) a reduction in the U.S. federal statutory corporate income tax rate from 35% to 21% effective January 1, 2018, and (ii) changes in the treatment of foreign-source income, commonly referred to as a modified territorial tax system.

The transition to a modified territorial tax system requires Altria Group, Inc. to record a deemed repatriation tax and an associated tax basis benefit in 2017. Substantially all of the deemed repatriation tax is related to Altria Group, Inc.'s share of AB InBev's accumulated earnings. As a result of the deemed repatriation tax, no tax was due on the dividends Altria Group, Inc. received from AB InBev in 2017.

Earnings before income taxes and (benefit) provision for income taxes consisted of the following for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015:

(in millions)	2017	2016	2015
Earnings before income taxes:			
United States	\$ 9,809	\$ 21,867	\$ 8,078
Outside United States	19	(15)	—
Total	\$ 9,828	\$ 21,852	\$ 8,078
Provision (benefit) for income taxes:			
Current:			
Federal	\$ 2,346	\$ 4,093	\$ 2,516
State and local	366	390	451
Outside United States	15	6	—
	2,727	4,489	2,967
Deferred:			
Federal	(3,213)	3,102	(140)
State and local	86	20	8
Outside United States	1	(3)	—
	(3,126)	3,119	(132)
Total (benefit) provision for income taxes	\$ (399)	\$ 7,608	\$ 2,835

Altria Group, Inc.'s U.S. subsidiaries join in the filing of a U.S. federal consolidated income tax return. The U.S. federal income tax statute of limitations remains open for the year 2010 and forward, with years 2014 and 2015 currently under examination by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") as part of an audit conducted in the ordinary course of business. With the exception of corresponding federal audit adjustments, state statutes of limitations generally remain open for the year 2013 and forward. Certain of Altria Group, Inc.'s state tax returns are currently under examination by various states as part of routine audits conducted in the ordinary course of business.

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 was as follows:

(in millions)	2017	2016	2015
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 169	\$ 158	\$ 258
Additions based on tax positions related to the current year	—	15	15
Additions for tax positions of prior years	129	29	57
Reductions for tax positions due to lapse of statutes of limitations	(4)	(4)	(4)
Reductions for tax positions of prior years	(208)	(28)	(86)
Settlements	(20)	(1)	(82)
Balance at end of year	\$ 66	\$ 169	\$ 158

Unrecognized tax benefits and Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated liability for tax contingencies at December 31, 2017 and 2016 were as follows:

(in millions)	2017	2016
Unrecognized tax benefits	\$ 66	\$ 169
Accrued interest and penalties	9	23
Tax credits and other indirect benefits	(1)	(6)
Liability for tax contingencies	\$ 74	\$ 186

The amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would impact the effective tax rate at December 31, 2017 was \$43 million, along with \$23 million affecting deferred taxes. The amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would impact the effective tax rate at December 31, 2016 was \$67 million, along with \$102 million affecting deferred taxes.

Altria Group, Inc. recognizes accrued interest and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions as part of the tax provision.

For the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, Altria Group, Inc. recognized in its consolidated statements of earnings \$(13) million, \$9 million and \$(36) million, respectively, of gross interest (income) expense associated with uncertain tax positions.

Altria Group, Inc. is subject to income taxation in many jurisdictions. Uncertain tax positions reflect the difference between tax positions taken or expected to be taken on income tax returns and the amounts recognized in the financial statements. Resolution of the related tax positions with the relevant tax authorities may take many years to complete, and such timing is not entirely within the control of Altria Group, Inc. It is reasonably possible that within the next 12 months certain examinations will be resolved, which could result in a decrease in unrecognized tax benefits of approximately \$5 million.

The effective income tax rate on pre-tax earnings differed from the U.S. federal statutory rate for the following reasons for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015:

	2017	2016	2015
U.S. federal statutory rate	35.0 %	35.0 %	35.0 %
Increase (decrease) resulting from:			
State and local income taxes, net of federal tax benefit	3.5	1.2	3.7
Re-measurement of net deferred tax liabilities	(31.2)	—	—
Tax basis in foreign investments	(7.8)	—	—
Deemed repatriation tax	4.2	—	—
Uncertain tax positions	(0.9)	—	(0.8)
AB InBev/SABMiller dividend benefit	(5.9)	(0.6)	(0.5)
Domestic manufacturing deduction	(1.8)	(0.8)	(2.0)
Other	0.8	—	(0.3)
Effective tax rate	(4.1)%	34.8 %	35.1 %

The tax benefit in 2017 included net tax benefits of \$3,367 million related to the Tax Reform Act recorded in the fourth quarter of 2017 as follows: (i) a tax benefit of \$3,017 million to re-measure Altria Group, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries' net deferred tax liabilities based on the new U.S. federal statutory rate; and (ii) a net tax benefit of \$763 million for a tax basis adjustment associated with the deemed repatriation tax, partially offset by tax expense of \$413 million for the deemed repatriation tax.

The amounts above related to the tax basis adjustment and the deemed repatriation tax were based on provisional estimates as of January 18, 2018, substantially all of which are related to Altria Group, Inc.'s share of AB InBev's accumulated earnings and associated taxes. Altria Group, Inc. may be required to adjust these provisional estimates based on (i) additional guidance related to, or interpretation of, the Tax Reform Act and associated tax laws and (ii) additional information to be received from AB InBev, including information regarding AB InBev's accumulated earnings and associated taxes for the 2016 and 2017 tax years. This additional guidance and information could result in increases or decreases to the provisional estimates, which may be significant in relation to these estimates. Altria Group, Inc. will record any such adjustments in 2018.

The tax benefit in 2017 also included tax benefits of \$232 million for the release of a valuation allowance in the third quarter of 2017 related to deferred income tax assets for foreign tax credit carryforwards, which is included in AB InBev/SABMiller dividend benefit in the table above; and tax benefits of \$152 million related primarily to the effective settlement in the second quarter of 2017 of the IRS audit of Altria Group, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries' 2010-2013 tax years, partially offset by tax expense of \$114 million in the third quarter of 2017 for tax reserves related to the calculation of certain foreign tax credits.

The tax provision in 2016 included increased tax benefits associated with the cumulative SABMiller and AB InBev dividends and tax expense of \$4.9 billion (approximately 35%) for the gain on the Transaction.

The tax provision in 2015 included net tax benefits of (i) \$59 million from the reversal of tax reserves and associated interest due primarily to the closure in the third quarter of 2015 of the IRS audit of Altria Group, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries' 2007-2009 tax years ("IRS 2007-2009 Audit"); and (ii) \$41 million for Philip Morris International Inc. ("PMI") tax matters discussed below, partially offset by the reversal of foreign tax credits primarily associated with SABMiller dividends that were recorded during the third quarter of 2015 (\$41 million) and the fourth quarter of 2015 (\$24 million). The tax provision in 2015 also included decreased recognition of foreign tax credits associated with SABMiller dividends.

Under tax sharing agreements between Altria Group, Inc. and its former subsidiary PMI, entered into in connection with the 2008 spin-off, PMI is responsible for its pre-spin-off tax obligations. Altria Group, Inc., however, remained severally liable for PMI's pre-spin-off federal tax obligations pursuant to regulations governing federal consolidated income tax returns, and continued to include the pre-spin-off federal income tax reserves of PMI in its liability for uncertain tax positions. As of December 31, 2015, there were no remaining pre-spin-off tax reserves for PMI.

During 2015, Altria Group, Inc. recorded tax benefits of \$41 million for PMI tax matters, primarily relating to the IRS 2007-2009 Audit. These net tax benefits were offset by a reduction of a PMI tax-related receivable, which was recorded as a decrease to operating income in Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated statement of earnings. Due to the offset, the PMI tax matters had no impact on Altria Group, Inc.'s net earnings for the year ended December 31, 2015.

The tax effects of temporary differences that gave rise to deferred income tax assets and liabilities consisted of the following at December 31, 2017 and 2016:

(in millions)	2017	2016
Deferred income tax assets:		
Accrued postretirement and postemployment benefits	\$ 539	\$ 952
Settlement charges	614	1,446
Accrued pension costs	136	330
Net operating losses and tax credit carryforwards	18	288
Total deferred income tax assets	1,307	3,016
Deferred income tax liabilities:		
Property, plant and equipment	(261)	(429)
Intangible assets	(2,674)	(4,032)
Investment in AB InBev	(2,859)	(5,546)
Finance assets, net	(404)	(708)
Other	(121)	(125)
Total deferred income tax liabilities	(6,319)	(10,840)
Valuation allowances	—	(240)
Net deferred income tax liabilities	\$ (5,012)	\$ (8,064)

At December 31, 2017, Altria Group, Inc. had estimated gross state tax net operating losses of \$569 million that, if unused, will expire in 2018 through 2037.

Note 15. Segment Reporting

The products of Altria Group, Inc.'s subsidiaries include smokeable tobacco products, consisting of cigarettes manufactured and sold by PM USA and Nat Sherman, machine-made large cigars and pipe tobacco manufactured and sold by Middleton and premium cigars sold by Nat Sherman; smokeless tobacco products manufactured and sold by USSTC; and wine produced and/or distributed by Ste. Michelle. The products and services of these subsidiaries constitute Altria Group, Inc.'s reportable segments of smokeable products, smokeless products and wine. The financial services and the innovative tobacco products businesses are included in all other.

Altria Group, Inc.'s chief operating decision maker (the "CODM") reviews operating companies income to evaluate the performance of, and allocate resources to, the segments. Operating companies income for the segments is defined as operating income before general corporate expenses and amortization of intangibles. Interest and other debt expense, net, and provision for income taxes are centrally managed at the corporate level and, accordingly, such items are not presented by segment since they are excluded from the measure of segment profitability reviewed by the CODM. Information about total assets by segment is not disclosed because such information is not reported to or used by the CODM. Segment goodwill and other intangible assets, net, are disclosed in Note 3. *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, net*. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note 2. *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*.

Segment data were as follows:

(in millions)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Net revenues:			
Smokeable products	\$ 22,636	\$ 22,851	\$ 22,792
Smokeless products	2,155	2,051	1,879
Wine	698	746	692
All other	87	96	71
Net revenues	\$ 25,576	\$ 25,744	\$ 25,434
Earnings before income taxes:			
Operating companies income (loss):			
Smokeable products	\$ 8,408	\$ 7,768	\$ 7,569
Smokeless products	1,300	1,177	1,108
Wine	147	164	152
All other	(51)	(99)	(169)
Amortization of intangibles	(21)	(21)	(21)
General corporate expenses	(227)	(222)	(237)
Reduction of PMI tax-related receivable	—	—	(41)
Corporate asset impairment and exit costs	—	(5)	—
Operating income	9,556	8,762	8,361
Interest and other debt expense, net	(705)	(747)	(817)
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	—	(823)	(228)
Earnings from equity investment in AB InBev/SABMiller	532	795	757
Gain on AB InBev/SABMiller business combination	445	13,865	5
Earnings before income taxes	\$ 9,828	\$ 21,852	\$ 8,078

The smokeable products segment included net revenues of \$21,900 million, \$22,199 million and \$22,193 million for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively, related to cigarettes and net revenues of \$736 million, \$652 million and \$599 million for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively, related to cigars.

PM USA, USSTC, Middleton and Nat Sherman's largest customer, McLane Company, Inc., accounted for approximately 26%, 25% and 26% of Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated net revenues for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively. In addition, Core-Mark Holding Company, Inc. accounted for approximately 14%, 14% and 10% of Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated net revenues for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Substantially all of these net revenues were reported in the smokeable products and smokeless products segments. Sales to three distributors accounted for approximately 67%, 69% and 66% of net revenues for the wine segment for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Details of Altria Group, Inc.'s depreciation expense and capital expenditures were as follows:

(in millions)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Depreciation expense:			
Smokeable products	\$ 93	\$ 93	\$ 117
Smokeless products	29	26	27
Wine	40	36	32
General corporate and other	26	28	28
Total depreciation expense	\$ 188	\$ 183	\$ 204
Capital expenditures:			
Smokeable products	\$ 39	\$ 55	\$ 56
Smokeless products	61	52	113
Wine	53	59	42
General corporate and other	46	23	18
Total capital expenditures	\$ 199	\$ 189	\$ 229

The comparability of operating companies income for the reportable segments was affected by the following:

- **Non-Participating Manufacturer (“NPM”) Adjustment Items:** For the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, pre-tax expense (income) for NPM adjustment items was recorded in Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated statements of earnings as follows:

(in millions)	2017	2016	2015
Smokeable products segment	\$ (5)	\$ 12	\$ (97)
Interest and other debt expense, net	9	6	13
Total	\$ 4	\$ 18	\$ (84)

NPM adjustment items result from the resolutions of certain disputes with states and territories related to the NPM adjustment provision under the 1998 Master Settlement Agreement (such dispute resolutions are referred to collectively as “NPM Adjustment Items”). For the year ended December 31, 2015, the NPM Adjustment Items primarily relate to the resolution of the dispute with New York. For further discussion, see *Health Care Cost Recovery Litigation - NPM Adjustment Disputes* in Note 18. *Contingencies*. The amounts shown in the table above for the smokeable products segment were recorded by PM USA as increases (reductions) to cost of sales, which decreased (increased) operating companies income in the smokeable products segment.

▪ **Tobacco and Health Litigation Items:** For the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, pre-tax charges related to certain tobacco and health litigation items were recorded in Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated statements of earnings as follows:

(in millions)	2017	2016	2015
Smokeable products segment	\$ 72	\$ 88	\$ 127
Interest and other debt expense, net	8	17	23
Total	\$ 80	\$ 105	\$ 150

During 2017, PM USA recorded pre-tax charges of \$72 million in marketing, administration and research costs and \$8 million in interest costs, substantially all of which related to 11 *Engle* progeny cases. For further discussion, see Note 18. *Contingencies*.

During 2016, PM USA recorded pre-tax charges of \$88 million in marketing, administration and research costs, primarily related to settlements in the *Miner* and *Aspinall* cases totaling approximately \$67 million, and \$16 million related to a judgment in the *Merino* case. In addition, during 2016, PM USA recorded \$17 million in interest costs primarily related to *Aspinall*. For further discussion, see Note 18. *Contingencies*.

During 2015, PM USA recorded pre-tax charges in marketing, administration and research costs in seven state *Engle* progeny cases and *Schwarz* of \$59 million and \$25 million, respectively, as well as \$14 million and \$9 million, respectively, in interest costs related to these cases. Additionally in 2015, PM USA and certain other cigarette manufacturers reached an agreement to resolve approximately 415 pending federal *Engle* progeny cases. As a result of the agreement, PM USA recorded a pre-tax provision of approximately \$43 million in marketing, administration and research costs. For further discussion, see Note 18. *Contingencies*.

▪ **Settlement for Lump Sum Pension Payments:** In the third quarter of 2017, Altria Group, Inc. made a voluntary, limited-time offer to former employees with vested benefits in the Altria Retirement Plan who had not commenced receiving benefit payments and who met certain other conditions. Eligible participants were offered the opportunity to make a one-time election to receive their pension benefit as a single lump sum payment or as a monthly annuity. As a result of the 2017 lump sum distributions, a one-time pre-tax settlement charge of \$81 million was recorded in 2017 in Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated statement of earnings as follows:

For the Year Ended December 31, 2017				
(in millions)	Cost of Sales	Marketing, Administration and Research Costs	Total	
Smokeable products	\$ 39	\$ 18	\$	57
Smokeless products	—	16		16
General corporate and other	—	8		8
Total	\$ 39	\$ 42	\$	81

For further discussion, see Note 16. *Benefit Plans*.

▪ **Smokeless Products Recall:** During 2017, USSTC voluntarily recalled certain smokeless tobacco products manufactured at its Franklin Park, Illinois facility due to a product tampering incident (the "Recall"). USSTC estimates that the Recall reduced smokeless products segment operating companies income by approximately \$60 million in 2017.

▪ **Asset Impairment, Exit and Implementation Costs:** See Note 4. *Asset Impairment, Exit and Implementation Costs* for a breakdown of these costs by segment.

Note 16. Benefit Plans

Subsidiaries of Altria Group, Inc. sponsor noncontributory defined benefit pension plans covering the majority of all employees of Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries. However, employees hired on or after a date specific to their employee group are not eligible to participate in these noncontributory defined benefit pension plans but are instead eligible to participate in a defined contribution plan with enhanced benefits. This transition for new hires occurred from October 1, 2006 to January 1, 2008. In addition, effective January 1, 2010, certain employees of UST's subsidiaries and Middleton who were participants in noncontributory defined benefit pension plans ceased to earn additional benefit service under those plans and became eligible to participate in a defined contribution plan with enhanced benefits. Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries also provide postretirement health care and other benefits to the majority of retired employees.

The plan assets and benefit obligations of Altria Group, Inc.'s pension plans and postretirement plans are measured at December 31 of each year. In December 2017, Altria Group, Inc. made a contribution of \$270 million to a trust to fund certain

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

postretirement benefits. Prior to this contribution, Altria Group, Inc.'s postretirement plans were not funded.

The discount rates for Altria Group, Inc.'s plans were based on a yield curve developed from a model portfolio of high-quality

corporate bonds with durations that match the expected future cash flows of the pension and postretirement benefit obligations.

- **Obligations and Funded Status:** The benefit obligations, plan assets and funded status of Altria Group, Inc.'s pension and postretirement plans at December 31, 2017 and 2016 were as follows:

(in millions)	Pension		Postretirement	
	2017	2016	2017	2016
Change in benefit obligation:				
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 8,312	\$ 8,011	\$ 2,364	\$ 2,392
Service cost	75	76	16	17
Interest cost	288	281	76	77
Benefits paid	(703)	(440)	(139)	(135)
Actuarial losses	589	367	56	24
Termination, settlement and curtailment	(51)	13	—	5
Other	—	4	(38)	(16)
Benefit obligation at end of year	8,510	8,312	2,335	2,364
Change in plan assets:				
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	7,475	6,706	—	—
Actual return on plan assets	1,219	678	—	—
Employer contributions	24	531	270	—
Benefits paid	(703)	(440)	—	—
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	8,015	7,475	270	—
Funded status at December 31	\$ (495)	\$ (837)	\$ (2,065)	\$ (2,364)
Amounts recognized on Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated balance sheets were as follows:				
Other accrued liabilities	\$ (51)	\$ (32)	\$ (78)	\$ (147)
Accrued pension costs	(445)	(805)	—	—
Other assets	1	—	—	—
Accrued postretirement health care costs	—	—	(1,987)	(2,217)
	\$ (495)	\$ (837)	\$ (2,065)	\$ (2,364)

The table above presents the projected benefit obligation for Altria Group, Inc.'s pension plans. The accumulated benefit obligation, which represents benefits earned to date, for the pension plans was \$8.2 billion and \$8.0 billion at December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

For plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets at December 31, 2017, the projected benefit obligation, accumulated benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets were \$413 million, \$364 million and \$124 million, respectively. At December 31, 2016, the accumulated benefit obligations were in excess of plan assets for all pension plans.

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act ("PPACA"), as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, mandates health care reforms with staggered effective dates from 2010 to 2022, including the imposition of an excise tax on high cost health care plans effective in 2022. The

additional accumulated postretirement liability resulting from the PPACA, which is not material to Altria Group, Inc., has been included in Altria Group, Inc.'s accumulated postretirement benefit obligation at December 31, 2017 and 2016. Given the complexity of the PPACA and the extended time period during which implementation is expected to occur, future adjustments to Altria Group, Inc.'s accumulated postretirement benefit obligation may be necessary.

The following assumptions were used to determine Altria Group, Inc.'s pension benefit obligations at December 31:

	2017	2016
Discount rate	3.7%	4.1%
Rate of compensation increase	4.0	4.0

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The following assumptions were used to determine Altria Group, Inc.'s postretirement benefit obligations at December 31:

	2017	2016
Discount rate	3.7%	4.1%
Health care cost trend rate assumed for next year	7.0	7.0
Ultimate trend rate	5.0	5.0
Year that the rate reaches the ultimate trend rate	2022	2022

- **Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost:** Net periodic benefit cost consisted of the following for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015:

(in millions)	Pension			Postretirement		
	2017	2016	2015	2017	2016	2015
Service cost	\$ 75	\$ 76	\$ 86	\$ 16	\$ 17	\$ 18
Interest cost	288	281	337	76	77	100
Expected return on plan assets	(601)	(553)	(539)	—	—	—
Amortization:						
Net loss	197	171	234	25	25	43
Prior service cost (credit)	4	5	7	(38)	(39)	(39)
Termination, settlement and curtailment	86	34	8	—	(2)	—
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 49	\$ 14	\$ 133	\$ 79	\$ 78	\$ 122

Termination, settlement and curtailment shown in the table above primarily relate to the settlement charge discussed below, and the productivity initiative and facilities consolidation discussed in Note 4. *Asset Impairment, Exit and Implementation Costs*.

In the third quarter of 2017, Altria Group, Inc. made a voluntary, limited-time offer to former employees with vested benefits in the Altria Retirement Plan who had not commenced receiving benefit payments and who met certain other conditions. Eligible participants were offered the opportunity to make a one-time election to receive their pension benefit as a single lump sum payment or as a monthly annuity. Distributions to former employees who elected to receive lump sum payments totaled approximately \$277 million, substantially all of which were made in December 2017 from the Altria Retirement Plan's assets. Payments began on January 1, 2018 to former employees who elected a monthly annuity. As a result of the lump sum distributions, Altria Group, Inc. recorded a one-time settlement charge of \$81 million in 2017.

The amounts included in termination, settlement and curtailment in the table above were comprised of the following changes:

(in millions)	Pension			Post-retirement
	2017	2016	2015	2016
Benefit obligation	\$ —	\$ 23	\$ —	\$ 11
Other comprehensive earnings/losses:				
Net loss	86	9	8	—
Prior service cost (credit)	—	2	—	(13)
	\$ 86	\$ 34	\$ 8	\$ (2)

Beginning in 2016, Altria Group, Inc. began using a spot rate approach to estimate the service and interest cost components of net periodic benefit costs by applying the specific spot rates along the yield curve to the relevant projected cash flows, as Altria Group, Inc. believes that this approach is a more precise estimate of service and interest cost. This change resulted in a decrease of approximately \$70 million and \$20 million to its 2016 pre-tax pension and postretirement net periodic benefit cost, respectively. Prior to 2016, Altria Group, Inc. estimated the service and interest cost components of net periodic benefit cost using a single weighted-average discount rate derived from the yield curve used to measure the pension and postretirement plans benefit obligations.

The estimated net loss and prior service cost (credit) that are expected to be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive losses into net periodic benefit cost during 2018 is as follows:

(in millions)	Pension	Postretirement
Net loss	\$ 228	\$ 35
Prior service cost (credit)	4	(42)

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The following assumptions were used to determine Altria Group, Inc.'s net periodic benefit cost for the years ended December 31:

	Pension			Postretirement		
	2017	2016	2015	2017	2016	2015
Discount rates:						
Service cost	4.3%	4.7%	4.1%	4.3%	4.5%	4.0%
Interest cost	3.5	3.6	4.1	3.5	3.4	4.0
Expected rate of return on plan assets	8.0	8.0	8.0	—	—	—
Rate of compensation increase	4.0	4.0	4.0	—	—	—
Health care cost trend rate	—	—	—	7.0	6.5	7.0

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the postretirement health care plans. A one-percentage-point change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have had the following effects as of December 31, 2017:

	One-Percentage-Point Increase	One-Percentage-Point Decrease
Effect on total of postretirement service and interest cost	7.8%	(6.9)%
Effect on postretirement benefit obligation	6.6%	(5.5)%

▪ **Defined Contribution Plans:** Altria Group, Inc. sponsors deferred profit-sharing plans covering certain salaried, non-union and union employees. Contributions and costs are determined generally as a percentage of earnings, as defined by the plans. Amounts charged to expense for these defined contribution plans totaled \$83 million, \$93 million and \$85 million in 2017, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

▪ **Pension Plan Assets:** Altria Group, Inc.'s investment strategy for its pension plan assets is based on an expectation that equity securities will outperform debt securities over the long term. Altria Group, Inc. believes that it implements the investment strategy in a prudent and risk-controlled manner, consistent with the fiduciary requirements of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, by investing retirement plan assets in a well-diversified mix of equities, fixed income and other securities that reflects the impact of the demographic mix of plan participants on the benefit obligation using a target asset allocation between equity securities and fixed income investments of 55%/45%. The composition of Altria Group, Inc.'s plan assets at December 31, 2017 was broadly characterized as an allocation between equity securities (59%), corporate bonds (30%) and U.S. Treasury and foreign government securities (11%). Virtually all pension assets can be used to make monthly benefit payments.

Altria Group, Inc.'s investment objective for its pension plan assets is accomplished by investing in U.S. and international equity index strategies that are intended to mirror indices such as the Standard & Poor's 500 Index, Russell Small Cap Completeness Index, Research Affiliates Fundamental Index ("RAFI") Low Volatility U.S. Index, and Morgan Stanley Capital International ("MSCI") Europe, Australasia, and the Far East ("EAFE") Index. Altria Group, Inc.'s pension plans also invest in actively managed international equity securities of large, mid and small cap companies located in developed and emerging markets, as well as long duration fixed income securities that primarily include corporate bonds of companies from diversified industries. The allocation to below investment grade securities represented 16% of the fixed income holdings or 7% of total plan assets at December 31, 2017. The allocation to emerging markets represented 4% of the equity holdings or 3% of total plan assets at December 31, 2017.

Altria Group, Inc.'s risk management practices for its pension plans include ongoing monitoring of asset allocation, investment performance and investment managers' compliance with their investment guidelines, periodic rebalancing between equity and debt asset classes and annual actuarial re-measurement of plan liabilities.

Altria Group, Inc.'s expected rate of return on pension plan assets is determined by the plan assets' historical long-term investment performance, current asset allocation and estimates of future long-term returns by asset class. The forward-looking estimates are consistent with the overall long-term averages exhibited by returns on equity and fixed income securities. Altria Group, Inc. has reduced this assumption from 8.0% to 7.8% for determining its pension net periodic benefit cost for 2018.

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The fair values of Altria Group, Inc.'s pension plan assets by asset category at December 31, 2017 and 2016 were as follows:

(in millions)	2017				2016			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
U.S. and foreign government securities or their agencies:								
U.S. government and agencies	\$ —	\$ 588	\$ —	\$ 588	\$ —	\$ 444	\$ —	\$ 444
U.S. municipal bonds	—	81	—	81	—	102	—	102
Foreign government and agencies	—	150	—	150	—	185	—	185
Corporate debt instruments:								
Above investment grade	—	1,789	—	1,789	—	1,735	—	1,735
Below investment grade and no rating	—	511	—	511	—	602	—	602
Common stock:								
International equities	1,396	—	—	1,396	1,076	—	—	1,076
U.S. equities	831	—	—	831	760	—	—	760
Other, net	120	74	—	194	142	33	13	188
	<u>\$ 2,347</u>	<u>\$ 3,193</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 5,540</u>	<u>\$ 1,978</u>	<u>\$ 3,101</u>	<u>\$ 13</u>	<u>\$ 5,092</u>
Investments measured at NAV as a practical expedient for fair value:								
Common/collective trusts:								
U.S. large cap				2,014				1,940
U.S. small cap				361				363
International developed markets				100				80
Fair value of plan assets, net				<u>\$ 8,015</u>				<u>\$ 7,475</u>

Level 3 holdings and transactions were immaterial to total plan assets at December 31, 2017 and 2016.

For a description of the fair value hierarchy and the three levels of inputs used to measure fair value, see Note 2. *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*.

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for investments measured at fair value.

- *U.S. and Foreign Government Securities:* U.S. and foreign government securities consist of investments in Treasury Nominal Bonds and Inflation Protected Securities and municipal securities. Government securities are valued at a price that is based on a compilation of primarily observable market information, such as broker quotes. Matrix pricing, yield curves and indices are used when broker quotes are not available.
- *Corporate Debt Instruments:* Corporate debt instruments are valued at a price that is based on a compilation of primarily observable market information, such as broker quotes. Matrix pricing, yield curves and indices are used when broker quotes are not available.
- *Common Stock:* Common stocks are valued based on the price of the security as listed on an open active exchange on last trade date.
- *Common/Collective Trusts:* Common/collective trusts consist of funds that are intended to mirror indices such as Standard & Poor's 500 Index, Russell Small Cap Completeness Index and MSCI EAFE Index. They are

valued on the basis of the relative interest of each participating investor in the fair value of the underlying assets of each of the respective common/collective trusts. The underlying assets are valued based on the net asset value ("NAV"), which is provided by the investment account manager as a practical expedient to estimate fair value. These investments are not classified by level but are disclosed to permit reconciliation to the fair value of plan assets.

- **Postretirement Plan Assets:** Altria Group, Inc. has established a long-term investment strategy for its postretirement plan assets using a target asset allocation between equity securities and fixed income investments of 55%/45%. The expected rate of return on plan assets is 7.8% for determining Altria Group, Inc.'s postretirement net periodic benefit cost for 2018. At December 31, 2017, postretirement plan assets totaled \$270 million. Approximately \$150 million was invested in domestic and international common/collective trusts. The underlying assets of each of the respective common/collective trusts are valued based on the NAV, which is provided by the investment account manager as a practical expedient to estimate fair value. Additionally, approximately \$120 million was held in an interest bearing cash account, which is classified in Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy, pending full implementation of the investment strategy in early January 2018.
- **Cash Flows:** Altria Group, Inc. makes contributions to the pension plans to the extent that the contributions are tax

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

deductible and pays benefits that relate to plans for salaried employees that cannot be funded under IRS regulations. Currently, Altria Group, Inc. anticipates making employer contributions to its pension plans of up to approximately \$60 million in 2018 based on current tax law. However, this estimate is subject to change as a result of changes in tax and other benefit laws, as well as asset performance significantly above or below the assumed long-term rate of return on pension plan assets, or

changes in interest rates. In December 2017, Altria Group, Inc. made a contribution of \$270 million to its postretirement plans. Currently, Altria Group, Inc. anticipates making employer contributions to its postretirement plans of up to approximately \$70 million in 2018. However, this estimate is subject to change as a result of changes in tax and other benefit laws, as well as asset performance significantly above or below the assumed long-term rate of return on postretirement plan assets.

Estimated future benefit payments at December 31, 2017 were as follows:

(in millions)	Pension	Postretirement
2018	\$ 480	\$ 142
2019	451	140
2020	456	138
2021	459	136
2022	463	133
2023-2027	2,372	620

Comprehensive Earnings/Losses

The amounts recorded in accumulated other comprehensive losses at December 31, 2017 consisted of the following:

(in millions)	Pension	Post-retirement	Post-employment	Total
Net loss	\$ (2,493)	\$ (612)	\$ (93)	\$ (3,198)
Prior service (cost) credit	(15)	195	—	180
Deferred income taxes	979	166	34	1,179
Amounts recorded in accumulated other comprehensive losses	\$ (1,529)	\$ (251)	\$ (59)	\$ (1,839)

The amounts recorded in accumulated other comprehensive losses at December 31, 2016 consisted of the following:

(in millions)	Pension	Post-retirement	Post-employment	Total
Net loss	\$ (2,857)	\$ (581)	\$ (99)	\$ (3,537)
Prior service (cost) credit	(19)	195	—	176
Deferred income taxes	1,124	153	36	1,313
Amounts recorded in accumulated other comprehensive losses	\$ (1,752)	\$ (233)	\$ (63)	\$ (2,048)

The movements in other comprehensive earnings/losses during the year ended December 31, 2017 were as follows:

(in millions)	Pension	Post-retirement	Post-employment	Total
Amounts reclassified to net earnings as components of net periodic benefit cost:				
Amortization:				
Net loss	\$ 197	\$ 25	\$ 17	\$ 239
Prior service cost/credit	4	(38)	—	(34)
Other expense:				
Net loss	86	—	—	86
Deferred income taxes	(113)	6	(6)	(113)
	174	(7)	11	178
Other movements during the year:				
Net loss	81	(56)	(11)	14
Prior service cost/credit	—	38	—	38
Deferred income taxes	(32)	7	4	(21)
	49	(11)	(7)	31
Total movements in other comprehensive earnings/losses	\$ 223	\$ (18)	\$ 4	\$ 209

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The movements in other comprehensive earnings/losses during the year ended December 31, 2016 were as follows:

(in millions)	Pension	Post-retirement	Post-employment	Total
Amounts reclassified to net earnings as components of net periodic benefit cost:				
Amortization:				
Net loss	\$ 171	\$ 25	\$ 18	\$ 214
Prior service cost/credit	5	(39)	—	(34)
Other expense (income):				
Net loss	9	—	—	9
Prior service cost/credit	2	(13)	—	(11)
Deferred income taxes	(69)	11	(7)	(65)
	118	(16)	11	113
Other movements during the year:				
Net loss	(232)	(18)	(9)	(259)
Prior service cost/credit	(4)	16	—	12
Deferred income taxes	92	1	3	96
	(144)	(1)	(6)	(151)
Total movements in other comprehensive earnings/losses	\$ (26)	\$ (17)	\$ 5	\$ (38)

The movements in other comprehensive earnings/losses during the year ended December 31, 2015 were as follows:

(in millions)	Pension	Post-retirement	Post-employment	Total
Amounts reclassified to net earnings as components of net periodic benefit cost:				
Amortization:				
Net loss	\$ 234	\$ 43	\$ 19	\$ 296
Prior service cost/credit	7	(39)	—	(32)
Other expense:				
Net loss	8	—	—	8
Deferred income taxes	(96)	(2)	(7)	(105)
	153	2	12	167
Other movements during the year:				
Net loss	(410)	192	(5)	(223)
Prior service cost/credit	(6)	6	—	—
Deferred income taxes	160	(75)	1	86
	(256)	123	(4)	(137)
Total movements in other comprehensive earnings/losses	\$ (103)	\$ 125	\$ 8	\$ 30

Note 17. Additional Information

(in millions)	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2017	2016	2015
Research and development expense	\$ 241	\$ 203	\$ 186
Advertising expense	\$ 29	\$ 27	\$ 25
Interest and other debt expense, net:			
Interest expense	\$ 727	\$ 754	\$ 808
Interest income	(31)	(13)	(4)
Interest related to NPM Adjustment Items	9	6	13
	\$ 705	\$ 747	\$ 817
Rent expense	\$ 43	\$ 53	\$ 48

Minimum rental commitments and sublease income under non-cancelable operating leases in effect at December 31, 2017 were as follows:

(in millions)	Rental Commitments	Sublease Income
2018	\$ 38	\$ 5
2019	33	5
2020	28	5
2021	26	5
2022	23	5
Thereafter	44	5
	\$ 192	\$ 30

The activity in the allowance for discounts and allowance for returned goods for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 was as follows:

(in millions)	2017		2016		2015	
	Discounts	Returned Goods	Discounts	Returned Goods	Discounts	Returned Goods
Balance at beginning of year	\$ —	\$ 49	\$ —	\$ 68	\$ —	\$ 46
Charged to costs and expenses	626	130	628	133	618	217
Deductions ⁽¹⁾	(626)	(139)	(628)	(152)	(618)	(195)
Balance at end of year	\$ —	\$ 40	\$ —	\$ 49	\$ —	\$ 68

⁽¹⁾ Represents the recording of discounts and returns for which allowances were created.

Note 18. Contingencies

Legal proceedings covering a wide range of matters are pending or threatened in various United States and foreign jurisdictions against Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries, including PM USA and UST and its subsidiaries, as well as their respective indemnitees. Various types of claims may be raised in these proceedings, including product liability, consumer protection, antitrust, tax, contraband shipments, patent infringement, employment matters, claims for contribution and claims of competitors or distributors.

Litigation is subject to uncertainty and it is possible that there could be adverse developments in pending or future cases. An unfavorable outcome or settlement of pending tobacco-related or other litigation could encourage the commencement of additional litigation. Damages claimed in some tobacco-related and other litigation are or can be significant and, in certain cases, have ranged in the billions of dollars. The variability in pleadings in

multiple jurisdictions, together with the actual experience of management in litigating claims, demonstrate that the monetary relief that may be specified in a lawsuit bears little relevance to the ultimate outcome. In certain cases, plaintiffs claim that defendants' liability is joint and several. In such cases, Altria Group, Inc. or its subsidiaries may face the risk that one or more co-defendants decline or otherwise fail to participate in the bonding required for an appeal or to pay their proportionate or jury-allocated share of a judgment. As a result, Altria Group, Inc. or its subsidiaries under certain circumstances may have to pay more than their proportionate share of any bonding- or judgment-related amounts. Furthermore, in those cases where plaintiffs are successful, Altria Group, Inc. or its subsidiaries may also be required to pay interest and attorneys' fees.

Although PM USA has historically been able to obtain required bonds or relief from bonding requirements in order to prevent plaintiffs from seeking to collect judgments while adverse

verdicts have been appealed, there remains a risk that such relief may not be obtainable in all cases. This risk has been substantially reduced given that 47 states and Puerto Rico limit the dollar amount of bonds or require no bond at all. As discussed below, however, tobacco litigation plaintiffs have challenged the constitutionality of Florida’s bond cap statute in several cases and plaintiffs may challenge state bond cap statutes in other jurisdictions as well. Such challenges may include the applicability of state bond caps in federal court. States, including Florida, may also seek to repeal or alter bond cap statutes through legislation. Although Altria Group, Inc. cannot predict the outcome of such challenges, it is possible that the consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Altria Group, Inc., or one or more of its subsidiaries, could be materially affected in a particular fiscal quarter or fiscal year by an unfavorable outcome of one or more such challenges.

Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries record provisions in the consolidated financial statements for pending litigation when they determine that an unfavorable outcome is probable and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. At the present time, while it is reasonably possible that an unfavorable outcome in a case may occur, except to the extent discussed elsewhere in this Note 18. *Contingencies:* (i) management has concluded that it is not probable that a loss has been incurred in any of the pending tobacco-related cases; (ii) management is unable to estimate the possible loss or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome in any of the pending tobacco-related cases; and (iii) accordingly, management has not provided any amounts in the consolidated financial statements for unfavorable outcomes, if any. Litigation defense costs are expensed as incurred.

Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries have achieved substantial success in managing litigation. Nevertheless, litigation is subject to uncertainty and significant challenges remain. It is possible that the consolidated results of operations, cash flows or financial position of Altria Group, Inc., or one or more of its subsidiaries, could be materially affected in a particular fiscal quarter or fiscal year by an unfavorable outcome or settlement of certain pending litigation. Altria Group, Inc. and each of its subsidiaries named as a defendant believe, and each has been so advised by counsel handling the respective cases, that it has valid defenses to the litigation pending against it, as well as valid bases for appeal of adverse verdicts. Each of the companies has defended, and will continue to defend, vigorously against litigation challenges. However, Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries may enter into settlement discussions in particular cases if they believe it is in the best interests of Altria Group, Inc. to do so.

Overview of Altria Group, Inc. and/or PM USA Tobacco-Related Litigation

Types and Number of Cases: Claims related to tobacco products generally fall within the following categories: (i) smoking and health cases alleging personal injury brought on behalf of individual plaintiffs; (ii) smoking and health cases primarily alleging personal injury or seeking court-supervised

programs for ongoing medical monitoring and purporting to be brought on behalf of a class of individual plaintiffs, including cases in which the aggregated claims of a number of individual plaintiffs are to be tried in a single proceeding; (iii) health care cost recovery cases brought by governmental (both domestic and foreign) plaintiffs seeking reimbursement for health care expenditures allegedly caused by cigarette smoking and/or disgorgement of profits; (iv) class action suits alleging that the uses of the terms “Lights” and “Ultra Lights” constitute deceptive and unfair trade practices, common law or statutory fraud, unjust enrichment, breach of warranty or violations of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (“RICO”); and (v) other tobacco-related litigation described below. Plaintiffs’ theories of recovery and the defenses raised in pending smoking and health, health care cost recovery and “Lights/Ultra Lights” cases are discussed below.

The table below lists the number of certain tobacco-related cases pending in the United States against PM USA and, in some instances, Altria Group, Inc. as of December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015:

	2017	2016	2015
Individual Smoking and Health Cases ⁽¹⁾	92	70	65
Smoking and Health Class Actions and Aggregated Claims Litigation ⁽²⁾	4	5	5
Health Care Cost Recovery Actions ⁽³⁾	1	1	1
“Lights/Ultra Lights” Class Actions	3	8	11

⁽¹⁾ Does not include 2,414 cases brought by flight attendants seeking compensatory damages for personal injuries allegedly caused by exposure to environmental tobacco smoke (“ETS”). The flight attendants allege that they are members of an ETS smoking and health class action in Florida, which was settled in 1997 (*Broin*). The terms of the court-approved settlement in that case allowed class members to file individual lawsuits seeking compensatory damages, but prohibited them from seeking punitive damages. Also, does not include individual smoking and health cases brought by or on behalf of plaintiffs in Florida state and federal courts following the decertification of the *Engle* case (discussed below in *Smoking and Health Litigation - Engle Class Action*).

⁽²⁾ Includes as one case the 30 civil actions that were to be tried in six consolidated trials in West Virginia (*In re: Tobacco Litigation*). PM USA is a defendant in nine of the 30 cases. The parties have agreed to resolve the cases for an immaterial amount and have so notified the court.

⁽³⁾ See *Health Care Cost Recovery Litigation - Federal Government’s Lawsuit* below.

- **International Tobacco-Related Cases:** As of January 29, 2018, PM USA is a named defendant in 10 health care cost recovery actions in Canada, eight of which also name Altria Group, Inc. as a defendant. PM USA and Altria Group, Inc. are also named defendants in seven smoking and health class actions filed in various Canadian provinces. See *Guarantees and Other Similar Matters* below for a discussion of the Distribution Agreement between Altria Group, Inc. and PMI that provides for indemnities for certain liabilities concerning tobacco products.

- **Tobacco-Related Cases Set for Trial:** As of January 29, 2018, three *Engle* progeny cases are set for trial through March 31, 2018. There are no other individual smoking and health cases against PM USA set for trial during this period. Cases against other companies in the tobacco industry may be scheduled for trial during this period. Trial dates are subject to change.
- **Trial Results:** Since January 1999, excluding the *Engle* progeny cases (separately discussed below), verdicts have been returned in 63 smoking and health, “Lights/Ultra Lights” and health care cost recovery cases in which PM USA was a defendant. Verdicts in favor of PM USA and other defendants were returned in 42 of the 63 cases. These 42 cases were tried in Alaska (1), California (7), Connecticut (1), Florida (10), Louisiana (1), Massachusetts (2), Mississippi (1), Missouri (4), New Hampshire (1), New Jersey (1), New York (5), Ohio (2), Pennsylvania (1), Rhode Island (1), Tennessee (2) and West Virginia (2). A motion for a new trial was granted in one of the cases in Florida and in the case in Alaska. In the Alaska case (*Hunter*), the trial court withdrew its order for a new trial upon PM USA’s motion for reconsideration. In December 2015, the Alaska Supreme Court reversed the trial court decision and remanded the case with directions for the trial court to reassess whether to grant a new trial. In March 2016, the trial court granted a new trial and PM USA filed a petition for review of that order with the Alaska Supreme Court, which the court denied in July 2016. The retrial began in October 2016. In November 2016, the court declared a mistrial after the jury failed to reach a verdict. The plaintiff subsequently moved for a new trial, which is scheduled to begin April 9, 2018. See *Types and Number of Cases* above for a discussion of the trial results in *In re: Tobacco Litigation* (West Virginia consolidated cases).

Of the 21 non-*Engle* progeny cases in which verdicts were returned in favor of plaintiffs, 18 have reached final resolution.

As of January 29, 2018, 116 state and federal *Engle* progeny cases involving PM USA have resulted in verdicts since the Florida Supreme Court’s *Engle* decision as follows: 61 verdicts were returned in favor of plaintiffs; 45 verdicts were returned in favor of PM USA. Eight verdicts that were initially returned in favor of plaintiff were reversed post-trial or on appeal and remain pending and two verdicts in favor of PM USA were reversed for a new trial. See *Smoking and Health Litigation - Engle Progeny Trial Court Results* below for a discussion of these verdicts.

- **Judgments Paid and Provisions for Tobacco and Health Litigation Items (Including *Engle* Progeny Litigation):** After exhausting all appeals in those cases resulting in adverse verdicts associated with tobacco-related litigation, since October 2004, PM USA has paid in the aggregate judgments and settlements (including related costs and fees) totaling approximately \$490 million and interest totaling approximately \$184 million as of December 31, 2017. These amounts include payments for *Engle* progeny judgments (and related costs and fees) totaling approximately \$99 million, interest totaling approximately \$22 million and payment of approximately \$43 million in connection with the Federal *Engle* Agreement, discussed below.

The changes in Altria Group, Inc.’s accrued liability for tobacco and health litigation items, including related interest costs, for the periods specified below are as follows:

(in millions)	2017	2016	2015
Accrued liability for tobacco and health litigation items at beginning of year	\$ 47	\$ 132	\$ 39
Pre-tax charges for:			
Tobacco and health judgments	72	21	84
Related interest costs	8	7	23
Agreement to resolve federal <i>Engle</i> progeny cases	—	—	43
Agreement to resolve <i>Aspinall</i> including related interest costs	—	32	—
Agreement to resolve <i>Miner</i>	—	45	—
Payments	(21)	(190)	(57)
Accrued liability for tobacco and health litigation items at end of year	\$ 106	\$ 47	\$ 132

The accrued liability for tobacco and health litigation items, including related interest costs, was included in liabilities on Altria Group, Inc.’s consolidated balance sheets. Pre-tax charges for tobacco and health judgments, the agreement to resolve federal *Engle* progeny cases and the agreements to resolve the *Aspinall* and *Miner* “lights” class action cases (excluding related interest costs of approximately \$10 million in *Aspinall*) were included in marketing, administration and research costs on Altria Group, Inc.’s consolidated statements of earnings. Pre-tax charges for related interest costs were included in interest and other debt expense, net on Altria Group, Inc.’s consolidated statements of earnings.

- **Security for Judgments:** To obtain stays of judgments pending current appeals, as of December 31, 2017, PM USA has posted various forms of security totaling approximately \$61 million, the majority of which has been collateralized with cash deposits that are included in assets on the consolidated balance sheet.

Smoking and Health Litigation

▪ **Overview:** Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in smoking and health cases are based on various theories of recovery, including negligence, gross negligence, strict liability, fraud, misrepresentation, design defect, failure to warn, nuisance, breach of express and implied warranties, breach of special duty, conspiracy, concert of action, violations of deceptive trade practice laws and consumer protection statutes, and claims under the federal and state anti-racketeering statutes. Plaintiffs in the smoking and health cases seek various forms of relief, including compensatory and punitive damages, treble/multiple damages and other statutory damages and penalties, creation of medical monitoring and smoking cessation funds, disgorgement of profits, and injunctive and equitable relief. Defenses raised in these cases include lack of proximate cause, assumption of the risk, comparative fault and/or contributory negligence, statutes of limitations and preemption by the Federal Cigarette Labeling and Advertising Act.

▪ **Non-Engle Progeny Litigation:** Summarized below are the non-Engle progeny smoking and health cases pending during 2017 in which a verdict was returned in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA. Charts listing certain verdicts for plaintiffs in the Engle progeny cases can be found in *Smoking and Health Litigation - Engle Progeny Trial Results* below.

Gentile: In October 2017, a jury in a Florida state court returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff, awarding approximately \$7.1 million in compensatory damages and allocating 75% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of approximately \$5.3 million). Subsequently, in October 2017, PM USA filed various post-trial motions.

Bullock: In December 2015, a jury in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff, awarding \$900,000 in compensatory damages. In January 2016, the plaintiff moved for a new trial, which the district court denied in February 2016. In March 2016, PM USA filed a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit and plaintiff cross-appealed. In December 2017, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit affirmed the judgment. In the fourth quarter of 2017, PM USA recorded a provision on its consolidated balance sheet of approximately \$1 million for the judgment plus interest and associated costs.

Federal Government's Lawsuit: See *Health Care Cost Recovery Litigation - Federal Government's Lawsuit* below for a discussion of the verdict and post-trial developments in the *United States of America* health care cost recovery case.

▪ **Engle Class Action:** In July 2000, in the second phase of the Engle smoking and health class action in Florida, a jury returned a verdict assessing punitive damages totaling approximately \$145 billion against various defendants, including \$74 billion against PM USA. Following entry of judgment, PM USA appealed.

In May 2001, the trial court approved a stipulation providing that execution of the punitive damages component of the Engle judgment will remain stayed against PM USA and the other participating defendants through the completion of all judicial review. As a result of the stipulation, PM USA placed \$500 million into an interest-bearing escrow account that, regardless of the outcome of the judicial review, was to be paid to the court and the court was to determine how to allocate or distribute it consistent with Florida Rules of Civil Procedure. In May 2003, the Florida Third District Court of Appeal reversed the judgment entered by the trial court and instructed the trial court to order the decertification of the class. Plaintiffs petitioned the Florida Supreme Court for further review.

In July 2006, the Florida Supreme Court ordered that the punitive damages award be vacated, that the class approved by the trial court be decertified and that members of the decertified class could file individual actions against defendants within one year of issuance of the mandate. The court further declared the following Phase I findings are entitled to *res judicata* effect in such individual actions brought within one year of the issuance of the mandate: (i) that smoking causes various diseases; (ii) that nicotine in cigarettes is addictive; (iii) that defendants' cigarettes were defective and unreasonably dangerous; (iv) that defendants concealed or omitted material information not otherwise known or available knowing that the material was false or misleading or failed to disclose a material fact concerning the health effects or addictive nature of smoking; (v) that defendants agreed to misrepresent information regarding the health effects or addictive nature of cigarettes with the intention of causing the public to rely on this information to their detriment; (vi) that defendants agreed to conceal or omit information regarding the health effects of cigarettes or their addictive nature with the intention that smokers would rely on the information to their detriment; (vii) that all defendants sold or supplied cigarettes that were defective; and (viii) that defendants were negligent. The court also reinstated compensatory damages awards totaling approximately \$6.9 million to two individual plaintiffs and found that a third plaintiff's claim was barred by the statute of limitations. In February 2008, PM USA paid approximately \$3 million, representing its share of compensatory damages and interest, to the two individual plaintiffs identified in the Florida Supreme Court's order.

In August 2006, PM USA sought rehearing from the Florida Supreme Court on parts of its July 2006 opinion, including the ruling (described above) that certain jury findings have *res judicata* effect in subsequent individual trials timely brought by Engle class members. The rehearing motion also asked, among other things, that legal errors that were raised but not expressly ruled upon in the Florida Third District Court of Appeal or in the Florida Supreme Court now be addressed. Plaintiffs also filed a motion for rehearing in August 2006 seeking clarification of the applicability of the statute of limitations to non-members of the decertified class. In December 2006, the Florida Supreme Court refused to revise its July 2006 ruling, except that it revised the set of Phase I findings entitled to *res judicata* effect by excluding finding (v) listed above (relating to agreement to misrepresent

information), and added the finding that defendants sold or supplied cigarettes that, at the time of sale or supply, did not conform to the representations of fact made by defendants. In January 2007, the Florida Supreme Court issued the mandate from its revised opinion. Defendants then filed a motion with the Florida Third District Court of Appeal requesting that the court address legal errors that were previously raised by defendants but have not yet been addressed either by the Florida Third District Court of Appeal or by the Florida Supreme Court. In February 2007, the Florida Third District Court of Appeal denied defendants' motion. In May 2007, defendants' motion for a partial stay of the mandate pending the completion of appellate review was denied by the Florida Third District Court of Appeal. In May 2007, defendants filed a petition for *writ of certiorari* with the United States Supreme Court, which the United States Supreme Court denied later in 2007.

In February 2008, the trial court decertified the class, except for purposes of the May 2001 bond stipulation, and formally vacated the punitive damages award pursuant to the Florida Supreme Court's mandate. In April 2008, the trial court ruled that certain defendants, including PM USA, lacked standing with respect to allocation of the funds escrowed under the May 2001 bond stipulation and would receive no credit at that time from the \$500 million paid by PM USA against any future punitive damages awards in cases brought by former *Engle* class members.

In May 2008, the trial court, among other things, decertified the limited class maintained for purposes of the May 2001 bond stipulation and, in July 2008, severed the remaining plaintiffs' claims except for those of Howard Engle. The only remaining plaintiff in the *Engle* case, Howard Engle, voluntarily dismissed his claims with prejudice.

▪ **Engle Progeny Cases:** The deadline for filing *Engle* progeny cases, as required by the Florida Supreme Court's *Engle* decision, expired in January 2008. As of January 29, 2018, approximately 2,400 state court cases were pending against PM USA or Altria Group, Inc. asserting individual claims by or on behalf of approximately 3,100 state court plaintiffs. Because of a number of factors, including, but not limited to, docketing delays, duplicated filings and overlapping dismissal orders, these numbers are estimates. While the Federal *Engle* Agreement (discussed below) resolved nearly all *Engle* progeny cases pending in federal court, as of January 29, 2018, approximately 12 cases were pending against PM USA in federal court representing the cases excluded from that agreement.

▪ **Agreement to Resolve Federal *Engle* Progeny Cases:** In 2015, PM USA, R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company ("R.J. Reynolds") and Lorillard Tobacco Company ("Lorillard") resolved approximately 415 pending federal *Engle* progeny cases (the "Federal *Engle* Agreement"). Under the terms of the Federal *Engle* Agreement, PM USA paid approximately \$43 million. Federal cases that were in trial and those that previously reached final verdict were not included in the Federal *Engle* Agreement.

▪ **Engle Progeny Trial Results:** As of January 29, 2018, 116 federal and state *Engle* progeny cases involving PM USA have resulted in verdicts since the Florida Supreme Court *Engle* decision. Sixty-one verdicts were returned in favor of plaintiffs and eight verdicts (*Skolnick, Calloway, Pollari, McCoy, Duignan, McCall, Caprio* and *Oshinsky-Blacker*) that were initially returned in favor of plaintiffs were reversed post-trial or on appeal and remain pending. *Skolnick* was remanded for a new trial; *Calloway* was reversed and remanded for a new trial on an appellate finding that improper arguments by plaintiff's counsel deprived defendants of a fair trial; *Pollari* and *McCoy* were reversed and remanded for a new trial on an appellate finding that the trial court erred in admitting certain materials into evidence that deprived defendants of a fair trial; *Duignan* was reversed and remanded for a new trial on an appellate finding that the trial judge erred in responding to a question from the jury during deliberations; *Caprio* was reversed post-trial after defendants agreed to voluntarily dismiss their appeal in exchange for a full retrial; *Oshinsky-Blacker* was reversed post-trial based on plaintiff's counsel's improper arguments at trial; and *McCall* was reversed based on an appellate finding that the trial judge erred in instructing the jury on the warning labels on cigarette packs.

Forty-five verdicts were returned in favor of PM USA, of which 36 were state cases. In addition, there have been a number of mistrials, only some of which have resulted in new trials as of January 29, 2018. Two verdicts (*D. Cohen* and *Collar*) that were returned in favor of PM USA were subsequently reversed for new trials. The juries in the *Reider* and *Banks* cases returned zero damages verdicts in favor of PM USA. The juries in the *Weingart* and *Hancock* cases returned verdicts against PM USA awarding no damages, but the trial court in each case granted an *additur*.

The charts below list the verdicts and post-trial developments in certain *Engle* progeny cases in which verdicts were returned in favor of plaintiffs (including *Hancock*, where the verdict originally was returned in favor of PM USA). The first chart lists such cases that are pending as of January 29, 2018; the second chart lists such cases that were pending within the previous 12 months, but that are now concluded.

Currently-Pending Engle Cases

Plaintiff: *Bryant*

Date: December 2017

Verdict:

An Escambia County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA awarding compensatory damages of \$581,000 and allocating 25% of the fault to PM USA. The jury also awarded \$225,000 in punitive damages against PM USA.

Post-Trial Developments:

In December 2017, PM USA filed various post-trial motions, including motions to enter judgment in its favor and for a new trial. Plaintiff also filed a motion for a new trial on the amount of punitive damages.

Plaintiff: *R. Douglas*

Date: November 2017

Verdict:

A Duval County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA awarding compensatory damages of \$131,371 and allocating 4% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of \$5,255).

Post-Trial Developments:

In November 2017, PM USA filed a motion to set aside the verdict, and plaintiff filed a motion for a new trial or, in the alternative, for an *additur* of the damages award.

Plaintiff: *Wallace*

Date: October 2017

Verdict:

A Brevard County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds awarding compensatory damages of \$12 million and allocating 66% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of approximately \$7.9 million). The jury also awarded plaintiff \$16 million in punitive damages against PM USA.

Post-Trial Developments:

In November 2017, defendants filed post-trial motions, including for a new trial or *remittitur* of the damages awards. In December 2017, the court denied certain post-trial motions. In January 2018, the court amended the final judgment to withdraw the comparative fault reduction for the compensatory damages award and denied the remaining post-trial motions.

Plaintiff: *L. Martin*

Date: May 2017

Verdict:

A Miami-Dade County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA awarding compensatory damages of \$1.1 million and allocating 55% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of \$605,000). The jury also awarded plaintiff \$1.3 million in punitive damages against PM USA.

Post-Trial Developments:

In May 2017, PM USA filed various post-trial motions, including motions to set aside the verdict and for a new trial. In June 2017, the trial court entered final judgment in favor of plaintiff with a deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. In August 2017, the court denied PM USA's post-trial motions and PM USA filed a notice of appeal to the Florida Third District Court of Appeal and posted a bond in the amount of approximately \$1.9 million. In September 2017, plaintiff cross-appealed.

Plaintiff: *Sommers*

Date: April 2017

Verdict:

A Miami-Dade County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA awarding compensatory damages of \$1 million and allocating 40% of the fault to PM USA. The court dismissed the punitive damages claim prior to trial.

Post-Trial Developments:

In April 2017, PM USA filed motions for a new trial and for a directed verdict, and plaintiff filed a motion for a new trial on punitive damages. In January 2018, the trial court granted plaintiff's motion for a new trial on punitive damages and denied PM USA's post-trial motions.

Plaintiff: *Santoro*

Date: March 2017

Verdict:

A Broward County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA, R.J. Reynolds and Liggett Group LLC ("Liggett Group") awarding compensatory damages of \$1.6 million and allocating 28% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of approximately \$450,000). The jury also awarded plaintiff \$100,000 in punitive damages against PM USA.

Post-Trial Developments:

In April 2017, the trial court entered final judgment in favor of plaintiff with a deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault and defendants filed various post-trial motions, including motions to set aside the verdict and for a new trial. In December 2017, the trial court granted defendants' motion to set aside the verdict as to all claims except plaintiff's conspiracy claim. In January 2018, plaintiff filed a motion to amend the final judgment to award the full compensatory damages without reduction for plaintiff's comparative fault.

Plaintiff: *J. Brown*

Date: February 2017

Verdict:

A Pinellas County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds awarding compensatory damages of \$5.4 million and allocating 35% of the fault to PM USA. The jury also awarded plaintiff \$200,000 in punitive damages against PM USA.

Post-Trial Developments:

In March 2017, defendants filed various post-trial motions, including motions to set aside the verdict and for a new trial. The court ruled that it will not apply the comparative fault reduction to the compensatory damages. In August 2017, the trial court denied defendants' post-trial motions and entered final judgment in favor of plaintiff. In September 2017, defendants filed a notice of appeal to the Florida Second District Court of Appeal and posted a bond in the amount of \$2.5 million.

Plaintiff: *Pardue*

Date: December 2016

Verdict:

An Alachua County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds awarding compensatory damages of approximately \$5.9 million and allocating 25% of the fault to PM USA. The jury also awarded plaintiff \$6.75 million in punitive damages against PM USA.

Post-Trial Developments:

In December 2016, the trial court entered final judgment in favor of plaintiff without a deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. In January 2017, PM USA and R.J. Reynolds filed various post-trial motions, including motions to set aside the verdict and for a new trial or, in the alternative, for *remittitur* of the jury's damages awards. In February 2017, the court granted defendants' alternative motion for *remittitur*, reducing the compensatory damages award against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds to approximately \$5.2 million. Also in February 2017, defendants filed a renewed motion to alter or amend the judgment, which the court denied in April 2017. In March 2017, defendants filed a notice of appeal to the Florida First District Court of Appeal and plaintiff cross-appealed. In April 2017, PM USA posted a bond in the amount of \$2.5 million.

Plaintiff: *S. Martin*

Date: November 2016

Verdict:

A Broward County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds awarding compensatory damages of approximately \$5.4 million and allocating 46% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of approximately \$2.48 million). The jury also awarded plaintiff \$450,000 in punitive damages against PM USA.

Post-Trial Developments:

In December 2016, the trial court entered final judgment in favor of plaintiff with a deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault and PM USA and R.J. Reynolds filed various post-trial motions, including motions to set aside the verdict and for a new trial. In January 2017, the trial court denied all post-trial motions. In February 2017, defendants filed a notice of appeal to the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal and plaintiff cross-appealed. Also in February 2017, PM USA posted a bond in the amount of \$2.9 million.

Plaintiff: *Howles*

Date: November 2016

Verdict:

A Broward County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds awarding compensatory damages of \$4 million and allocating 50% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of \$2 million). The jury also awarded plaintiff \$3 million in punitive damages against PM USA.

Post-Trial Developments:

In November 2016, PM USA and R.J. Reynolds filed various post-trial motions, including motions to set aside the verdict and for a new trial, which the court denied in December 2016. Also in December 2016, defendants filed a notice of appeal to the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal.

Plaintiff: *Oshinsky-Blacker*

Date: September 2016

Verdict:

A Broward County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds awarding compensatory damages of \$6.155 million and allocating 60% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of \$3.7 million). The jury also awarded plaintiff \$1 million in punitive damages against PM USA.

Post-Trial Developments:

In October 2016, PM USA and R.J. Reynolds filed motions to set aside the verdict and for a directed verdict. In March 2017, the trial court vacated the verdict, ordered a new trial based on plaintiff's counsel's improper arguments at trial and denied defendants' remaining post-trial motions. Also in March 2017, plaintiff filed a notice of appeal with the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal and defendants cross-appealed.

Plaintiff: *Sermons*

Date: July 2016

Verdict:

A Duval County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds awarding compensatory damages of \$65,000 and allocating 15% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of \$9,750). The jury also awarded plaintiff \$51,225 in punitive damages against PM USA.

Post-Trial Developments:

In July 2016, plaintiff filed a motion for a new trial or, in the alternative, for an *additur* of the damages awards.

Plaintiff: *Purdo*
Date: April 2016

Verdict:

A Palm Beach County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds awarding compensatory damages of \$21 million and allocating 12% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of \$2.52 million). The jury also awarded plaintiff \$6.25 million in punitive damages against each defendant.

Post-Trial Developments:

In May 2016, PM USA and R.J. Reynolds filed various post-trial motions, including motions to set aside the verdict and for a new trial, all of which the court denied and entered final judgment in favor of plaintiff with a deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. In June 2016, defendants filed a notice of appeal to the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal and PM USA posted a bond in the amount of approximately \$1.5 million. In August 2017, the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal affirmed the final judgment in favor of plaintiff. In September 2017, defendants petitioned the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal for panel rehearing or for rehearing *en banc*, which the court denied in October 2017. In November 2017, defendants filed a notice to invoke the discretionary jurisdiction of the Florida Supreme Court.

Plaintiff: *McCall*
Date: March 2016

Verdict:

A Broward County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA awarding compensatory damages of \$350,000 and allocating 25% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of \$87,500).

Post-Trial Developments:

In March 2016, PM USA filed a motion to set aside the verdict and to enter judgment in its favor, which the court denied in May 2016. Also in March 2016, plaintiff filed a motion for a new trial on punitive damages, citing the *Soffer* decision (allowing *Engle* progeny plaintiffs to seek punitive damages on their negligence and strict liability claims) discussed below under *Engle Progeny Appellate Issues*, which the court granted in May 2016. In June 2016, PM USA filed a notice of appeal to the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal and plaintiff cross-appealed. In December 2017, the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal reversed the judgment and remanded the case for a new trial on an appellate finding that the trial judge erred in instructing the jury on the warning labels on cigarette packs.

Plaintiff: *Ahrens*
Date: February 2016

Verdict:

A Pinellas County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds awarding \$9 million in compensatory damages and allocating 24% of the fault to PM USA. The jury also awarded plaintiff \$2.5 million in punitive damages against each defendant.

Post-Trial Developments:

In February 2016, the trial court entered final judgment against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds without any deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault and defendants filed various post-trial motions, including motions to set aside the verdict and for a new trial. In March 2016, the trial court denied defendants' post-trial motions. In April 2016, defendants filed a notice of appeal to the Florida Second District Court of Appeal and PM USA posted a bond in the amount of \$2.5 million. In May 2017, the Florida Second District Court of Appeal issued a *per curiam* affirmance of the final judgment against defendants and defendants filed a motion for rehearing. In July 2017, the Second District Court of Appeal withdrew its prior decision and replaced it with a written opinion affirming the trial court's judgment, but certifying to the Florida Supreme Court a conflict with *Schoeff*, discussed below under *Engle Progeny Appellate Issues*. In August 2017, defendants filed a notice to invoke the discretionary jurisdiction of the Florida Supreme Court and the Florida Supreme Court stayed the case pending *Schoeff*. In December 2017, the Florida Supreme Court held in *Schoeff* that comparative fault does not reduce compensatory damages awards for intentional torts. As a result, in the fourth quarter of 2017, PM USA recorded a provision on its consolidated balance sheet of approximately \$7 million for the judgment plus interest and associated costs.

Plaintiff: *Ledoux*

Date: December 2015

Verdict:

A Miami-Dade County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds awarding \$10 million in compensatory damages and allocating 47% of the fault to PM USA. The jury also awarded plaintiff \$12.5 million in punitive damages against each defendant.

Post-Trial Developments:

In January 2016, PM USA and R.J. Reynolds filed various post-trial motions, including motions to set aside the verdict and for a new trial, and the trial court entered final judgment against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds without any deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. In February 2016, the trial court denied defendants' post-trial motions. In March 2016, defendants filed a notice of appeal to the Florida Third District Court of Appeal and PM USA posted a bond in the amount of \$2.5 million. In October 2017, the Florida Third District Court of Appeal affirmed the final judgment in favor of plaintiff. In November 2017, defendants filed a notice to invoke the discretionary jurisdiction of the Florida Supreme Court, contending that the final judgment conflicts with *Schoeff*, discussed below under *Engle Progeny Appellate Issues*. In December 2017, the Florida Supreme Court held in *Schoeff* that comparative fault does not reduce compensatory damages awards for intentional torts. As a result, in the fourth quarter of 2017, PM USA recorded a provision on its consolidated balance sheet of approximately \$20 million for the judgment plus interest and associated costs.

Plaintiff: *Barbose*

Date: November 2015

Verdict:

A Pasco County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds awarding \$10 million in compensatory damages and allocating 42.5% of the fault to PM USA. The jury also awarded plaintiff \$500,000 in punitive damages against each defendant.

Post-Trial Developments:

In November 2015, the court entered final judgment in favor of plaintiff without any deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault and in December 2015, PM USA and R.J. Reynolds filed various post-trial motions, including motions to set aside the verdict and for a new trial, which the court denied in January 2016. In February 2016, PM USA posted a bond in the amount of \$2.5 million and filed a notice of appeal to the Florida Second District Court of Appeal. In August 2017, the Florida Second District Court of Appeal issued a *per curiam* affirmance of the final judgment against defendants and defendants filed a motion seeking a written opinion with a citation to *Schoeff*, discussed below under *Engle Progeny Appellate Issues*. In October 2017, the Florida Second District Court of Appeal issued a written opinion with a citation to *Schoeff* and granted defendants' March 2017 motion for rehearing *en banc* or certification to the Florida Supreme Court. In November 2017, defendants filed a notice to invoke the discretionary jurisdiction of the Florida Supreme Court, contending that the final judgment conflicts with *Schoeff*. In December 2017, the Florida Supreme Court held in *Schoeff* that comparative fault does not reduce compensatory damages awards for intentional torts. As a result, in the fourth quarter of 2017, PM USA recorded a provision on its consolidated balance sheet of approximately \$12 million for the judgment plus interest and associated costs.

Plaintiff: *Tognoli*

Date: November 2015

Verdict:

A Broward County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA awarding \$1.05 million in compensatory damages and allocating 15% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of \$157,500).

Post-Trial Developments:

In December 2015, PM USA filed a motion to set aside the verdict and for judgment in accordance with its motion for directed verdict. In January 2016, the trial court entered final judgment against PM USA with a deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault and plaintiff filed an appeal to the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal. Additionally, the trial court denied PM USA's post-trial motions and PM USA cross-appealed. In June 2017, the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal issued a *per curiam* affirmance of the final judgment against PM USA. In July 2017, plaintiff filed a notice to invoke the discretionary jurisdiction of the Florida Supreme Court and, in August 2017, the Florida Supreme Court stayed the case pending *Schoeff*, discussed below under *Engle Progeny Appellate Issues*. In December 2017, the Florida Supreme Court held in *Schoeff* that comparative fault does not reduce compensatory damages awards for

intentional torts. As a result, in the fourth quarter of 2017, PM USA recorded a provision on its consolidated balance sheet of approximately \$1 million for the judgment plus interest.

Plaintiff: *Danielson*

Date: November 2015

Verdict:

An Escambia County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA awarding \$325,000 in compensatory damages and allocating 49% of the fault to PM USA. The jury also awarded plaintiff \$325,000 in punitive damages.

Post-Trial Developments:

In November 2015, plaintiff filed a motion to enforce the parties' pretrial stipulation of \$2.3 million in economic damages, which the trial court granted. The plaintiff also filed a motion for an *additur* or, in the alternative, for a new trial and PM USA filed post-trial motions, including a motion concerning the proper form of judgment and for a new trial. In December 2015, the trial court granted plaintiff's motion for a new trial on damages and denied PM USA's post-trial motions. In January 2016, PM USA filed a notice of appeal to the Florida First District Court of Appeal. In July 2017, the Florida First District Court of Appeal affirmed the trial court's order granting a new trial on non-economic compensatory damages, but reinstated the jury's punitive damages award.

Plaintiff: *Duignan*

Date: September 2015

Verdict:

A Pinellas County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds awarding \$6 million in compensatory damages and allocating 37% of the fault to PM USA. The jury also awarded plaintiff \$3.5 million in punitive damages against PM USA.

Post-Trial Developments:

In September 2015, the trial court entered final judgment without any deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault, and PM USA filed various post-trial motions, including motions to set aside the verdict and for a new trial, which the court denied in October 2015. In November 2015, PM USA and R.J. Reynolds filed a notice of appeal to the Florida Second District Court of Appeal and PM USA posted a bond in the amount of approximately \$2.7 million. In November 2017, the Florida Second District Court of Appeal reversed the judgment against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds and ordered a new trial on an appellate finding that the trial judge erred in responding to a question from the jury during deliberations. Also in November 2017, plaintiff filed a motion for rehearing with the Florida Second District Court of Appeal, which the court denied in January 2018.

Plaintiff: *Cooper*

Date: September 2015

Verdict:

A Broward County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds awarding \$4.5 million in compensatory damages and allocating 10% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of \$450,000).

Post-Trial Developments:

In September 2015, defendants filed various post-trial motions, including motions to set aside the verdict and for a directed verdict. In January 2016, the trial court denied PM USA's post-trial motions. In February 2016, the trial court entered final judgment in favor of plaintiff, reducing the compensatory damages award against PM USA to approximately \$300,000. In March 2016, PM USA and R.J. Reynolds filed a notice of appeal in the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal and plaintiff cross-appealed. Also in March 2016, PM USA posted a bond in the amount of approximately \$300,000. In January 2018, the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal affirmed the judgment in favor of plaintiff and granted plaintiff a new trial on punitive damages.

Plaintiff: *Jordan*

Date: August 2015

Verdict:

A Duval County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA awarding approximately \$7.8 million in compensatory damages and allocating 60% of the fault to PM USA. The jury also awarded approximately \$3.2 million in punitive damages.

Post-Trial Developments:

In August 2015, the trial court entered final judgment without any deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault, but reduced the compensatory damages to approximately \$6.4 million. PM USA filed various post-trial motions, including motions to set aside the verdict and for a new trial, which the court denied in December 2015. PM USA subsequently filed a notice of appeal to the Florida First District Court of Appeal and plaintiff cross-appealed.

Plaintiff: *McCoy*

Date: July 2015

Verdict:

A Broward County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA, R.J. Reynolds and Lorillard awarding \$1.5 million in compensatory damages and allocating 20% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of \$300,000). The jury also awarded \$3 million in punitive damages against each defendant.

Post-Trial Developments:

In July 2015, defendants filed various post-trial motions, including motions to set aside the verdict and for a new trial. In August 2015, the trial court entered final judgment without any deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. In January 2016, the trial court denied defendants' post-trial motions and amended the final judgment to apply the comparative fault deduction. Subsequently, defendants filed a notice of appeal to the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal, PM USA posted a bond in the amount of approximately \$1.65 million and plaintiff filed a notice of cross-appeal. In November 2017, the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal reversed the judgment against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds and ordered a new trial on an appellate finding that the trial court erred in admitting certain materials into evidence that deprived defendants of a fair trial. In December 2017, plaintiff filed a notice to invoke the discretionary jurisdiction of the Florida Supreme Court.

Plaintiff: *M. Brown*

Date: May 2015

Verdict:

In May 2015, a Duval County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA in a partial retrial. In 2013, a jury returned a partial verdict against PM USA, but was deadlocked as to (i) the amount of compensatory damages, (ii) whether punitive damages should be awarded and, if so, (iii) the amount of punitive damages. In the partial retrial, the jury was asked to address these issues. In May 2015, the jury awarded \$6.375 million in compensatory damages, but did not award any punitive damages.

Post-Trial Developments:

In May 2015, the trial court entered final judgment without any deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault, and PM USA posted a bond in the amount of \$5 million. Additionally, PM USA filed post-trial motions, including motions to set aside the verdict and for a new trial, as well as filed a notice of appeal to the Florida First District Court of Appeal. In August 2015, the trial court denied the last of PM USA's post-trial motions and plaintiff cross-appealed.

Plaintiff: *Gore*

Date: March 2015

Verdict:

An Indian River County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds awarding \$2 million in compensatory damages and allocating 23% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of \$460,000).

Post-Trial Developments:

In April 2015, defendants filed post-trial motions, including motions to set aside the verdict and for a new trial. In September 2015, the trial court entered final judgment with a deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. In October 2015, defendants filed a notice of appeal to the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal and plaintiff cross-appealed. PM USA subsequently posted a bond in the amount of \$460,000.

Plaintiff: *Pollari*

Date: March 2015

Verdict:

A Broward County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds awarding \$10 million in compensatory damages and allocating 42.5% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of \$4.25 million). The jury also awarded \$1.5 million in punitive damages against each defendant.

Post-Trial Developments:

In April 2015, defendants filed post-trial motions, including motions to set aside the verdict and for a new trial, and the trial court entered final judgment without any deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. In January 2016, the trial court denied defendants' post-trial motions and amended the final judgment to apply the comparative fault deduction. Also in January 2016, defendants filed a notice of appeal to the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal and PM USA posted a bond in the amount of \$2.5 million. In February 2016, plaintiff cross-appealed. In August 2017, the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal reversed the original judgment against PM USA and ordered a new trial on an appellate finding that the trial court erred in admitting certain materials into evidence that deprived defendants of a fair trial. In September 2017, plaintiff moved for rehearing, rehearing *en banc*, or certification of a question to the Florida Supreme Court, which the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal denied in November 2017. In December 2017, plaintiff filed a notice to invoke the discretionary jurisdiction of the Florida Supreme Court.

Plaintiff: *Zamboni*

Date: February 2015

Verdict:

A jury in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds awarding \$340,000 in compensatory damages and allocating 10% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of \$34,000).

Post-Trial Developments:

In April 2015, PM USA and R.J. Reynolds filed a motion for judgment in defendants' favor in accordance with the Eleventh Circuit's decision in *Graham*, discussed below under *Engle Progeny Appellate Issues*. In June 2015, the trial court stayed the case pending the Eleventh Circuit's final disposition in the *Graham* case. In January 2018, the United States Supreme Court denied PM USA's petition for *writ of certiorari* in *Graham*.

Plaintiff: *Caprio*

Date: February 2015

Verdict:

A Broward County jury returned a partial verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA, R.J. Reynolds, Lorillard and Liggett Group. The jury found against defendants on class membership, allocating 25% of the fault to PM USA. The jury also found \$559,172 in economic damages. The jury deadlocked with respect to the intentional torts, certain elements of compensatory damages and punitive damages.

Post-Trial Developments:

In March 2015, PM USA filed post-trial motions, including motions to set aside the partial verdict and for a new trial. In May 2015, the court denied all of PM USA's post-trial motions and defendants filed a notice of appeal to the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal. In January 2017, the defendants agreed to voluntarily dismiss their appeal in exchange for a full retrial and the court dismissed the appeal.

Plaintiff: *McKeever*

Date: February 2015

Verdict:

A Broward County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA awarding approximately \$5.8 million in compensatory damages and allocating 60% of the fault to PM USA. The jury also awarded plaintiff approximately \$11.63 million in punitive damages. However, the jury found in favor of PM USA on the statute of repose defense to plaintiff's intentional tort and punitive damages claims.

Post-Trial Developments:

In March 2015, PM USA filed various post-trial motions, including motions to set aside the verdict and motions for a new trial. In April 2015, the trial court entered final judgment without any deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. In June 2015, the trial court denied PM USA's post-trial motions, and PM USA posted a bond in the amount of \$5 million. PM USA also filed a notice of appeal to the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal in June 2015. In January 2017, the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal issued a decision largely affirming the trial court's judgment against PM USA, but remanded the case to the trial court to amend the final judgment to apply the comparative fault deduction to the compensatory damages award. In February 2017, PM USA filed a notice to invoke the discretionary jurisdiction of the Florida Supreme Court. In March 2017, the Florida Supreme Court stayed the appeal pending its decisions in *Marotta* and *Schoeff*, discussed below under *Engle Progeny Appellate Issues*. In April 2017, the Florida Supreme Court rejected R.J. Reynolds's federal preemption defense in *Marotta*. In December 2017, the Florida Supreme Court held in *Schoeff* that comparative fault does not reduce compensatory damages awards for intentional torts. As a result, in the fourth quarter of 2017, PM USA recorded a provision on its consolidated balance sheet of approximately \$20 million for the judgment plus interest.

Plaintiff: *D. Brown*

Date: January 2015

Verdict:

A jury in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida returned a verdict against PM USA awarding plaintiff approximately \$8.3 million in compensatory damages and allocating 55% of the fault to PM USA. The jury also awarded plaintiff \$9 million in punitive damages.

Post-Trial Developments:

In February 2015, the trial court entered final judgment without any deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. In March 2015, PM USA filed various post-trial motions, including motions to alter or amend the judgment and for a new trial or, in the alternative, *remittitur* of the damages awards, all of which the court denied. In July 2015, PM USA filed a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit. In August 2015, the Court of Appeals granted PM USA's motion to stay the appeal pending final disposition in the *Graham* case, discussed below under *Engle Progeny Appellate Issues*. In January 2018, the United States Supreme Court denied PM USA's petition for *writ of certiorari* in *Graham*.

Plaintiff: *Allen*

Date: November 2014

Verdict:

A Duval County jury returned a verdict against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds awarding plaintiff approximately \$3.1 million in compensatory damages and allocating 6% of the fault to PM USA. The jury also awarded approximately \$7.76 million in punitive damages against each defendant. This was a retrial of a 2011 trial that awarded plaintiff \$6 million in compensatory damages and \$17 million in punitive damages against each defendant.

Post-Trial Developments:

In December 2014, defendants filed various post-trial motions, including motions to set aside the verdict and motions for a new trial, which the court denied in July 2015. In August 2015, the trial court entered final judgment without any deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. Defendants filed a notice of appeal to the Florida First District Court of Appeal in September 2015 and PM USA posted a bond in the amount of approximately \$2.5 million. In February 2017, the Florida First District Court of Appeal affirmed the trial court's judgment. In March 2017, defendants filed a motion for rehearing *en banc* with the Florida First District Court of Appeal or for certification to the Florida Supreme Court. In June 2017, the Florida First District Court of Appeal granted defendants' motion for rehearing *en banc*. In October 2017, the Florida First District Court of Appeal dissolved the *en banc* proceeding. In November 2017, defendants filed a notice to invoke the discretionary jurisdiction of the Florida Supreme Court.

Plaintiff: *Perrotto*

Date: November 2014

Verdict:

A Palm Beach County jury returned a verdict against PM USA, R.J. Reynolds, Lorillard and Liggett Group awarding plaintiff approximately \$4.1 million in compensatory damages and allocating 25% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of approximately \$1.02 million).

Post-Trial Developments:

In December 2014, plaintiff filed a motion for a new trial. In May 2016, the court granted plaintiff's motion for a new trial on punitive damages, citing the *Soffer* decision, discussed below under *Engle Progeny Appellate Issues*. In September 2016, the court denied defendants' post-trial motions.

Plaintiff: *Boatright*

Date: November 2014

Verdict:

A Polk County jury returned a verdict against PM USA and Liggett Group awarding plaintiff \$15 million in compensatory damages and allocating 85% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of approximately \$12.75 million). In addition, in November 2014, the jury awarded plaintiff approximately \$19.7 million in punitive damages against PM USA and \$300,000 in punitive damages against Liggett Group.

Post-Trial Developments:

In November 2014, PM USA filed various post-trial motions and, in January 2015, the trial court denied PM USA's motions for a new trial and for *remittitur*, but entered final judgment with a deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. In February 2015, defendants filed a notice of appeal to the Florida Second District Court of Appeal and plaintiff cross-appealed. PM USA posted a bond in the amount of \$3.98 million. In April 2017, the Florida Second District Court of Appeal rejected PM USA's grounds for appeal and affirmed the judgment, but ruled that the trial court should not have applied the comparative fault deduction. The court remanded the case to the trial court to amend the judgment to award plaintiff the full amount of the jury's compensatory damages award and also separately ruled that plaintiff is entitled to attorneys' fees. In May 2017, defendants filed notices to invoke the discretionary jurisdiction of the Florida Supreme Court on the merits and on the attorneys' fees issue. The Florida Supreme Court stayed consideration of its jurisdiction on the merits appeal pending its ruling in *Schoeff*, discussed below under *Engle Progeny Appellate Issues*. In December 2017, the Florida Supreme Court held in *Schoeff* that comparative fault does not reduce compensatory damages awards for intentional torts. PM USA intends to request that the Florida Supreme Court remand the case to the Second District Court of Appeal for further consideration.

Plaintiff: *Kerrivan*

Date: October 2014

Verdict:

A jury in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida returned a verdict against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds awarding plaintiff \$15.8 million in compensatory damages and allocating 50% of the fault to PM USA. The jury also awarded plaintiff \$25.3 million in punitive damages and allocated \$15.7 million to PM USA.

Post-Trial Developments:

The trial court entered final judgment without any deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. In December 2014, defendants filed various post-trial motions, including a renewed motion for judgment or for a new trial. Plaintiff agreed to waive the bond for the appeal. In May 2015, the trial court deferred further briefing on the post-trial motions pending the Eleventh Circuit's final disposition in the *Graham* and *Searcy* cases, discussed below under *Engle Progeny Appellate Issues*. In June 2017, the trial court lifted the stay on the post-trial motions.

Plaintiff: *Berger*

Date: September 2014

Verdict:

A jury in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida returned a verdict against PM USA awarding plaintiff \$6.25 million in compensatory damages and allocating 60% of the fault to PM USA. The jury also awarded \$20.76 million in punitive damages.

Post-Trial Developments:

The trial court entered final judgment in September 2014 without any deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. In October 2014, plaintiff agreed to waive the bond for the appeal. Also in October 2014, PM USA filed a motion for a new trial or, in the alternative, *remittitur* of the jury's damages awards. In April 2015, the trial court granted PM USA's post-verdict motion in part and vacated the punitive damages award. In November 2015, the court entered final judgment with a deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. In April 2016, plaintiff filed a motion to reinstate the jury's punitive damages award or, alternatively, for a new trial on punitive damages, citing the *Soffer* decision, discussed below under *Engle Progeny Appellate Issues*. Also in April 2016, PM USA filed a motion to stay post-trial proceedings pending the Eleventh Circuit's final disposition in the *Graham* case, discussed below under *Engle Progeny Appellate Issues*.

In May 2016, (i) the trial court denied PM USA's remaining post-trial motions and (ii) PM USA filed a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit and a motion to stay the appeal pending *Graham*, which the court granted in June 2016. In August 2016, the trial court denied plaintiff's motion to reinstate the jury's punitive damages or to order a new trial and, in September 2016, plaintiff cross-appealed. In June 2017, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit lifted the stay on the post-trial motions.

Plaintiff: *Harris*

Date: July 2014

Verdict:

The U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA, R.J. Reynolds and Lorillard awarding approximately \$1.73 million in compensatory damages and allocating 15% of the fault to PM USA.

Post-Trial Developments:

Defendants filed motions for a defense verdict because the jury's findings indicated that plaintiff was not a member of the *Engle* class. In December 2014, the trial court entered final judgment without any deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault and, in January 2015, defendants filed a renewed motion for judgment as a matter of law or, in the alternative, a motion for a new trial. Defendants also filed a motion to alter or amend the final judgment. In April 2015, the trial court stayed the post-trial proceedings pending the Eleventh Circuit's final disposition in the *Graham* case, discussed below under *Engle Progeny Appellate Issues*. In January 2018, the United States Supreme Court denied PM USA's petition for writ of certiorari in *Graham*.

Plaintiff: *Griffin*

Date: June 2014

Verdict:

A jury in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA awarding approximately \$1.27 million in compensatory damages and allocating 50% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of approximately \$630,000).

Post-Trial Developments:

The trial court entered final judgment against PM USA in July 2014 with a deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. In August 2014, PM USA filed a motion to amend the judgment to reduce plaintiff's damages by the amount paid by collateral sources, which the court denied in September 2014. In October 2014, PM USA posted a bond in the amount of \$640,543 and filed a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit. In May 2015, the Eleventh Circuit stayed the appeal pending final disposition in the *Graham* case, discussed below under *Engle Progeny Appellate Issues*. In the second quarter of 2017, PM USA recorded a provision on its condensed consolidated balance sheet of approximately \$1.1 million for the judgment plus interest and associated costs. In January 2018, the United States Supreme Court denied PM USA's petition for writ of certiorari in *Graham*.

Plaintiff: *Burkhart*

Date: May 2014

Verdict:

A jury in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA, R.J. Reynolds and Lorillard awarding \$5 million in compensatory damages and allocating 15% of the fault to PM USA. The jury also awarded plaintiff \$2.5 million in punitive damages, allocating \$750,000 to PM USA.

Post-Trial Developments:

In July 2014, defendants filed post-trial motions, including a renewed motion for judgment or, alternatively, for a new trial or *remittitur* of the damages awards, which the court denied in September 2014. The trial court entered final judgment without any deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. In October 2014, defendants filed a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit. In April 2017, the Eleventh Circuit stayed the appeal pending final disposition in the *Graham* case, discussed below under *Engle Progeny Appellate Issues*. In November 2017, the Eleventh Circuit further stayed the appeal pending *Schoeff*, discussed below under *Engle Progeny Appellate Issues*. In December 2017, the Florida Supreme Court held in *Schoeff* that comparative fault does not reduce compensatory damages awards for intentional torts. In January 2018, the United States Supreme Court denied PM USA's petition for writ of certiorari in *Graham*.

Plaintiff: *Skolnick*

Date: June 2013

Verdict:

A Palm Beach County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds. The jury awarded plaintiff \$2.555 million in compensatory damages and allocated 30% of the fault to each defendant (an amount of \$766,500).

Post-Trial Developments:

In June 2013, defendants and plaintiff filed post-trial motions. The trial court entered final judgment with a deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. In November 2013, the trial court denied plaintiff's post-trial motion and, in December 2013, denied defendants' post-trial motions. Defendants filed a notice of appeal to the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal, and plaintiff cross-appealed in December 2013. Also in December 2013, PM USA posted a bond in the amount of \$766,500. In July 2015, the District Court of Appeal reversed the compensatory damages award and ordered judgment in favor of defendants on the strict liability and negligence claims, but remanded plaintiff's conspiracy and concealment claims for a new trial. In August 2015, defendants filed a motion for rehearing, and plaintiff filed a motion for clarification, which the District Court of Appeal denied in September 2015.

Plaintiff: *Starr-Blundell*

Date: June 2013

Verdict:

A Duval County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds. The jury awarded plaintiff \$500,000 in compensatory damages and allocated 10% of the fault to each defendant (an amount of \$50,000).

Post-Trial Developments:

In June 2013, the defendants filed a motion to set aside the verdict and to enter judgment in accordance with their motion for directed verdict or, in the alternative, for a new trial, which was denied in October 2013. In November 2013, the trial court entered final judgment with a deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. In December 2013, plaintiff filed a notice of appeal to the Florida First District Court of Appeal. Plaintiff agreed to waive the bond for the appeal. In May 2015, the Florida First District Court of Appeal affirmed the final judgment. In June 2015, plaintiff filed a notice to invoke the discretionary jurisdiction of the Florida Supreme Court. In July 2015, the Florida Supreme Court stayed the case pending the outcome of *Soffer*, discussed below under *Engle Progeny Appellate Issues*. In April 2016, the Florida Supreme Court ordered defendants to show cause as to why the case should not be remanded in light of the *Soffer* decision. In the first quarter of 2016, PM USA recorded a provision on its condensed consolidated balance sheet of approximately \$55,000 for the judgment plus interest and associated costs. In May 2016, the Florida Supreme Court accepted jurisdiction of plaintiff's petition for review and remanded the case for reconsideration in light of the *Soffer* decision. In September 2016, the Florida First District Court of Appeal further remanded the case in light of *Soffer*.

Plaintiff: *Searcy*

Date: April 2013

Verdict:

A jury in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds. The jury awarded \$6 million in compensatory damages (allocating 30% of the fault to each defendant) and \$10 million in punitive damages against each defendant.

Post-Trial Developments:

In June 2013, the trial court entered final judgment without any deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. In July 2013, defendants filed various post-trial motions, including motions requesting reductions in damages. In September 2013, the district court reduced the compensatory damages award to \$1 million and the punitive damages award to \$1.67 million against each defendant. The district court denied all other post-trial motions. Plaintiff filed a motion to reconsider the district court's *remittitur* and, in the alternative, to certify the issue to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, both of which the court denied in October 2013. In November 2013, defendants filed a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit arguing that application of the *Engle* findings to the *Engle* progeny plaintiffs' concealment and conspiracy claims violated defendants' due process rights. In December 2013, defendants filed an amended notice of appeal after the district court corrected a clerical error in the final judgment, and PM USA posted a bond in the amount of approximately \$2.2 million. In January 2018, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit ordered supplemental briefing on the due process issue.

Plaintiff: *Calloway*

Date: May 2012

Verdict:

A Broward County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA, R.J. Reynolds, Lorillard and Liggett Group. The jury awarded approximately \$21 million in compensatory damages and allocated 25% of the fault against PM USA. The jury also awarded approximately \$17 million in punitive damages against PM USA, approximately \$17 million in punitive damages against R.J. Reynolds, approximately \$13 million in punitive damages against Lorillard and approximately \$8 million in punitive damages against Liggett Group.

Post-Trial Developments:

In May and June 2012, defendants filed motions to set aside the verdict and for a new trial. In August 2012, the trial court denied the remaining post-trial motions, reduced the compensatory damages to \$16.1 million and entered final judgment without any deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. In September 2012, PM USA posted a bond in an amount of \$1.5 million and defendants filed a notice of appeal to the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal. In August 2013, plaintiff filed a motion to determine the sufficiency of the bond in the trial court on the ground that the bond cap statute is unconstitutional, which the court denied. In January 2016, a panel of the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal vacated the punitive damages award and remanded the case for retrial on plaintiff's claims of concealment and conspiracy, and punitive damages. The court also found that the trial court should have applied the comparative fault deduction, reducing the compensatory damages against PM USA to \$4.025 million. In February 2016, defendants and plaintiff filed respective motions for rehearing and rehearing *en banc*. In March 2016, plaintiff filed a notice of supplemental authority citing the *Soffer* decision, discussed below under *Engle Progeny Appellate Issues*. In September 2016, the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal, ruling *en banc*, reversed the judgment against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds in its entirety on the grounds that improper arguments by plaintiff's counsel deprived defendants of a fair trial, and ordered a new trial. In October 2016, plaintiff filed a notice to invoke the discretionary jurisdiction of the Florida Supreme Court, which the court denied in March 2017. In June 2017, plaintiff filed a petition for *writ of certiorari* with the United States Supreme Court seeking review of the 2016 *en banc* ruling by the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal, which the court denied in October 2017.

Plaintiff: *Putney*

Date: April 2010

Verdict:

A Broward County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA, R.J. Reynolds and Liggett Group. The jury awarded approximately \$15.1 million in compensatory damages and allocated 15% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of approximately \$2.3 million). The jury also awarded \$2.5 million in punitive damages against PM USA.

Post-Trial Developments:

In August 2010, the trial court entered final judgment with a deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. PM USA filed its notice of appeal to the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal and, in November 2010, posted a \$1.6 million bond. In June 2013, the Fourth District Court of Appeal reversed and remanded the case for further proceedings, holding that the trial court erred in (1) not reducing the compensatory damages award as excessive and (2) not instructing the jury on the statute of repose in connection with plaintiff's conspiracy claim that resulted in the \$2.5 million punitive damages award. In July 2013, plaintiff filed a motion for rehearing, which the Fourth District Court of Appeal denied in August 2013. In September 2013, both parties filed notices to invoke the discretionary jurisdiction of the Florida Supreme Court. In December 2013, the Florida Supreme Court stayed the appeal pending the outcome of the *Hess* case. In April 2015, the Florida Supreme Court rejected the statute of repose defense in *Hess*, and PM USA moved for a rehearing. In September 2015, the Florida Supreme Court denied PM USA's rehearing petition in *Hess*. In February 2016, the Florida Supreme Court upheld the trial court's decision in favor of plaintiff and, in March 2016, clarified that its February 2016 order reinstated the trial court's decision on the statute of repose only. In August 2016, the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal reinstated the jury's punitive damages verdict and reaffirmed that the compensatory damages award was excessive, remanding the case to the trial court to reduce the compensatory damages. In May 2017, the trial court ruled that the 2010 jury award of \$15.1 million in compensatory damages was excessive and reduced the award to \$225,000. In June 2017, plaintiff requested a new trial on compensatory damages.

Engle Cases Concluded Within Past 12 Months

Plaintiff: *Graham*

Date: May 2013

Verdict:

A jury in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Florida returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds. The jury awarded \$2.75 million in compensatory damages and allocated 10% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of \$275,000).

Post-Trial Developments:

In June 2013, defendants filed several post-trial motions, including motions for judgment as a matter of law and for a new trial, which the trial court denied in September 2013. The trial court entered final judgment with a deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. In October 2013, defendants filed a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit arguing that *Engle* progeny plaintiffs' product liability claims are impliedly preempted by federal law, and PM USA posted a bond in the amount of \$277,750. In April 2015, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit found in favor of defendants on the basis of federal preemption, reversed the trial court's denial of judgment as a matter of law, and plaintiff filed a petition for rehearing *en banc* or panel rehearing. In January 2016, the Eleventh Circuit granted a rehearing *en banc* on both the preemption and due process issues. In May 2017, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit affirmed the final judgment entered in plaintiff's favor, rejecting defendants' preemption and due process arguments. In the second quarter of 2017, PM USA recorded a provision on its condensed consolidated balance sheet of approximately \$500,000 for the judgment plus interest and associated costs. In September 2017, defendants filed a petition for *writ of certiorari* with the United States Supreme Court on due process and federal preemption grounds, which the court denied in January 2018. PM USA paid the judgment plus interest and associated costs in the amount of approximately \$1 million in January 2018.

Plaintiff: *Naugle*

Date: November 2009

Verdict:

A Broward County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA. The jury awarded approximately \$56.6 million in compensatory damages and \$244 million in punitive damages. The jury allocated 90% of the fault to PM USA.

Post-Trial Developments:

In March 2010, the trial court entered final judgment reflecting a reduced award of approximately \$13 million in compensatory damages and \$26 million in punitive damages, but without any deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. In April 2010, PM USA filed its notice of appeal and posted a \$5 million bond. In June 2012, the Fourth District Court of Appeal affirmed the final judgment (as amended to correct a clerical error) in the amount of approximately \$12.3 million in compensatory damages and approximately \$24.5 million in punitive damages. In December 2012, the Fourth District withdrew its prior decision, reversed the verdict as to compensatory and punitive damages and returned the case to the trial court for a new trial on the question of damages. Upon retrial, in October 2013, the new jury awarded approximately \$3.7 million in compensatory damages and \$7.5 million in punitive damages. PM USA filed post-trial motions, which the trial court denied in April 2014. In May 2014, PM USA filed a notice of appeal to the Fourth District Court of Appeal and plaintiff cross-appealed. Also in May 2014, PM USA filed a rider with the Florida Supreme Court to make the previously-posted *Naugle* bond applicable to the retrial judgment. In January 2016, the Fourth District Court of Appeal reversed the trial court's decision and remanded the case to the trial court to conduct a juror interview. In April 2016, PM USA moved for a new trial following the juror interview, which the court denied. In May 2016, PM USA filed a notice of appeal to the Fourth District Court of Appeal. In April 2017, the Fourth District Court of Appeal issued a *per curiam* decision affirming the trial court's judgment against PM USA. In the second quarter of 2017, PM USA recorded a provision on its condensed consolidated balance sheet of approximately \$13.2 million for the judgment plus interest and associated costs, and increased its bond by \$6.2 million. In September 2017, PM USA filed a petition for *writ of certiorari* with the United States Supreme Court on due process and federal preemption grounds, which PM USA dismissed after the court denied PM USA's petition in *Graham*. PM USA paid the judgment plus interest and associated costs in the amount of approximately \$13.5 million in January 2018.

Plaintiff: *Lourie*

Date: October 2014

Verdict:

A Hillsborough County jury returned a verdict against PM USA, R.J. Reynolds and Lorillard awarding plaintiff approximately \$1.37 million in compensatory damages and allocating 27% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of approximately \$370,000).

Post-Trial Developments:

In October 2014, defendants filed a motion for judgment and a motion for a new trial. In November 2014, the trial court denied defendants' post-trial motions and entered final judgment with a deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. Later in November 2014, defendants filed a notice of appeal to the Florida Second District Court of Appeal, and PM USA posted a bond in the amount of \$370,318. In August 2016, the Florida Second District Court of Appeal affirmed the judgment entered in favor of the plaintiff. In September 2016, defendants filed a petition to invoke the discretionary jurisdiction of the Florida Supreme Court and the Florida Supreme Court stayed the proceedings pending final disposition in the *Marotta* case, discussed below under *Engle Progeny Appellate Issues*. In June 2017, the Florida Supreme Court denied PM USA's petition to invoke the court's discretionary jurisdiction. In the second quarter of 2017, PM USA recorded a provision on its condensed consolidated balance sheet of approximately \$2.3 million for the judgment plus interest and associated costs. In September 2017, defendants filed a petition for *writ of certiorari* with the United States Supreme Court on due process and federal preemption grounds, which PM USA dismissed after the court denied PM USA's petition in *Graham*. PM USA paid the judgment plus interest and associated costs in the amount of approximately \$2.5 million in January 2018.

Plaintiff: *Marchese*

Date: October 2015

Verdict:

A Broward County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds awarding \$1 million in compensatory damages and allocating 22.5% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of \$225,000). The jury also awarded plaintiff \$250,000 in punitive damages against each defendant.

Post-Trial Developments:

In October 2015, defendants filed various post-trial motions, including motions to set aside the verdict and for a new trial. In November 2015, the court entered final judgment in favor of plaintiff. In May 2016, the court denied defendants' post-trial motions and amended the final judgment to apply the comparative fault deduction. In June 2016, defendants filed a notice of appeal to the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal and plaintiff cross-appealed. Also in June 2016, PM USA posted a bond in the amount of approximately \$475,000. In November 2017, the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal rejected defendants' appeal, granted plaintiff's cross-appeal finding that the trial court erred in applying the comparative fault deduction and remanded the case to the trial court with directions to enter an amended final judgment. In the fourth quarter of 2017, PM USA recorded a provision of approximately \$1 million on its consolidated balance sheet for the judgment plus interest and paid this amount in January 2018.

Plaintiff: *Merino*

Date: July 2015

Verdict:

A Miami-Dade County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA awarding \$8 million in compensatory damages and allocating 70% of the fault to PM USA. The jury also awarded \$6.5 million in punitive damages.

Post-Trial Developments:

In August 2015, the trial court denied all post-trial motions, including motions to set aside the verdict and for a new trial, and entered final judgment without any deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. In September 2015, PM USA filed a notice of appeal to the Florida Third District Court of Appeal and posted a bond in the amount of \$5 million. In November 2016, the Florida Third District Court of Appeal issued a *per curiam* decision affirming the trial court's judgment against PM USA. PM USA subsequently filed a motion seeking a written opinion, which the court denied in December 2016. In the fourth quarter of 2016, PM USA recorded a provision on its consolidated balance sheet of \$16.9 million for the judgment plus interest and associated costs and increased the bond to \$14.5 million. In April 2017, PM USA paid the judgment plus interest and associated costs in the amount of approximately \$17.4 million.

Plaintiff: *Varner*
Date: July 2016

Verdict:

A Broward County jury returned a verdict in favor of plaintiff and against PM USA awarding compensatory damages of \$1.5 million and allocating 25% of the fault to PM USA (an amount of \$375,000).

Post-Trial Developments:

In July 2016, the trial court entered final judgment in favor of plaintiff with a deduction for plaintiff's comparative fault. In August 2016, PM USA filed motions to set aside the verdict and for a directed verdict, and plaintiff filed a motion for a new trial. In January 2017, the trial court denied all post-trial motions. In February 2017, PM USA paid the judgment plus interest and associated costs in the amount of approximately \$600,000.

▪ **Engle Progeny Appellate Issues:** In *Douglas*, an *Engle* progeny case against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds, in March 2012, the Florida Second District Court of Appeal issued a decision affirming the judgment of the trial court in favor of the plaintiff and upholding the use of the *Engle* jury findings with respect to strict liability claims but certified to the Florida Supreme Court the question of whether granting *res judicata* effect to the *Engle* jury findings violates defendants' federal due process rights. In March 2013, the Florida Supreme Court affirmed the final judgment entered in favor of plaintiff upholding the use of the *Engle* jury findings with respect to strict liability and negligence claims. PM USA's subsequent petition for *writ of certiorari* with the United States Supreme Court was unsuccessful.

In *Graham*, an *Engle* progeny case against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds, in April 2015, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit found in favor of defendants on the basis of federal preemption, reversing the trial court's denial of judgment as a matter of law. Thereafter, plaintiff filed a petition for rehearing *en banc*, which the Eleventh Circuit granted in January 2016. In May 2017, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit rejected defendants' preemption and due process arguments and affirmed the final judgment entered in plaintiff's favor. In September 2017, defendants filed a petition for *writ of certiorari* with the United States Supreme Court on due process and federal preemption grounds, which the court denied in January 2018. In January 2016, in *Marotta*, a case against R.J. Reynolds on appeal to the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal, the court rejected R.J. Reynolds's federal preemption defense, but noted the conflict with *Graham* and certified the preemption question to the Florida Supreme Court. In March 2016, the Florida Supreme Court accepted review of *Marotta* and in April 2017, affirmed the Fourth District Court of Appeal's ruling on preemption.

In *Searcy*, an *Engle* progeny case against PM USA and R.J. Reynolds on appeal to the Eleventh Circuit, defendants argued that application of the *Engle* findings to the *Engle* progeny plaintiffs' concealment and conspiracy claims violated defendants' due process rights. The appeal is pending. In January 2018, the Eleventh Circuit ordered supplemental briefing on the due process issues.

In *Soffer*, an *Engle* progeny case against R.J. Reynolds, the Florida First District Court of Appeal held that *Engle* progeny plaintiffs can recover punitive damages only on their intentional tort claims. The Florida Supreme Court accepted jurisdiction over plaintiff's appeal from the Florida First District Court of Appeal's decision and, in March 2016, held that *Engle* progeny plaintiffs can recover punitive damages in connection with all of their claims. Plaintiffs now generally seek punitive damages in connection with all of their claims in *Engle* progeny cases.

In *Schoeff*, an *Engle* progeny case against R.J. Reynolds, the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal held that comparative fault findings should apply to reduce all compensatory damage awards, including awards based on intentional fraud claims. The Florida Supreme Court accepted jurisdiction over plaintiff's appeal of the Florida Fourth District Court of Appeal's decision. In December 2017, the Florida Supreme Court reversed the Court of Appeal's decision, finding that comparative fault does not reduce compensatory damages awards for intentional torts.

▪ **Florida Bond Statute:** In June 2009, Florida amended its existing bond cap statute by adding a \$200 million bond cap that applies to all state *Engle* progeny lawsuits in the aggregate and establishes individual bond caps for individual *Engle* progeny cases in amounts that vary depending on the number of judgments in effect at a given time. Plaintiffs in three state *Engle* progeny cases against R.J. Reynolds in Alachua County, Florida (*Alexander*, *Townsend* and *Hall*) and one case in Escambia County (*Clay*) challenged the constitutionality of the bond cap statute. The Florida Attorney General intervened in these cases in defense of the constitutionality of the statute.

Trial court rulings were rendered in *Clay*, *Alexander*, *Townsend* and *Hall* rejecting the plaintiffs' bond cap statute challenges in those cases. The plaintiffs unsuccessfully appealed these rulings. In *Alexander*, *Clay* and *Hall*, the District Court of Appeal for the First District of Florida affirmed the trial court decisions and certified the decision in *Hall* for appeal to the Florida Supreme Court, but declined to certify the question of the constitutionality of the bond cap statute in *Clay* and *Alexander*. The Florida Supreme Court granted review of the *Hall* decision, but, in September 2012, the court dismissed the appeal as moot. In October 2012, the Florida Supreme Court denied the plaintiffs'

rehearing petition. In August 2013, in *Calloway*, discussed further above, plaintiff filed a motion in the trial court to determine the sufficiency of the bond posted by defendants on the ground that the bond cap statute is unconstitutional, which was denied.

In February 2016, in the *Sikes* case against R.J. Reynolds, the trial court held that Florida's bond cap statute does not stay the execution of judgment after a case is final in the Florida judicial system and before the defendant files a petition for *writ of certiorari* with the United States Supreme Court. The District Court of Appeal for the First District of Florida issued an order staying execution of the judgment and requesting that plaintiff show cause why the stay should not remain in effect through the completion of United States Supreme Court *writ of certiorari* review or until the time for moving for such review has expired. In April 2016, the District Court of Appeal held that the bond cap applies to the period between a Florida Supreme Court ruling and completion of United States Supreme Court *writ of certiorari* review. In April 2016, PM USA filed motions in the trial court in the *R. Cohen* and *Kayton* cases seeking confirmation that the stay on executing the judgment remains in effect through the completion of United States Supreme Court *writ of certiorari* review or until the time for moving for such review has expired, which the court granted.

No federal court has yet addressed the constitutionality of the bond cap statute or the applicability of the bond cap to *Engle* progeny cases tried in federal court.

The Florida legislature is considering legislation that would repeal the 2009 appeal bond cap statute.

Other Smoking and Health Class Actions

Since the dismissal in May 1996 of a purported nationwide class action brought on behalf of allegedly addicted smokers, plaintiffs have filed numerous putative smoking and health class action suits in various state and federal courts. In general, these cases purport to be brought on behalf of residents of a particular state or states (although a few cases purport to be nationwide in scope) and raise addiction claims and, in many cases, claims of physical injury as well.

Class certification has been denied or reversed by courts in 61 smoking and health class actions involving PM USA in Arkansas (1), California (1), Delaware (1), the District of Columbia (2), Florida (2), Illinois (3), Iowa (1), Kansas (1), Louisiana (1), Maryland (1), Michigan (1), Minnesota (1), Nevada (29), New Jersey (6), New York (2), Ohio (1), Oklahoma (1), Oregon (1), Pennsylvania (1), Puerto Rico (1), South Carolina (1), Texas (1) and Wisconsin (1).

As of January 29, 2018, PM USA and Altria Group, Inc. are named as defendants, along with other cigarette manufacturers, in seven class actions filed in the Canadian provinces of Alberta, Manitoba, Nova Scotia, Saskatchewan, British Columbia and Ontario. In Saskatchewan, British Columbia (two separate cases) and Ontario, plaintiffs seek class certification on behalf of individuals who suffer or have suffered from various diseases, including chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, emphysema,

heart disease or cancer, after smoking defendants' cigarettes. In the actions filed in Alberta, Manitoba and Nova Scotia, plaintiffs seek certification of classes of all individuals who smoked defendants' cigarettes. See *Guarantees and Other Similar Matters* below for a discussion of the Distribution Agreement between Altria Group, Inc. and PMI that provides for indemnities for certain liabilities concerning tobacco products.

Health Care Cost Recovery Litigation

- **Overview:** In the health care cost recovery litigation, governmental entities seek reimbursement of health care cost expenditures allegedly caused by tobacco products and, in some cases, of future expenditures and damages. Relief sought by some but not all plaintiffs includes punitive damages, multiple damages and other statutory damages and penalties, injunctions prohibiting alleged marketing and sales to minors, disclosure of research, disgorgement of profits, funding of anti-smoking programs, additional disclosure of nicotine yields, and payment of attorney and expert witness fees.

Although there have been some decisions to the contrary, most judicial decisions in the United States have dismissed all or most health care cost recovery claims against cigarette manufacturers. Nine federal circuit courts of appeals and eight state appellate courts, relying primarily on grounds that plaintiffs' claims were too remote, have ordered or affirmed dismissals of health care cost recovery actions. The United States Supreme Court has refused to consider plaintiffs' appeals from the cases decided by five circuit courts of appeals.

In addition to the cases brought in the United States, health care cost recovery actions have also been brought against tobacco industry participants, including PM USA and Altria Group, Inc., in Israel (dismissed), the Marshall Islands (dismissed) and Canada (10 cases), and other entities have stated that they are considering filing such actions.

In September 2005, in the first of several health care cost recovery cases filed in Canada, the Canadian Supreme Court ruled that legislation passed in British Columbia permitting the lawsuit is constitutional, and, as a result, the case, which had previously been dismissed by the trial court, was permitted to proceed. PM USA's and other defendants' challenge to the British Columbia court's exercise of jurisdiction was rejected by the Court of Appeals of British Columbia and, in April 2007, the Supreme Court of Canada denied review of that decision.

Since the beginning of 2008, the Canadian Provinces of British Columbia, New Brunswick, Ontario, Newfoundland and Labrador, Quebec, Alberta, Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Prince Edward Island and Nova Scotia have brought health care reimbursement claims against cigarette manufacturers. PM USA is named as a defendant in the British Columbia and Quebec cases, while both Altria Group, Inc. and PM USA are named as defendants in the New Brunswick, Ontario, Newfoundland and Labrador, Alberta, Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Prince Edward Island and Nova Scotia cases. The Nunavut Territory and Northwest Territory have passed similar legislation. See *Guarantees and Other Similar Matters* below for a discussion of

the Distribution Agreement between Altria Group, Inc. and PMI that provides for indemnities for certain liabilities concerning tobacco products.

▪ **Settlements of Health Care Cost Recovery Litigation:** In November 1998, PM USA and certain other tobacco product manufacturers entered into the 1998 Master Settlement Agreement (the “MSA”) with 46 states, the District of Columbia and certain U.S. territories to settle asserted and unasserted health care cost recovery and other claims. PM USA and certain other tobacco product manufacturers had previously entered into agreements to settle similar claims brought by Mississippi, Florida, Texas and Minnesota (together with the MSA, the “State Settlement Agreements”). The State Settlement Agreements require that the original participating manufacturers or “OPMs” (now PM USA and R.J. Reynolds and, with respect to certain brands, ITG Brands, LLC (“ITG”)) make annual payments of approximately \$9.4 billion, subject to adjustments for several factors, including inflation, market share and industry volume. In addition, the OPMs are required to pay settling plaintiffs’ attorneys’ fees, subject to an annual cap of \$500 million. For the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, the aggregate amount recorded in cost of sales with respect to the State Settlement Agreements was approximately \$4.5 billion, \$4.6 billion and \$4.5 billion, respectively. These amounts include PM USA’s estimate of amounts related to NPM Adjustments discussed below.

The State Settlement Agreements also include advertising and marketing restrictions, require public disclosure of certain industry documents, limit challenges to certain tobacco control and underage use laws, and restrict lobbying activities.

▪ **NPM Adjustment Disputes:** The MSA provides for potential downward adjustments to MSA payments (the “NPM Adjustment”) made by the OPMs and those manufacturers that are subsequent signatories to the MSA (collectively, the “participating manufacturers” or “PMs”). PM USA is participating in proceedings regarding the NPM Adjustment for 2003-2016. The NPM Adjustment is a reduction in MSA payments that applies if the PMs collectively lose at least a specified level of market share to non-participating manufacturers since 1997, subject to certain conditions and defenses. The independent auditor (the “IA”) appointed under the MSA calculates the maximum amount of the NPM Adjustment, if any, for each year.

2003-2015 NPM Adjustment Disputes - Settlement with 26 States and Territories and Settlement with New York. PM USA has entered into two settlements of NPM Adjustment disputes with a total of 27 states and territories. The first settlement was originally entered into in 2012 with 19 states and territories and has been subsequently expanded to include a total of 26 of the 52 MSA states and territories (the “signatory states”). In the first settlement, PM USA settled the NPM Adjustment disputes for 2003-2015 with these 26 states in exchange for a total of \$740 million. In the second settlement, related specifically to New

York, which was entered into in 2015, PM USA received approximately \$170 million for 2004-2015. Both settlements also resolved certain disputes regarding the application of the NPM Adjustment going forward.

2003 and Subsequent NPM Adjustment Disputes - Continuing Disputes with States that have not Settled.

▪ **2003 NPM Adjustment.** In September 2013, an arbitration panel issued rulings regarding the 15 states and territories that remained in the arbitration, ruling that six of them did not establish valid defenses to the NPM Adjustment for 2003. Two of these states later joined the first settlement discussed above. With respect to the remaining four states, following the outcome of challenges in state courts, PM USA ultimately recorded \$74 million primarily as a reduction to cost of sales. Two potential disputes remain outstanding regarding the amount of interest and there is no assurance that PM USA will prevail in either of these disputes.

▪ **2004 and Subsequent NPM Adjustments.** PM USA has continued to pursue the NPM Adjustments for 2004 and subsequent years in multi-state arbitrations against the states that did not join either of the settlements discussed above. New Mexico is currently appealing a trial court ruling that the state must participate in the multi-state arbitration for 2004. The Montana state courts ruled that Montana may litigate its claims in state court, rather than participate in arbitration.

The 2004 multi-state arbitration is currently pending with all of the states that have not settled other than Montana and New Mexico. Decisions are not expected until late 2018 at the earliest.

No assurance can be given as to when proceedings for 2005 and subsequent years will be scheduled or the precise form those proceedings will take.

The IA has calculated that PM USA’s share of the maximum potential NPM Adjustments for 2004-2016 is (exclusive of interest or earnings): \$388 million for 2004; \$181 million for 2005; \$154 million for 2006; \$185 million for 2007; \$250 million for 2008; \$211 million for 2009; \$218 million for 2010; \$166 million for 2011; \$214 million for 2012; \$223 million for 2013; \$246 million for 2014; \$292 million for 2015 and \$296 million for 2016. These maximum amounts will be reduced, likely substantially, to reflect the settlements with the signatory states and New York, and potentially for current and future calculation disputes and other developments. Finally, PM USA’s recovery of these amounts, even as reduced, is dependent upon subsequent determinations regarding state-specific defenses.

▪ **Other Disputes Under the State Settlement Agreements:** The payment obligations of the tobacco product manufacturers that are parties to the State Settlement Agreements, as well as the allocations of any NPM Adjustments and related settlements, have been and may continue to be affected by R.J. Reynolds’ acquisition of Lorillard and its related sale of certain cigarette brands to ITG (the “ITG brands”). In particular, R.J. Reynolds and ITG have asserted that they do not have to make payments on

the ITG brands under the Florida, Minnesota and Texas State Settlement Agreements or include the ITG brands for purposes of certain calculations under the State Settlement Agreements. PM USA believes that R.J. Reynolds' and ITG's position violates the State Settlement Agreements and applicable law. PM USA further believes that these actions: (i) improperly increased PM USA's payments for 2015 and 2016 by at least \$84 million; (ii) may improperly increase PM USA's payments for subsequent years; (iii) may improperly decrease PM USA's share of the 2015 and 2016 NPM Adjustments and the settlements of related disputes; and (iv) may improperly decrease PM USA's share of NPM Adjustments and related settlements for subsequent years.

PM USA and the State of Florida each filed a motion in Florida state court against R.J. Reynolds and ITG seeking to enforce the Florida State Settlement Agreement. In December 2017, the Florida trial court ruled that R.J. Reynolds (and not ITG) must make settlement payments under the Florida State Settlement Agreement on the ITG brands.

▪ **Federal Government's Lawsuit:** In 1999, the United States government filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia against various cigarette manufacturers, including PM USA, and others, including Altria Group, Inc., asserting claims under three federal statutes, namely the Medical Care Recovery Act ("MCRA"), the MSP provisions of the Social Security Act and the civil provisions of RICO. The case ultimately proceeded only under the civil provisions of RICO, and the trial ended in June 2005. In August 2006, the district court entered judgment in favor of the government. The court held that certain defendants, including Altria Group, Inc. and PM USA, violated RICO and engaged in seven of the eight "sub-schemes" to defraud that the government had alleged. Specifically, the court found that:

- defendants falsely denied, distorted and minimized the significant adverse health consequences of smoking;
- defendants hid from the public that cigarette smoking and nicotine are addictive;
- defendants falsely denied that they control the level of nicotine delivered to create and sustain addiction;
- defendants falsely marketed and promoted "low tar/light" cigarettes as less harmful than full-flavor cigarettes;
- defendants falsely denied that they intentionally marketed to youth;
- defendants publicly and falsely denied that ETS is hazardous to non-smokers; and
- defendants suppressed scientific research.

The court did not impose monetary penalties on defendants, but ordered the following relief: (i) an injunction against "committing any act of racketeering" relating to the manufacturing, marketing, promotion, health consequences or sale of cigarettes in the United States; (ii) an injunction against participating directly or indirectly in the management or control of the Council for Tobacco Research, the Tobacco Institute, or the Center for Indoor Air Research, or any successor or affiliated entities of each; (iii) an injunction against "making, or causing to be made in any way, any material false, misleading, or deceptive statement or representation or engaging in any public relations or marketing endeavor that is disseminated to the United States public and that misrepresents or suppresses information concerning cigarettes"; (iv) an injunction against conveying any express or implied health message or health descriptors on cigarette packaging or in cigarette advertising or promotional material, including "lights," "ultra lights" and "low tar," which the court found could cause consumers to believe one cigarette brand is less hazardous than another brand; (v) the issuance of "corrective statements" in various media regarding the adverse health effects of smoking, the addictiveness of smoking and nicotine, the lack of any significant health benefit from smoking "low tar" or "light" cigarettes, defendants' manipulation of cigarette design to ensure optimum nicotine delivery and the adverse health effects of exposure to ETS; (vi) the disclosure on defendants' public document websites and in the Minnesota document repository of all documents produced to the government in the lawsuit or produced in any future court or administrative action concerning smoking and health until 2021, with certain additional requirements as to documents withheld from production under a claim of privilege or confidentiality; (vii) the disclosure of disaggregated marketing data to the government in the same form and on the same schedule as defendants now follow in disclosing such data to the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") for a period of 10 years; (viii) certain restrictions on the sale or transfer by defendants of any cigarette brands, brand names, formulas or cigarette businesses within the United States; and (ix) payment of the government's costs in bringing the action.

Defendants appealed and, in May 2009, a three judge panel of the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit ("D.C. Court of Appeals") largely affirmed the trial court's remedial order, but vacated the following aspects of the order:

- its application to defendants' subsidiaries;
- the prohibition on the use of express or implied health messages or health descriptors, but only to the extent of extraterritorial application;
- its point-of-sale display provisions; and
- its application to Brown & Williamson Holdings.

The appellate panel remanded the case for the trial court to reconsider these four aspects of the injunction and to reformulate its remedial order accordingly.

In November 2012, the district court issued its order specifying the content of the corrective communications described above and defendants appealed. In April 2014, the parties submitted a motion for entry of a consent order in the district court, setting forth their agreement on the implementation details of the corrective communications remedy, which the district court approved in June 2014. In May 2015, the D.C. Court of Appeals affirmed in part and reversed in part the appeal on the content of the corrective communications, concluding that certain portions of the statements exceeded the district court's jurisdiction under RICO, but upheld other portions challenged by defendants. The D.C. Court of Appeals remanded the case to the trial court for further proceedings.

In February 2016, the district court issued an order adopting modified corrective statements. Defendants appealed and, in April 2017, the D.C. Court of Appeals reversed in part the district court's decision on the content of the corrective communications, striking certain content and remanding to the district court the decision on how to revise certain other content. In June 2017, the district court issued an order adopting modified corrective statements. In October 2017, the court approved the parties' proposed consent order implementing the corrective communications remedy for newspapers and television. The corrective statements began appearing in newspapers and on television in the fourth quarter of 2017. In January 2018, the parties submitted a status report and a request for a status conference to address open issues regarding onsets and website implementation details. The defendants also filed a motion in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia seeking to mediate the remaining implementation details and for an order clarifying that the DOJ may not enforce the previous consent order with respect to onsets and websites prior to resolution of all implementation details.

In the second quarter of 2014, Altria Group, Inc. and PM USA recorded provisions on each of their respective balance sheets totaling \$31 million for the estimated costs of implementing the corrective communications remedy. This estimate is subject to change due to several factors, though Altria Group, Inc. and PM USA do not expect any change in this estimate to be material.

The consent order approved by the district court in June 2014 did not address the requirements related to point-of-sale signage. In May 2014, the district court ordered further briefing by the parties on the issue of corrective statements on point-of-sale signage, which was completed in June 2014.

In December 2011, the parties to the lawsuit entered into an agreement as to the issues concerning the document repository. Pursuant to this agreement, PM USA agreed to deposit an amount of approximately \$3.1 million into the district court in installments over a five-year period.

“Lights/Ultra Lights” Cases

- **Overview:** Plaintiffs have sought certification of their cases as class actions, alleging among other things, that the uses of the terms “Lights” and/or “Ultra Lights” constitute deceptive and unfair trade practices, common law or statutory fraud, unjust enrichment or breach of warranty, and have sought injunctive and equitable relief, including restitution and, in certain cases, punitive damages. These class actions have been brought against PM USA and, in certain instances, Altria Group, Inc. or its other subsidiaries, on behalf of individuals who purchased and consumed various brands of cigarettes, including *Marlboro* Lights, *Marlboro* Ultra Lights, *Virginia Slims* Lights and *Superslims*, *Merit* Lights and *Cambridge* Lights. Defenses raised in these cases include lack of misrepresentation, lack of causation, injury and damages, the statute of limitations, non-liability under state statutory provisions exempting conduct that complies with federal regulatory directives, and the First Amendment. As of January 29, 2018, a total of three such cases are pending in various U.S. state courts, none of which is active.
- **State “Lights” Cases Dismissed, Not Certified or Ordered De-Certified:** As of January 29, 2018, 21 state courts in 22 “Lights” cases have refused to certify class actions, dismissed class action allegations, reversed prior class certification decisions or have entered judgment in favor of PM USA.
- **State Trial Court Class Certifications:** State trial courts have certified classes against PM USA in several jurisdictions. Over time, all such cases have been dismissed by the courts at the summary judgment stage, were settled by the parties or were resolved in favor of PM USA, including *Larsen* discussed below.

Larsen: In August 2005, a Missouri Court of Appeals affirmed the class certification order. Trial in the case began in September 2011 and, in October 2011, the court declared a mistrial after the jury failed to reach a verdict. Upon retrial, in April 2016, the jury returned a verdict in favor of PM USA. In August 2016, plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal and PM USA cross-appealed. In November 2016, the court of appeals dismissed PM USA's cross-appeal without prejudice upon joint motion of the parties. On appeal, in November 2017, the Missouri Court of Appeals affirmed the judgment in favor of PM USA. Plaintiffs did not seek further appellate review, concluding this litigation.

Certain Other Tobacco-Related Litigation

- **Ignition Propensity Cases:** PM USA and Altria Group, Inc. are currently facing litigation alleging that a fire caused by cigarettes led to individuals' deaths. In a Kentucky case (*Walker*), the federal district court denied plaintiffs' motion to remand the case to state court and dismissed plaintiffs' claims in February 2009. Plaintiffs subsequently filed a notice of appeal. In October 2011, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit reversed the portion of the district court decision that denied remand of the case to Kentucky state court and remanded the case to Kentucky

state court. The Sixth Circuit did not address the merits of the district court's dismissal order. Defendants' petition for rehearing with the Sixth Circuit was denied in December 2011. Defendants filed a renewed motion to dismiss in state court in March 2013. Based on new evidence, in June 2013, defendants removed the case for a second time to the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Kentucky and re-filed their motion to dismiss in June 2013. In July 2013, plaintiffs filed a motion to remand the case to Kentucky state court, which was granted in March 2014. In November 2016, defendants filed renewed motions to dismiss the case, which the court granted in March 2017.

▪ **Argentine Grower Cases:** PM USA and Altria Group, Inc. were sued in six cases (*Hupan*, *Chalanuk*, *Rodriguez Da Silva*, *Aranda*, *Taborda* and *Biglia*) filed in Delaware state court against multiple defendants by the parents of Argentine children born with alleged birth defects. Plaintiffs in these cases allege that they grew tobacco in Argentina under contract with Tabacos Norte S.A., an alleged subsidiary of PMI, and that they and their infant children were exposed directly and *in utero* to Monsanto Company's ("Monsanto") *Roundup* herbicide during the production and cultivation of tobacco. Plaintiffs seek compensatory and punitive damages against all defendants. Altria Group, Inc. and certain other defendants were dismissed from the *Hupan*, *Chalanuk*, *Rodriguez Da Silva*, *Aranda*, *Taborda* and *Biglia* cases. The three remaining defendants in the six cases were PM USA, Philip Morris Global Brands Inc. (a subsidiary of PMI) and Monsanto. Following discussions regarding indemnification for these cases pursuant to the Distribution Agreement between PMI and Altria Group, Inc., PMI and PM USA agreed to resolve conflicting indemnity demands after final judgments are entered. See *Guarantees and Other Similar Matters* below for a discussion of the Distribution Agreement. In April 2014, all three defendants in the *Hupan* case filed motions to dismiss for failure to state a claim, and PM USA and Philip Morris Global Brands filed separate motions to dismiss based on the doctrine of *forum non conveniens*. All proceedings in the other five cases were stayed pending the court's resolution of the motions to dismiss filed in *Hupan*. In November 2015, the trial court granted PM USA's motion to dismiss on *forum non conveniens* grounds. Plaintiffs filed a motion for clarification or re-argument in December 2015, which the court denied in August 2016. Later in August 2016, PM USA and Philip Morris Global Brands moved for entry of final judgment in the *Hupan* case and also moved to lift the stays in the other five cases for the limited purpose of entering final judgment of dismissal in those cases as well based on the *forum non conveniens* decision in *Hupan*. The court granted those motions in September 2016, and entered final judgment of dismissal in all six cases. In October 2016, plaintiffs filed their notice of appeal to the Delaware Supreme Court. Oral argument occurred before a panel of the Delaware Supreme Court in September 2017. In January 2018, the case was re-argued before the Delaware Supreme Court *en banc*.

UST Litigation

Claims related to smokeless tobacco products generally fall within the following categories:

First, UST and/or its tobacco subsidiaries have been named in certain actions in West Virginia (See *In re: Tobacco Litigation* above) brought by or on behalf of individual plaintiffs against cigarette manufacturers, smokeless tobacco manufacturers and other organizations seeking damages and other relief in connection with injuries allegedly sustained as a result of tobacco usage, including smokeless tobacco products. Included among the plaintiffs are six individuals alleging use of USSTC's smokeless tobacco products and alleging the types of injuries claimed to be associated with the use of smokeless tobacco products. USSTC, along with other non-cigarette manufacturers, has remained severed from such proceedings since December 2001.

Second, UST and/or its tobacco subsidiaries have been named in a number of other individual tobacco and health suits over time. Plaintiffs' allegations of liability in these cases are based on various theories of recovery, such as negligence, strict liability, fraud, misrepresentation, design defect, failure to warn, breach of implied warranty, addiction and breach of consumer protection statutes. Plaintiffs seek various forms of relief, including compensatory and punitive damages, and certain equitable relief, including but not limited to disgorgement. Defenses raised in these cases include lack of causation, assumption of the risk, comparative fault and/or contributory negligence, and statutes of limitations. In July 2016, USSTC and Altria Group, Inc. were named as defendants, along with other named defendants, in one such case in California (*Gwynn*). In August 2016, defendants removed the case to federal court. In September 2016, plaintiffs filed a motion to remand the case back to state court, which the court granted in January 2017. In May 2017, the court granted plaintiffs' motion to dismiss all defendants except USSTC.

Environmental Regulation

Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (and former subsidiaries) are subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations concerning the discharge of materials into the environment, or otherwise related to environmental protection, including, in the United States: the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (commonly known as "Superfund"), which can impose joint and several liability on each responsible party. Subsidiaries (and former subsidiaries) of Altria Group, Inc. are involved in several matters subjecting them to potential costs of remediation and natural resource damages under Superfund or other laws and regulations. Altria Group, Inc.'s subsidiaries expect to continue to make capital and other expenditures in connection with environmental laws and regulations.

Altria Group, Inc. provides for expenses associated with environmental remediation obligations on an undiscounted basis

when such amounts are probable and can be reasonably estimated. Such accruals are adjusted as new information develops or circumstances change. Other than those amounts, it is not possible to reasonably estimate the cost of any environmental remediation and compliance efforts that subsidiaries of Altria Group, Inc. may undertake in the future. In the opinion of management, however, compliance with environmental laws and regulations, including the payment of any remediation costs or damages and the making of related expenditures, has not had, and is not expected to have, a material adverse effect on Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated results of operations, capital expenditures, financial position or cash flows.

Guarantees and Other Similar Matters

In the ordinary course of business, certain subsidiaries of Altria Group, Inc. have agreed to indemnify a limited number of third parties in the event of future litigation. At December 31, 2017, Altria Group, Inc. and certain of its subsidiaries (i) had \$57 million of unused letters of credit obtained in the ordinary course of business; (ii) were contingently liable for \$33 million of guarantees, consisting primarily of surety bonds, related to their own performance; and (iii) had a redeemable noncontrolling interest of \$38 million recorded on its consolidated balance sheet. In addition, from time to time, subsidiaries of Altria Group, Inc. issue lines of credit to affiliated entities. These items have not had, and are not expected to have, a significant impact on Altria Group, Inc.'s liquidity.

Under the terms of a distribution agreement between Altria Group, Inc. and PMI (the "Distribution Agreement"), entered into as a result of Altria Group, Inc.'s 2008 spin-off of its former subsidiary PMI, liabilities concerning tobacco products will be allocated based in substantial part on the manufacturer. PMI will indemnify Altria Group, Inc. and PM USA for liabilities related to tobacco products manufactured by PMI or contract manufactured for PMI by PM USA, and PM USA will indemnify PMI for liabilities related to tobacco products manufactured by PM USA, excluding tobacco products contract manufactured for PMI. Altria Group, Inc. does not have a related liability recorded on its consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2017 as the fair value of this indemnification is insignificant.

As more fully discussed in Note 19, *Condensed Consolidating Financial Information*, PM USA has issued guarantees relating to Altria Group, Inc.'s obligations under its outstanding debt securities, borrowings under the Credit Agreement and amounts outstanding under its commercial paper program.

Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest

In September 2007, Ste. Michelle completed the acquisition of Stag's Leap Wine Cellars through one of its consolidated subsidiaries, Michelle-Antinori, LLC ("Michelle-Antinori"), in which Ste. Michelle holds an 85% ownership interest with a 15% noncontrolling interest held by Antinori California ("Antinori"). In connection with the acquisition of Stag's Leap Wine Cellars,

Ste. Michelle entered into a put arrangement with Antinori. The put arrangement, as later amended, provides Antinori with the right to require Ste. Michelle to purchase its 15% ownership interest in Michelle-Antinori at a price equal to Antinori's initial investment of \$27 million. The put arrangement became exercisable in September 2010 and has no expiration date. As of December 31, 2017, the redemption value of the put arrangement did not exceed the noncontrolling interest balance. Therefore, no adjustment to the value of the redeemable noncontrolling interest was recognized on the consolidated balance sheet for the put arrangement.

The noncontrolling interest put arrangement is accounted for as mandatorily redeemable securities because redemption is outside of the control of Ste. Michelle. As such, the redeemable noncontrolling interest is reported in the mezzanine equity section on the consolidated balance sheets at December 31, 2017 and 2016.

Note 19. Condensed Consolidating Financial Information

PM USA, which is a 100% owned subsidiary of Altria Group, Inc., has guaranteed Altria Group, Inc.'s obligations under its outstanding debt securities, borrowings under its Credit Agreement and amounts outstanding under its commercial paper program (the "Guarantees"). Pursuant to the Guarantees, PM USA fully and unconditionally guarantees, as primary obligor, the payment and performance of Altria Group, Inc.'s obligations under the guaranteed debt instruments (the "Obligations"), subject to release under certain customary circumstances as noted below.

The Guarantees provide that PM USA guarantees the punctual payment when due, whether at stated maturity, by acceleration or otherwise, of the Obligations. The liability of PM USA under the Guarantees is absolute and unconditional irrespective of: any lack of validity, enforceability or genuineness of any provision of any agreement or instrument relating thereto; any change in the time, manner or place of payment of, or in any other term of, all or any of the Obligations, or any other amendment or waiver of or any consent to departure from any agreement or instrument relating thereto; any exchange, release or non-perfection of any collateral, or any release or amendment or waiver of or consent to departure from any other guarantee, for all or any of the Obligations; or any other circumstance that might otherwise constitute a defense available to, or a discharge of, Altria Group, Inc. or PM USA.

The obligations of PM USA under the Guarantees are limited to the maximum amount as will not result in PM USA's obligations under the Guarantees constituting a fraudulent transfer or conveyance, after giving effect to such maximum amount and all other contingent and fixed liabilities of PM USA that are relevant under Bankruptcy Law, the Uniform Fraudulent Conveyance Act, the Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act or any similar federal or state law to the extent applicable to the Guarantees. For this purpose, "Bankruptcy Law" means Title 11, U.S. Code, or any similar federal or state law for the relief of debtors.

PM USA will be unconditionally released and discharged from the Obligations upon the earliest to occur of:

- the date, if any, on which PM USA consolidates with or merges into Altria Group, Inc. or any successor;
- the date, if any, on which Altria Group, Inc. or any successor consolidates with or merges into PM USA;
- the payment in full of the Obligations pertaining to such Guarantees; and
- the rating of Altria Group, Inc.'s long-term senior unsecured debt by Standard & Poor's of A or higher.

At December 31, 2017, the respective principal 100% owned subsidiaries of Altria Group, Inc. and PM USA were not limited by long-term debt or other agreements in their ability to pay cash dividends or make other distributions with respect to their equity interests.

The following sets forth the condensed consolidating balance sheets as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, condensed consolidating statements of earnings and comprehensive earnings for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015, and condensed consolidating statements of cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015 for Altria Group, Inc., PM USA and, collectively, Altria Group, Inc.'s other subsidiaries that are not guarantors of Altria Group, Inc.'s debt instruments (the "Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries"). The financial information is based on Altria Group, Inc.'s understanding of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") interpretation and application of Rule 3-10 of SEC Regulation S-X.

The financial information may not necessarily be indicative of results of operations or financial position had PM USA and the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries operated as independent entities. Altria Group, Inc. and PM USA account for investments in their subsidiaries under the equity method of accounting.

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets
(in millions of dollars)

at December 31, 2017	Altria Group, Inc.	PM USA	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Total Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated
Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,203	\$ 1	\$ 49	\$ —	\$ 1,253
Receivables	1	10	131	—	142
Inventories:					
Leaf tobacco	—	579	362	—	941
Other raw materials	—	111	59	—	170
Work in process	—	5	555	—	560
Finished product	—	128	426	—	554
	—	823	1,402	—	2,225
Due from Altria Group, Inc. and subsidiaries	2	2,413	1,022	(3,437)	—
Income taxes	—	542	17	(98)	461
Other current assets	11	147	105	—	263
Total current assets	1,217	3,936	2,726	(3,535)	4,344
Property, plant and equipment, at cost	—	2,930	1,949	—	4,879
Less accumulated depreciation	—	2,086	879	—	2,965
	—	844	1,070	—	1,914
Goodwill	—	—	5,307	—	5,307
Other intangible assets, net	—	2	12,398	—	12,400
Investment in AB InBev	17,952	—	—	—	17,952
Investment in consolidated subsidiaries	13,111	2,818	—	(15,929)	—
Finance assets, net	—	—	899	—	899
Due from Altria Group, Inc. and subsidiaries	4,790	—	—	(4,790)	—
Other assets	34	671	157	(476)	386
Total Assets	\$ 37,104	\$ 8,271	\$ 22,557	\$ (24,730)	\$ 43,202

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets (Continued)
(in millions of dollars)

at December 31, 2017	Altria Group, Inc.	PM USA	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Total Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated
Liabilities					
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 864	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 864
Accounts payable	2	91	281	—	374
Accrued liabilities:					
Marketing	—	578	117	—	695
Employment costs	21	14	153	—	188
Settlement charges	—	2,437	5	—	2,442
Other	389	433	247	(98)	971
Dividends payable	1,258	—	—	—	1,258
Due to Altria Group, Inc. and subsidiaries	3,040	317	80	(3,437)	—
Total current liabilities	5,574	3,870	883	(3,535)	6,792
Long-term debt	13,030	—	—	—	13,030
Deferred income taxes	2,809	—	2,914	(476)	5,247
Accrued pension costs	206	—	239	—	445
Accrued postretirement health care costs	—	1,214	773	—	1,987
Due to Altria Group, Inc. and subsidiaries	—	—	4,790	(4,790)	—
Other liabilities	108	49	126	—	283
Total liabilities	21,727	5,133	9,725	(8,801)	27,784
Contingencies					
Redeemable noncontrolling interest	—	—	38	—	38
Stockholders' Equity					
Common stock	935	—	9	(9)	935
Additional paid-in capital	5,952	3,310	12,045	(15,355)	5,952
Earnings reinvested in the business	42,251	96	2,243	(2,339)	42,251
Accumulated other comprehensive losses	(1,897)	(268)	(1,506)	1,774	(1,897)
Cost of repurchased stock	(31,864)	—	—	—	(31,864)
Total stockholders' equity attributable to Altria Group, Inc.	15,377	3,138	12,791	(15,929)	15,377
Noncontrolling interests	—	—	3	—	3
Total stockholders' equity	15,377	3,138	12,794	(15,929)	15,380
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 37,104	\$ 8,271	\$ 22,557	\$ (24,730)	\$ 43,202

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets
(in millions of dollars)

at December 31, 2016	Altria Group, Inc.	PM USA	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Total Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated
Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,521	\$ 1	\$ 47	\$ —	\$ 4,569
Receivables	—	8	143	—	151
Inventories:					
Leaf tobacco	—	541	351	—	892
Other raw materials	—	111	53	—	164
Work in process	—	3	509	—	512
Finished product	—	112	371	—	483
	—	767	1,284	—	2,051
Due from Altria Group, Inc. and subsidiaries	—	3,797	1,511	(5,308)	—
Income taxes	167	10	92	—	269
Other current assets	3	108	109	—	220
Total current assets	4,691	4,691	3,186	(5,308)	7,260
Property, plant and equipment, at cost	—	2,971	1,864	—	4,835
Less accumulated depreciation	—	2,073	804	—	2,877
	—	898	1,060	—	1,958
Goodwill	—	—	5,285	—	5,285
Other intangible assets, net	—	2	12,034	—	12,036
Investment in AB InBev	17,852	—	—	—	17,852
Investment in consolidated subsidiaries	11,636	2,632	—	(14,268)	—
Finance assets, net	—	—	1,028	—	1,028
Due from Altria Group, Inc. and subsidiaries	4,790	—	—	(4,790)	—
Other assets	18	1,748	131	(1,384)	513
Total Assets	\$ 38,987	\$ 9,971	\$ 22,724	\$ (25,750)	\$ 45,932

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets (Continued)
(in millions of dollars)

at December 31, 2016	Altria Group, Inc.	PM USA	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Total Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$ 1	\$ 92	\$ 332	\$ —	\$ 425
Accrued liabilities:					
Marketing	—	619	128	—	747
Employment costs	104	14	171	—	289
Settlement charges	—	3,696	5	—	3,701
Other	261	438	326	—	1,025
Dividends payable	1,188	—	—	—	1,188
Due to Altria Group, Inc. and subsidiaries	5,030	237	41	(5,308)	—
Total current liabilities	6,584	5,096	1,003	(5,308)	7,375
Long-term debt	13,881	—	—	—	13,881
Deferred income taxes	5,424	—	4,376	(1,384)	8,416
Accrued pension costs	207	—	598	—	805
Accrued postretirement health care costs	—	1,453	764	—	2,217
Due to Altria Group, Inc. and subsidiaries	—	—	4,790	(4,790)	—
Other liabilities	121	146	160	—	427
Total liabilities	26,217	6,695	11,691	(11,482)	33,121
Contingencies					
Redeemable noncontrolling interest	—	—	38	—	38
Stockholders' Equity					
Common stock	935	—	9	(9)	935
Additional paid-in capital	5,893	3,310	11,585	(14,895)	5,893
Earnings reinvested in the business	36,906	237	1,118	(1,355)	36,906
Accumulated other comprehensive losses	(2,052)	(271)	(1,720)	1,991	(2,052)
Cost of repurchased stock	(28,912)	—	—	—	(28,912)
Total stockholders' equity attributable to Altria Group, Inc.	12,770	3,276	10,992	(14,268)	12,770
Noncontrolling interests	—	—	3	—	3
Total stockholders' equity	12,770	3,276	10,995	(14,268)	12,773
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 38,987	\$ 9,971	\$ 22,724	\$ (25,750)	\$ 45,932

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Earnings and Comprehensive Earnings
(in millions of dollars)

for the year ended December 31, 2017	Altria Group, Inc.	PM USA	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Total Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated
Net revenues	\$ —	\$ 21,826	\$ 3,787	\$ (37)	\$ 25,576
Cost of sales	—	6,414	1,166	(37)	7,543
Excise taxes on products	—	5,864	218	—	6,082
Gross profit	—	9,548	2,403	—	11,951
Marketing, administration and research costs	173	1,710	479	—	2,362
Asset impairment and exit costs	—	1	32	—	33
Operating (expense) income	(173)	7,837	1,892	—	9,556
Interest and other debt expense (income), net	510	(20)	215	—	705
Earnings from equity investment in AB InBev	(532)	—	—	—	(532)
Gain on AB InBev/SABMiller business combination	(445)	—	—	—	(445)
Earnings before income taxes and equity earnings of subsidiaries	294	7,857	1,677	—	9,828
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	(2,624)	3,127	(902)	—	(399)
Equity earnings of subsidiaries	7,304	558	—	(7,862)	—
Net earnings	10,222	5,288	2,579	(7,862)	10,227
Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	(5)	—	(5)
Net earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc.	\$ 10,222	\$ 5,288	\$ 2,574	\$ (7,862)	\$ 10,222
<hr/>					
Net earnings	\$ 10,222	\$ 5,288	\$ 2,579	\$ (7,862)	\$ 10,227
Other comprehensive earnings, net of deferred income taxes	155	3	214	(217)	155
Comprehensive earnings	10,377	5,291	2,793	(8,079)	10,382
Comprehensive earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	(5)	—	(5)
Comprehensive earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc.	\$ 10,377	\$ 5,291	\$ 2,788	\$ (8,079)	\$ 10,377

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Earnings and Comprehensive Earnings
(in millions of dollars)

for the year ended December 31, 2016	Altria Group, Inc.	PM USA	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Total Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated
Net revenues	\$ —	\$ 22,146	\$ 3,633	\$ (35)	\$ 25,744
Cost of sales	—	6,628	1,153	(35)	7,746
Excise taxes on products	—	6,187	220	—	6,407
Gross profit	—	9,331	2,260	—	11,591
Marketing, administration and research costs	165	1,996	489	—	2,650
Asset impairment and exit costs	5	97	77	—	179
Operating (expense) income	(170)	7,238	1,694	—	8,762
Interest and other debt expense, net	519	10	218	—	747
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	823	—	—	—	823
Earnings from equity investment in SABMiller	(795)	—	—	—	(795)
Gain on AB InBev/SABMiller business combination	(13,865)	—	—	—	(13,865)
Earnings before income taxes and equity earnings of subsidiaries	13,148	7,228	1,476	—	21,852
Provision for income taxes	4,453	2,631	524	—	7,608
Equity earnings of subsidiaries	5,544	268	—	(5,812)	—
Net earnings	14,239	4,865	952	(5,812)	14,244
Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	(5)	—	(5)
Net earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc.	\$ 14,239	\$ 4,865	\$ 947	\$ (5,812)	\$ 14,239
Net earnings	\$ 14,239	\$ 4,865	\$ 952	\$ (5,812)	\$ 14,244
Other comprehensive earnings (losses), net of deferred income taxes	1,228	(16)	(28)	44	1,228
Comprehensive earnings	15,467	4,849	924	(5,768)	15,472
Comprehensive earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	(5)	—	(5)
Comprehensive earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc.	\$ 15,467	\$ 4,849	\$ 919	\$ (5,768)	\$ 15,467

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Earnings and Comprehensive Earnings
(in millions of dollars)

for the year ended December 31, 2015	Altria Group, Inc.	PM USA	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Total Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated
Net revenues	\$ —	\$ 22,133	\$ 3,342	\$ (41)	\$ 25,434
Cost of sales	—	6,664	1,117	(41)	7,740
Excise taxes on products	—	6,369	211	—	6,580
Gross profit	—	9,100	2,014	—	11,114
Marketing, administration and research costs	189	2,094	425	—	2,708
Reduction of PMI tax-related receivable	41	—	—	—	41
Asset impairment and exit costs	—	—	4	—	4
Operating (expense) income	(230)	7,006	1,585	—	8,361
Interest and other debt expense, net	560	33	224	—	817
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	228	—	—	—	228
Earnings from equity investment in SABMiller	(757)	—	—	—	(757)
Gain on AB InBev/SABMiller business combination	(5)	—	—	—	(5)
(Loss) earnings before income taxes and equity earnings of subsidiaries	(256)	6,973	1,361	—	8,078
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	(184)	2,536	483	—	2,835
Equity earnings of subsidiaries	5,313	268	—	(5,581)	—
Net earnings	5,241	4,705	878	(5,581)	5,243
Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	(2)	—	(2)
Net earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc.	\$ 5,241	\$ 4,705	\$ 876	\$ (5,581)	\$ 5,241
Net earnings	\$ 5,241	\$ 4,705	\$ 878	\$ (5,581)	\$ 5,243
Other comprehensive (losses) earnings, net of deferred income taxes	(598)	86	(69)	(17)	(598)
Comprehensive earnings	4,643	4,791	809	(5,598)	4,645
Comprehensive earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	—	(2)	—	(2)
Comprehensive earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc.	\$ 4,643	\$ 4,791	\$ 807	\$ (5,598)	\$ 4,643

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows
(in millions of dollars)

for the year ended December 31, 2017	Altria Group, Inc.	PM USA	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Total Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated
Cash Provided by Operating Activities					
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 6,910	\$ 4,049	\$ 841	\$ (6,878)	\$ 4,922
Cash Provided by (Used in) Investing Activities					
Capital expenditures	—	(34)	(165)	—	(199)
Acquisitions of businesses and assets	—	—	(415)	—	(415)
Proceeds from finance assets	—	—	133	—	133
Payment for derivative financial instruments	(5)	—	—	—	(5)
Other	—	4	15	—	19
Net cash used in investing activities	(5)	(30)	(432)	—	(467)
Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities					
Repurchases of common stock	(2,917)	—	—	—	(2,917)
Dividends paid on common stock	(4,807)	—	—	—	(4,807)
Changes in amounts due to/from Altria Group, Inc. and subsidiaries	(2,459)	1,410	1,049	—	—
Cash dividends paid to parent	—	(5,429)	(1,449)	6,878	—
Other	(40)	—	(7)	—	(47)
Net cash used in financing activities	(10,223)	(4,019)	(407)	6,878	(7,771)
Cash and cash equivalents:					
(Decrease) increase	(3,318)	—	2	—	(3,316)
Balance at beginning of year	4,521	1	47	—	4,569
Balance at end of year	\$ 1,203	\$ 1	\$ 49	\$ —	\$ 1,253

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows
(in millions of dollars)

for the year ended December 31, 2016	Altria Group, Inc.	PM USA	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Total Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated
Cash Provided by Operating Activities					
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 4,356	\$ 5,138	\$ 319	\$ (5,992)	\$ 3,821
Cash Provided by (Used in) Investing Activities					
Capital expenditures	—	(45)	(144)	—	(189)
Acquisition of assets	—	—	(45)	—	(45)
Proceeds from finance assets	—	—	231	—	231
Proceeds from AB InBev/SABMiller business combination	4,773	—	—	—	4,773
Purchase of AB InBev ordinary shares	(1,578)	—	—	—	(1,578)
Payment for derivative financial instrument	(3)	—	—	—	(3)
Proceeds from derivative financial instruments	510	—	—	—	510
Other	—	—	9	—	9
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	3,702	(45)	51	—	3,708
Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities					
Long-term debt issued	1,976	—	—	—	1,976
Long-term debt repaid	(933)	—	—	—	(933)
Repurchases of common stock	(1,030)	—	—	—	(1,030)
Dividends paid on common stock	(4,512)	—	—	—	(4,512)
Changes in amounts due to/from Altria Group, Inc. and subsidiaries	(530)	(28)	558	—	—
Premiums and fees related to early extinguishment of debt	(809)	—	—	—	(809)
Cash dividends paid to parent	—	(5,064)	(928)	5,992	—
Other	(12)	—	(9)	—	(21)
Net cash used in financing activities	(5,850)	(5,092)	(379)	5,992	(5,329)
Cash and cash equivalents:					
Increase (decrease)	2,208	1	(9)	—	2,200
Balance at beginning of year	2,313	—	56	—	2,369
Balance at end of year	\$ 4,521	\$ 1	\$ 47	\$ —	\$ 4,569

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows
(in millions of dollars)

for the year ended December 31, 2015	Altria Group, Inc.	PM USA	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Total Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated
Cash Provided by Operating Activities					
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 5,118	\$ 5,204	\$ 961	\$ (5,440)	\$ 5,843
Cash Provided by (Used in) Investing Activities					
Capital expenditures	—	(51)	(178)	—	(229)
Proceeds from finance assets	—	—	354	—	354
Payment for derivative financial instrument	(132)	—	—	—	(132)
Other	—	10	(18)	—	(8)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(132)	(41)	158	—	(15)
Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities					
Long-term debt repaid	(1,793)	—	—	—	(1,793)
Repurchases of common stock	(554)	—	—	—	(554)
Dividends paid on common stock	(4,179)	—	—	—	(4,179)
Changes in amounts due to/from Altria Group, Inc. and subsidiaries	814	(495)	(319)	—	—
Premiums and fees related to early extinguishment of debt	(226)	—	—	—	(226)
Cash dividends paid to parent	—	(4,671)	(769)	5,440	—
Other	(16)	—	(12)	—	(28)
Net cash used in financing activities	(5,954)	(5,166)	(1,100)	5,440	(6,780)
Cash and cash equivalents:					
(Decrease) increase	(968)	(3)	19	—	(952)
Balance at beginning of year	3,281	3	37	—	3,321
Balance at end of year	\$ 2,313	\$ —	\$ 56	\$ —	\$ 2,369

Note 20. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

(in millions, except per share data)	2017 Quarters			
	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
Net revenues	\$ 6,083	\$ 6,663	\$ 6,729	\$ 6,101
Gross profit	\$ 2,779	\$ 3,119	\$ 3,183	\$ 2,870
Net earnings	\$ 1,402	\$ 1,990	\$ 1,867	\$ 4,968
Net earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc.	\$ 1,401	\$ 1,989	\$ 1,866	\$ 4,966
Per share data:				
Basic and diluted EPS attributable to Altria Group, Inc.	\$ 0.72	\$ 1.03	\$ 0.97	\$ 2.60

(in millions, except per share data)	2016 Quarters			
	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
Net revenues	\$ 6,066	\$ 6,521	\$ 6,905	\$ 6,252
Gross profit	\$ 2,656	\$ 2,957	\$ 3,150	\$ 2,828
Net earnings	\$ 1,218	\$ 1,654	\$ 1,094	\$ 10,278
Net earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc.	\$ 1,217	\$ 1,653	\$ 1,093	\$ 10,276
Per share data:				
Basic and diluted EPS attributable to Altria Group, Inc.	\$ 0.62	\$ 0.84	\$ 0.56	\$ 5.27

During 2017 and 2016, the following pre-tax charges or (gains) were included in net earnings attributable to Altria Group, Inc.:

(in millions)	2017 Quarters			
	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
NPM Adjustment Items	\$ (1)	\$ —	\$ 5	\$ —
Tobacco and health litigation items, including accrued interest	1	17	—	62
Asset impairment, exit, implementation and acquisition-related costs	30	30	17	12
Settlement charge for lump sum pension payments	—	—	—	81
Gain on AB InBev/SABMiller business combination	—	(408)	(37)	—
AB InBev special items	73	2	34	51
	\$ 103	\$ (359)	\$ 19	\$ 206

(in millions)	2016 Quarters			
	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
NPM Adjustment Items	\$ 18	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Tobacco and health litigation items, including accrued interest	38	5	45	17
Patent litigation settlement	—	—	—	21
Asset impairment, exit, implementation and acquisition-related costs	122	5	6	73
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	—	—	823	—
Gain on AB InBev/SABMiller business combination	(40)	(117)	(48)	(13,660)
SABMiller special items	166	21	(40)	(236)
	\$ 304	\$ (86)	\$ 786	\$ (13,785)

As discussed in Note 14. *Income Taxes*, Altria Group, Inc. has recognized income tax benefits and charges in the consolidated statements of earnings during 2017 and 2016 as a result of various tax events, including the impact of the Tax Reform Act in the fourth quarter of 2017.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and
Stockholders of Altria Group, Inc.:

Opinions on the Financial Statements and Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries as of December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, comprehensive earnings, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017, including the related notes (collectively referred to as the "consolidated financial statements"). We also have audited Altria Group, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2017 and 2016, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2017 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, Altria Group, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the COSO.

Basis for Opinions

Altria Group, Inc.'s management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Report of Management On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express opinions on Altria Group, Inc.'s consolidated financial statements and on Altria Group, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) ("PCAOB") and are required to be independent with respect to Altria Group, Inc. in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud, and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects.

Our audits of the consolidated financial statements included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

Definition and Limitations of Internal Control over Financial Reporting

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Richmond, Virginia
February 1, 2018

We have served as the Company's auditor since at least 1934, which is when the Company became subject to SEC reporting requirements. We have not determined the specific year we began serving as auditor of the Company.

Report of Management On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of Altria Group, Inc. is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Altria Group, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Internal control over financial reporting includes those written policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of Altria Group, Inc.;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America;
- provide reasonable assurance that receipts and expenditures of Altria Group, Inc. are being made only in accordance with the authorization of management and directors of Altria Group, Inc.; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Internal control over financial reporting includes the controls themselves, monitoring and internal auditing practices and actions taken to correct deficiencies as identified.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of Altria Group, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017. Management based this assessment on criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting described in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Management's assessment included an evaluation of the design of Altria Group, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting and testing of the operational effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting. Management reviewed the results of its assessment with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors.

Based on this assessment, management determined that, as of December 31, 2017, Altria Group, Inc. maintained effective internal control over financial reporting.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, who audited and reported on the consolidated financial statements of Altria Group, Inc. included in this report, has audited the effectiveness of Altria Group, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2017, as stated in their report herein.

February 1, 2018

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Altria Group, Inc. carried out an evaluation, with the participation of Altria Group, Inc.'s management, including Altria Group, Inc.'s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of Altria Group, Inc.'s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Based upon that evaluation, Altria Group, Inc.'s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded

that Altria Group, Inc.'s disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

There have been no changes in Altria Group, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting during the most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Altria Group, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting.

The Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm and the Report of Management on Internal Control over Financial Reporting are included in Item 8.

Item 9B. Other Information.

None.

Part III

Except for the information relating to the executive officers set forth in Item 10, the information called for by Items 10-14 is hereby incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s definitive proxy statement for use in connection with its Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 17, 2018 that will be filed with the SEC on or about April 5, 2018 (the "proxy statement"), and, except as indicated therein, made a part hereof.

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

Refer to "Proposals Requiring Your Vote - Proposal 1 - Election of Directors," "Ownership of Equity Securities of Altria - Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" and "Board and Governance Matters - Committees of Our Board of Directors" sections of the proxy statement.

Executive Officers as of February 13, 2018:

Name	Office	Age
Martin J. Barrington	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President	64
Daniel J. Bryant	Vice President and Treasurer	48
Kevin C. Crosthwaite, Jr.	President and Chief Executive Officer, Philip Morris USA Inc.	42
James E. Dillard III	Senior Vice President, Research, Development and Sciences	54
Ivan S. Feldman	Vice President and Controller	51
Murray R. Gamick	Executive Vice President and General Counsel	58
William F. Gifford, Jr.	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	47
Craig A. Johnson	President and Chief Executive Officer, Altria Group Distribution Company	65
Salvatore Mancuso	Senior Vice President, Strategy, Planning and Procurement	52
Brian W. Quigley	President and Chief Executive Officer, U.S. Smokeless Tobacco Company LLC	44
W. Hildebrandt Surgner, Jr.	Vice President, Corporate Secretary and Associate General Counsel	52
Charles N. Whitaker	Senior Vice President, Human Resources, Compliance and Information Services and Chief Compliance Officer	51
Howard A. Willard III	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	54

All of the above-mentioned officers have been employed by Altria Group, Inc. or its subsidiaries in various capacities during the past five years.

Effective April 25, 2017, Mr. Crosthwaite was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer, Philip Morris USA Inc. Mr. Crosthwaite has been continuously employed by Altria

Group, Inc. subsidiaries in positions across their businesses, including Strategy and Business Development, Brand Management and Sales since 1997.

Effective July 1, 2017, Mr. Gamick was appointed Executive Vice President and General Counsel of Altria Group, Inc. Mr. Gamick previously served as Deputy General Counsel of

[Table of Contents](#)

Altria Client Services LLC and has been continuously employed by Altria Group, Inc. or its subsidiaries since 2008.

Effective August 24, 2017, Mr. Dillard, previously Senior Vice President, Research, Development and Regulatory Affairs of Altria Group, Inc., was appointed Senior Vice President, Research, Development and Sciences of Altria Group, Inc.

Effective January 1, 2018, Mr. Surgner, previously Corporate Secretary and Senior Assistant General Counsel of Altria Group, Inc., was appointed Vice President, Corporate Secretary and Associate General Counsel of Altria Group, Inc.

As previously announced, effective upon the conclusion of the Annual Meeting of Shareholders on May 17, 2018, Mr. Barrington will retire as Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President and Mr. Willard will become Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Additionally, Mr. Gifford will become Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer, effective upon the conclusion of the Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

Mr. Whitaker's wife and Mr. Surgner's wife are first cousins.

Codes of Conduct and Corporate Governance

Altria Group, Inc. has adopted the Altria Code of Conduct for Compliance and Integrity, which complies with requirements set forth in Item 406 of Regulation S-K. This Code of Conduct applies to all of its employees, including its principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, and persons performing similar functions. Altria Group, Inc. has also adopted a code of business conduct and ethics that applies to the members of its Board of Directors. These documents are available free of charge on Altria Group, Inc.'s website at www.altria.com.

Any waiver granted by Altria Group, Inc. to its principal executive officer, principal financial officer or controller under the Code of Conduct, and certain amendments to the Code of Conduct, will be disclosed on Altria Group, Inc.'s website at www.altria.com within the time period required by applicable rules.

In addition, Altria Group, Inc. has adopted corporate governance guidelines and charters for its Audit, Compensation and Nominating, Corporate Governance and Social Responsibility Committees and the other committees of the Board of Directors. All of these documents are available free of charge on Altria Group, Inc.'s website at www.altria.com.

The information on the respective websites of Altria Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries is not, and shall not be deemed to be, a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or incorporated into any other filings Altria Group, Inc. makes with the SEC.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

Refer to "Executive Compensation," "Compensation Committee Matters - Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation," "Compensation Committee Matters - Compensation Committee Report for the Year Ended December 31, 2017" and "Board and Governance Matters - Directors - Director Compensation" sections of the proxy statement.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The number of shares to be issued upon exercise or vesting and the number of shares remaining available for future issuance under Altria Group, Inc.'s equity compensation plans at December 31, 2017, were as follows:

	Number of Shares to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options and Vesting of Deferred Stock (a)	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options (b)	Number of Shares Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders ⁽¹⁾	2,606,482 ⁽²⁾	\$—	39,082,184 ⁽³⁾

⁽¹⁾ The following plans have been approved by Altria Group, Inc. shareholders and have shares referenced in column (a) or column (c): the 2010 Performance Incentive Plan, the 2015 Performance Incentive Plan and the 2015 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors.

⁽²⁾ Represents 2,384,501 shares of restricted stock units and 221,981 shares that may be issued upon vesting of performance stock units if maximum performance measures are achieved.

⁽³⁾ Includes 38,161,242 shares available under the 2015 Performance Incentive Plan and 920,942 shares available under the 2015 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors, and excludes shares reflected in column (a).

Refer to "Ownership of Equity Securities of Altria - Directors and Executive Officers" and "Ownership of Equity Securities of Altria - Certain Other Beneficial Owners" sections of the proxy statement.

[Table of Contents](#)

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

Refer to “Related Person Transactions and Code of Conduct” and “Board and Governance Matters - Directors - Director Independence Determinations” sections of the proxy statement.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.

Refer to “Audit Committee Matters - Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm’s Fees” and “Audit Committee Matters - Pre-Approval Policy” sections of the proxy statement.

Part IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

(a) Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

	<u>Page</u>
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2017 and 2016	<u>39</u>
Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015	<u>41</u>
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Earnings for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015	<u>42</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015	<u>43</u>
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders’ Equity for the years ended December 31, 2017, 2016 and 2015	<u>44</u>
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>45</u>
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>109</u>
Report of Management on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	<u>110</u>

Schedules have been omitted either because such schedules are not required or are not applicable.

In accordance with Regulation S-X Rule 3-09, the audited financial statements of AB InBev for the year ended December 31, 2017 will be filed by amendment within six months after AB InBev’s year ended December 31, 2017.

(b) The following exhibits are filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

- 2.1 [Distribution Agreement by and between Altria Group, Inc. and Kraft Foods Inc. \(now known as Mondelēz International, Inc.\), dated as of January 31, 2007. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.’s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 31, 2007 \(File No. 1-08940\).](#)
- 2.2 [Distribution Agreement by and between Altria Group, Inc. and Philip Morris International Inc., dated as of January 30, 2008. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.’s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 30, 2008 \(File No. 1-08940\).](#)
- 2.3 [Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among UST Inc., Altria Group, Inc., and Armchair Merger Sub, Inc., dated as of September 7, 2008. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.’s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 8, 2008 \(File No. 1-08940\).](#)
- 2.4 [Amendment No. 1 to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of September 7, 2008, by and among UST Inc., Altria Group, Inc., and Armchair Merger Sub, Inc., dated as of October 2, 2008. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.’s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 3, 2008 \(File No. 1-08940\).](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

- 3.1 [Articles of Amendment to the Restated Articles of Incorporation of Altria Group, Inc. and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Altria Group, Inc. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002 \(File No. 1-08940\).](#)
- 3.2 [Amended and Restated By-laws of Altria Group, Inc., effective as of October 28, 2015. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 29, 2015 \(File No. 1-08940\).](#)
- 4.1 Indenture between Altria Group, Inc. and The Bank of New York (as successor in interest to JPMorgan Chase Bank, formerly known as The Chase Manhattan Bank), as Trustee, dated as of December 2, 1996. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-3/A filed on January 29, 1998 (No. 333-35143).
- 4.2 [First Supplemental Indenture to Indenture, dated as of December 2, 1996, between Altria Group, Inc. and The Bank of New York \(as successor in interest to JPMorgan Chase Bank, formerly known as The Chase Manhattan Bank\), as Trustee, dated as of February 13, 2008. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 15, 2008 \(File No. 1-08940\).](#)
- 4.3 [Indenture among Altria Group, Inc., as Issuer, Philip Morris USA Inc., as Guarantor, and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as Trustee, dated as of November 4, 2008. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on November 4, 2008 \(No. 333-155009\).](#)
- 4.4 [Amended and Restated 5-Year Revolving Credit Agreement, dated as of August 19, 2013, among Altria Group, Inc. and the Initial Lenders named therein and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Citibank, N.A., as Administrative Agents. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 23, 2013 \(File No. 1-08940\).](#)
- 4.5 [Extension Agreement, effective August 19, 2014, among Altria Group, Inc. and the lenders thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Citibank, N.A., as Administrative Agents. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 21, 2014 \(File No. 1-08940\).](#)
- 4.6 [Extension Agreement, effective August 19, 2015, among Altria Group, Inc. and the lenders thereto and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Citibank, N.A., as Administrative Agents. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 21, 2015 \(File No. 1-08940\).](#)
- 4.7 The Registrant agrees to furnish copies of any instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of the Registrant and its consolidated subsidiaries that does not exceed 10 percent of the total assets of the Registrant and its consolidated subsidiaries to the Commission upon request.
- 10.1 Comprehensive Settlement Agreement and Release related to settlement of Mississippi health care cost recovery action, dated as of October 17, 1997. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997 (File No. 1-08940).
- 10.2 Settlement Agreement related to settlement of Florida health care cost recovery action, dated August 25, 1997. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 3, 1997 (File No. 1-08940).
- 10.3 Comprehensive Settlement Agreement and Release related to settlement of Texas health care cost recovery action, dated as of January 16, 1998. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 28, 1998 (File No. 1-08940).
- 10.4 Settlement Agreement and Stipulation for Entry of Judgment regarding the claims of the State of Minnesota, dated as of May 8, 1998. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 1998 (File No. 1-08940).
- 10.5 Settlement Agreement and Release regarding the claims of Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Minnesota, dated as of May 8, 1998. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 1998 (File No. 1-08940).
- 10.6 Stipulation of Amendment to Settlement Agreement and For Entry of Agreed Order regarding the settlement of the Mississippi health care cost recovery action, dated as of July 2, 1998. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 1998 (File No. 1-08940).

[Table of Contents](#)

- 10.7 Stipulation of Amendment to Settlement Agreement and For Entry of Consent Decree regarding the settlement of the Texas health care cost recovery action, dated as of July 24, 1998. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 1998 (File No. 1-08940).
- 10.8 Stipulation of Amendment to Settlement Agreement and For Entry of Consent Decree regarding the settlement of the Florida health care cost recovery action, dated as of September 11, 1998. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 1998 (File No. 1-08940).
- 10.9 Master Settlement Agreement relating to state health care cost recovery and other claims, dated as of November 23, 1998. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 25, 1998, as amended by Form 8-K/A filed on December 24, 1998 (File No. 1-08940).
- 10.10 [Stipulation and Agreed Order Regarding Stay of Execution Pending Review and Related Matters, dated as of May 7, 2001. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 8, 2001 \(File No. 1-08940\).](#)
- 10.11 [Term Sheet effective December 17, 2012, between Philip Morris USA Inc., the other participating manufacturers, and various states and territories for settlement of the 2003 - 2012 Non-Participating Manufacturer Adjustment with those states. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 18, 2012 \(File No. 1-08940\).](#)
- 10.12 [Employee Matters Agreement by and between Altria Group, Inc. and Kraft Foods Inc. \(now known as Mondelēz International, Inc.\), dated as of March 30, 2007. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 30, 2007 \(File No. 1-08940\).](#)
- 10.13 [Tax Sharing Agreement by and between Altria Group, Inc. and Kraft Foods Inc. \(now known as Mondelēz International, Inc.\), dated as of March 30, 2007. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 30, 2007 \(File No. 1-08940\).](#)
- 10.14 [Intellectual Property Agreement by and between Philip Morris International Inc. and Philip Morris USA Inc., dated as of January 1, 2008. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 28, 2008 \(File No. 1-08940\).](#)
- 10.15 [Employee Matters Agreement by and between Altria Group, Inc. and Philip Morris International Inc., dated as of March 28, 2008. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 28, 2008 \(File No. 1-08940\).](#)
- 10.16 [Tax Sharing Agreement by and between Altria Group, Inc. and Philip Morris International Inc., dated as of March 28, 2008. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 28, 2008 \(File No. 1-08940\).](#)
- 10.17 [Guarantee made by Philip Morris USA Inc., in favor of the lenders party to the 5-Year Revolving Credit Agreement, dated as of June 30, 2011, among Altria Group, Inc., the lenders named therein, and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. and Citibank, N.A., as Administrative Agents, dated as of June 30, 2011. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 30, 2011 \(File No. 1-08940\).](#)
- 10.18 [Benefit Equalization Plan, effective September 2, 1974, as amended. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 \(File No. 1-08940\).*](#)
- 10.19 [Amendment to Benefit Equalization Plan, effective March 31, 2016. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2016 \(File No. 1-08940\).*](#)
- 10.20 [Amendment to Benefit Equalization Plan, effective January 1, 2016 and October 1, 2016. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 \(File No. 1-08940\).*](#)
- 10.21 Form of Employee Grantor Trust Enrollment Agreement. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995 (File No. 1-08940).*
- 10.22 [Form of Supplemental Employee Grantor Trust Enrollment Agreement. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 \(File No. 1-08940\).*](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

- 10.23 [Automobile Policy. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997 \(File No. 1-08940\).*](#)
- 10.24 [Grantor Trust Agreement by and between Altria Client Services Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, dated February 23, 2011. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 \(File No. 1-08940\).*](#)
- 10.25 [Long-Term Disability Benefit Equalization Plan, effective as of January 1, 1989, as amended. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2009 \(File No. 1-08940\).*](#)
- 10.26 [Deferred Fee Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as amended and restated effective October 28, 2015. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 \(File No. 1-08940\).*](#)
- 10.27 [2015 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as amended and restated effective October 28, 2015. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 \(File No. 1-08940\).*](#)
- 10.28 [2010 Performance Incentive Plan, effective on May 20, 2010. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s definitive proxy statement on Schedule 14A filed on April 9, 2010 \(File No. 1-08940\).*](#)
- 10.29 [2015 Performance Incentive Plan, effective on May 1, 2015. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s definitive proxy statement on Schedule 14A filed on April 9, 2015 \(File No. 1-08940\).*](#)
- 10.30 [Form of Indemnity Agreement. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 30, 2006 \(File No. 1-08940\).](#)
- 10.31 [Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, dated as of May 16, 2012. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 17, 2012 \(File No. 1-08940\).*](#)
- 10.32 [Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, dated as of January 28, 2014. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 30, 2014 \(File No. 1-08940\).*](#)
- 10.33 [Form of Deferred Stock Agreement, dated as of January 28, 2014. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2014 \(File No. 1-08940\).*](#)
- 10.34 [Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, dated as of January 28, 2015. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 30, 2015 \(File No. 1-08940\).*](#)
- 10.35 [Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, dated as of January 26, 2016. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 28, 2016 \(File No. 1-08940\).*](#)
- 10.36 [Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, dated as of January 30, 2017. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2017 \(File No. 1-08940\).*](#)
- 10.37 [Form of Performance Stock Unit Agreement, dated as of January 30, 2017. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2017 \(File No. 1-08940\).*](#)
- 10.38 [Form of Executive Confidentiality and Non-Competition Agreement. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 27, 2011 \(File No. 1-08940\).*](#)
- 10.39 [Time Sharing Agreement between Altria Client Services LLC and Martin J. Barrington, dated as of November 19, 2015. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 \(File No. 1-08940\).*](#)
- 10.40 [Agreement and General Release between Altria Group, Inc. and Denise F. Keane, dated June 29, 2017. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2017 \(File No. 1-08940\).*](#)
- 12 [Statements regarding computation of ratios of earnings to fixed charges.](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

21	Subsidiaries of Altria Group, Inc.
23	Consent of independent registered public accounting firm.
24	Powers of attorney.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
99.1	Certain Litigation Matters.
99.2	Trial Schedule for Certain Cases.
99.3	Definitions of Terms Related to Financial Covenants Included in Altria Group, Inc.'s Amended and Restated 5-Year Revolving Credit Agreement, dated as of August 19, 2013. Incorporated by reference to Altria Group, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2013 (File No. 1-08940).
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema.
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase.
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase.
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase.
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase.

* Denotes management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement in which directors or executive officers are eligible to participate.

[Item 16. Form 10-K Summary.](#)

None.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ALTRIA GROUP, INC.

By: /s/ MARTIN J. BARRINGTON
(Martin J. Barrington
Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and
President)

Date: February 27, 2018

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated:

Signature	Title	Date
<u>/s/ MARTIN J. BARRINGTON</u> (Martin J. Barrington)	Director, Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President	February 27, 2018
<u>/s/ WILLIAM F. GIFFORD, JR.</u> (William F. Gifford, Jr.)	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	February 27, 2018
<u>/s/ IVAN S. FELDMAN</u> (Ivan S. Feldman)	Vice President and Controller	February 27, 2018
* GERALD L. BALILES, JOHN T. CASTEEN III, DINYAR S. DEVITRE, THOMAS F. FARRELL II, DEBRA J. KELLY-ENNIS, W. LEO KIELY III, KATHRYN B. MCQUADE, GEORGE MUÑOZ, MARK E. NEWMAN, NABIL Y. SAKKAB, VIRGINIA E. SHANKS, HOWARD A. WILLARD III	Directors	
*By: <u>/s/ MARTIN J. BARRINGTON</u> (MARTIN J. BARRINGTON ATTORNEY-IN-FACT)		February 27, 2018

Altria Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries
Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges
(in millions of dollars)

	For the Years Ended December 31,				
	2017	2016	2015	2014	2013
Earnings before income taxes	\$ 9,828	\$ 21,852	\$ 8,078	\$ 7,774	\$ 6,942
Add (deduct):					
Equity in net earnings of less than 50% owned affiliates	(537)	(800)	(755)	(1,011)	(993)
Dividends from less than 50% owned affiliates	806	739	495	459	443
Fixed charges	740	768	821	879	1,104
Interest capitalized, net of amortization	—	—	14	6	(7)
Earnings available for fixed charges	<u>\$ 10,837</u>	<u>\$ 22,559</u>	<u>\$ 8,653</u>	<u>\$ 8,107</u>	<u>\$ 7,489</u>
Fixed charges:					
Interest incurred ⁽¹⁾	\$ 726	\$ 750	\$ 805	\$ 861	\$ 1,087
Portion of rent expense deemed to represent interest factor	14	18	16	18	17
Fixed charges	<u>\$ 740</u>	<u>\$ 768</u>	<u>\$ 821</u>	<u>\$ 879</u>	<u>\$ 1,104</u>
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges ⁽²⁾	<u>14.6</u>	<u>29.4</u>	<u>10.5</u>	<u>9.2</u>	<u>6.8</u>

⁽¹⁾ Altria Group, Inc. includes interest relating to uncertain tax positions in its provision for income taxes, therefore such amounts are not included in fixed charges in the computation.

⁽²⁾ The ratio of earnings to fixed charges for the year ended December 31, 2016 includes the Gain on AB InBev/SABMiller business combination. Excluding this gain, the ratio of earnings to fixed charges would have been 11.3 for the year ended December 31, 2016.

ALTRIA GROUP, INC. SUBSIDIARIES

Certain subsidiaries of Altria Group, Inc. as of December 31, 2017 and their respective state of incorporation or organization are listed below. The names of certain other subsidiaries have been omitted because, considered in the aggregate as a single subsidiary, they would not constitute, as of December 31, 2017, a “significant subsidiary” as that term is defined in Rule 1-02(w) of Regulation S-X.

<u>Name</u>	<u>State of Incorporation or Organization</u>
Philip Morris USA Inc.	Virginia
U.S. Smokeless Tobacco Company LLC	Virginia
UST LLC	Virginia

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in Post-Effective Amendment No. 13 to the Registration Statement on Form S-14 (File No. 2-96149) and in the Registration Statements on Form S-3 (File No. 333-221133) and Form S-8 (File Nos. 333-28631, 33-10218, 33-13210, 33-14561, 33-48781, 33-59109, 333-43478, 333-43484, 333-128494, 333-139523, 333-148070, 333-156188, 333-167516, 333-170185, 333-204477 and 333-209701) of Altria Group, Inc. of our report dated February 1, 2018 relating to the financial statements and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, which appears in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Richmond, Virginia

February 27, 2018

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENT THAT the undersigned, a Director of Altria Group, Inc., a Virginia corporation (the “Company”), does hereby constitute and appoint Martin J. Barrington, Murray R. Garnick, William F. Gifford, Jr., and W. Hildebrandt Surgner, Jr., or any one or more of them, his true and lawful attorney, for him and in his name, place and stead, to execute, by manual or facsimile signature, electronic transmission or otherwise, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2017 and any amendments or supplements to said Annual Report and to cause the same to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, together with any exhibits, financial statements and schedules included or to be incorporated by reference therein, hereby granting to said attorneys full power and authority to do and perform all and every act and thing whatsoever requisite or desirable to be done in and about the premises as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts and things which said attorneys may do or cause to be done by virtue of these present.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his hand and seal this 27th day of February, 2018.

/s/ GERALD L. BALILES
Gerald L. Baliles

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENT THAT the undersigned, a Director of Altria Group, Inc., a Virginia corporation (the “Company”), does hereby constitute and appoint Martin J. Barrington, Murray R. Garnick, William F. Gifford, Jr., and W. Hildebrandt Surgner, Jr., or any one or more of them, his true and lawful attorney, for him and in his name, place and stead, to execute, by manual or facsimile signature, electronic transmission or otherwise, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2017 and any amendments or supplements to said Annual Report and to cause the same to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, together with any exhibits, financial statements and schedules included or to be incorporated by reference therein, hereby granting to said attorneys full power and authority to do and perform all and every act and thing whatsoever requisite or desirable to be done in and about the premises as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts and things which said attorneys may do or cause to be done by virtue of these present.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his hand and seal this 27th day of February, 2018.

/s/ JOHN T. CASTEEN III
John T. Casteen III

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENT THAT the undersigned, a Director of Altria Group, Inc., a Virginia corporation (the “Company”), does hereby constitute and appoint Martin J. Barrington, Murray R. Garnick, William F. Gifford, Jr., and W. Hildebrandt Surgner, Jr., or any one or more of them, his true and lawful attorney, for him and in his name, place and stead, to execute, by manual or facsimile signature, electronic transmission or otherwise, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2017 and any amendments or supplements to said Annual Report and to cause the same to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, together with any exhibits, financial statements and schedules included or to be incorporated by reference therein, hereby granting to said attorneys full power and authority to do and perform all and every act and thing whatsoever requisite or desirable to be done in and about the premises as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts and things which said attorneys may do or cause to be done by virtue of these present.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his hand and seal this 27th day of February, 2018.

/s/ DINYAR S. DEVITRE
Dinyar S. Devitre

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENT THAT the undersigned, a Director of Altria Group, Inc., a Virginia corporation (the “Company”), does hereby constitute and appoint Martin J. Barrington, Murray R. Garnick, William F. Gifford, Jr., and W. Hildebrandt Surgner, Jr., or any one or more of them, his true and lawful attorney, for him and in his name, place and stead, to execute, by manual or facsimile signature, electronic transmission or otherwise, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2017 and any amendments or supplements to said Annual Report and to cause the same to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, together with any exhibits, financial statements and schedules included or to be incorporated by reference therein, hereby granting to said attorneys full power and authority to do and perform all and every act and thing whatsoever requisite or desirable to be done in and about the premises as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts and things which said attorneys may do or cause to be done by virtue of these present.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his hand and seal this 27th day of February, 2018.

/s/ THOMAS F. FARRELL II
Thomas F. Farrell II

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENT THAT the undersigned, a Director of Altria Group, Inc., a Virginia corporation (the “Company”), does hereby constitute and appoint Martin J. Barrington, Murray R. Garnick, William F. Gifford, Jr., and W. Hildebrandt Surgner, Jr., or any one or more of them, her true and lawful attorney, for her and in her name, place and stead, to execute, by manual or facsimile signature, electronic transmission or otherwise, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2017 and any amendments or supplements to said Annual Report and to cause the same to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, together with any exhibits, financial statements and schedules included or to be incorporated by reference therein, hereby granting to said attorneys full power and authority to do and perform all and every act and thing whatsoever requisite or desirable to be done in and about the premises as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts and things which said attorneys may do or cause to be done by virtue of these present.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set her hand and seal this 27th day of February, 2018.

/s/ DEBRA J. KELLY-ENNIS

Debra J. Kelly-Ennis

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENT THAT the undersigned, a Director of Altria Group, Inc., a Virginia corporation (the “Company”), does hereby constitute and appoint Martin J. Barrington, Murray R. Garnick, William F. Gifford, Jr., and W. Hildebrandt Surgner, Jr., or any one or more of them, his true and lawful attorney, for him and in his name, place and stead, to execute, by manual or facsimile signature, electronic transmission or otherwise, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2017 and any amendments or supplements to said Annual Report and to cause the same to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, together with any exhibits, financial statements and schedules included or to be incorporated by reference therein, hereby granting to said attorneys full power and authority to do and perform all and every act and thing whatsoever requisite or desirable to be done in and about the premises as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts and things which said attorneys may do or cause to be done by virtue of these present.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his hand and seal this 27th day of February, 2018.

/s/ W. LEO KIELY III
W. Leo Kiely III

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENT THAT the undersigned, a Director of Altria Group, Inc., a Virginia corporation (the “Company”), does hereby constitute and appoint Martin J. Barrington, Murray R. Garnick, William F. Gifford, Jr., and W. Hildebrandt Surgner, Jr., or any one or more of them, her true and lawful attorney, for her and in her name, place and stead, to execute, by manual or facsimile signature, electronic transmission or otherwise, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2017 and any amendments or supplements to said Annual Report and to cause the same to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, together with any exhibits, financial statements and schedules included or to be incorporated by reference therein, hereby granting to said attorneys full power and authority to do and perform all and every act and thing whatsoever requisite or desirable to be done in and about the premises as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts and things which said attorneys may do or cause to be done by virtue of these present.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set her hand and seal this 27th day of February, 2018.

/s/ KATHRYN B. MCQUADE
Kathryn B. McQuade

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENT THAT the undersigned, a Director of Altria Group, Inc., a Virginia corporation (the “Company”), does hereby constitute and appoint Martin J. Barrington, Murray R. Garnick, William F. Gifford, Jr., and W. Hildebrandt Surgner, Jr., or any one or more of them, his true and lawful attorney, for him and in his name, place and stead, to execute, by manual or facsimile signature, electronic transmission or otherwise, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2017 and any amendments or supplements to said Annual Report and to cause the same to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, together with any exhibits, financial statements and schedules included or to be incorporated by reference therein, hereby granting to said attorneys full power and authority to do and perform all and every act and thing whatsoever requisite or desirable to be done in and about the premises as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts and things which said attorneys may do or cause to be done by virtue of these present.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his hand and seal this 27th day of February, 2018.

/s/ GEORGE MUÑOZ
George Muñoz

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENT THAT the undersigned, a Director of Altria Group, Inc., a Virginia corporation (the “Company”), does hereby constitute and appoint Martin J. Barrington, Murray R. Garnick, William F. Gifford, Jr., and W. Hildebrandt Surgner, Jr., or any one or more of them, his true and lawful attorney, for him and in his name, place and stead, to execute, by manual or facsimile signature, electronic transmission or otherwise, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2017 and any amendments or supplements to said Annual Report and to cause the same to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, together with any exhibits, financial statements and schedules included or to be incorporated by reference therein, hereby granting to said attorneys full power and authority to do and perform all and every act and thing whatsoever requisite or desirable to be done in and about the premises as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts and things which said attorneys may do or cause to be done by virtue of these present.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his hand and seal this 27th day of February, 2018.

/s/ MARK E. NEWMAN
Mark E. Newman

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENT THAT the undersigned, a Director of Altria Group, Inc., a Virginia corporation (the “Company”), does hereby constitute and appoint Martin J. Barrington, Murray R. Garnick, William F. Gifford, Jr., and W. Hildebrandt Surgner, Jr., or any one or more of them, his true and lawful attorney, for him and in his name, place and stead, to execute, by manual or facsimile signature, electronic transmission or otherwise, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2017 and any amendments or supplements to said Annual Report and to cause the same to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, together with any exhibits, financial statements and schedules included or to be incorporated by reference therein, hereby granting to said attorneys full power and authority to do and perform all and every act and thing whatsoever requisite or desirable to be done in and about the premises as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts and things which said attorneys may do or cause to be done by virtue of these present.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his hand and seal this 27th day of February, 2018.

/s/ NABIL Y. SAKKAB
Nabil Y. Sakkab

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENT THAT the undersigned, a Director of Altria Group, Inc., a Virginia corporation (the “Company”), does hereby constitute and appoint Martin J. Barrington, Murray R. Garnick, William F. Gifford, Jr., and W. Hildebrandt Surgner, Jr., or any one or more of them, her true and lawful attorney, for her and in her name, place and stead, to execute, by manual or facsimile signature, electronic transmission or otherwise, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2017 and any amendments or supplements to said Annual Report and to cause the same to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, together with any exhibits, financial statements and schedules included or to be incorporated by reference therein, hereby granting to said attorneys full power and authority to do and perform all and every act and thing whatsoever requisite or desirable to be done in and about the premises as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts and things which said attorneys may do or cause to be done by virtue of these present.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set her hand and seal this 27th day of February, 2018.

/s/ VIRGINIA E. SHANKS
Virginia E. Shanks

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENT THAT the undersigned, a Director of Altria Group, Inc., a Virginia corporation (the “Company”), does hereby constitute and appoint Martin J. Barrington, Murray R. Garnick, William F. Gifford, Jr., and W. Hildebrandt Surgner, Jr., or any one or more of them, his true and lawful attorney, for him and in his name, place and stead, to execute, by manual or facsimile signature, electronic transmission or otherwise, the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2017 and any amendments or supplements to said Annual Report and to cause the same to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, together with any exhibits, financial statements and schedules included or to be incorporated by reference therein, hereby granting to said attorneys full power and authority to do and perform all and every act and thing whatsoever requisite or desirable to be done in and about the premises as fully to all intents and purposes as the undersigned might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all acts and things which said attorneys may do or cause to be done by virtue of these present.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned has hereunto set his hand and seal this 27th day of February, 2018.

/s/ HOWARD A. WILLARD III
Howard A. Willard III

Certifications

I, Martin J. Barrington, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Altria Group, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 27, 2018

/s/ MARTIN J. BARRINGTON

Martin J. Barrington

Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President

Certifications

I, William F. Gifford, Jr., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Altria Group, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: February 27, 2018

/s/ WILLIAM F. GIFFORD, JR.

William F. Gifford, Jr.

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial
Officer

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report of Altria Group, Inc. (the “Company”) on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2017 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the “Report”), I, Martin J. Barrington, Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ MARTIN J. BARRINGTON

Martin J. Barrington
Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President
February 27, 2018

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report of Altria Group, Inc. (the “Company”) on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2017 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the “Report”), I, William F. Gifford, Jr., Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

/s/ WILLIAM F. GIFFORD, JR.
William F. Gifford, Jr.
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
February 27, 2018

CERTAIN LITIGATION MATTERS

As described in Item 3. *Legal Proceedings* in the Annual Report on Form 10-K to which this Exhibit 99.1 is attached (“Item 3”) and in Note 18. *Contingencies* to Altria Group, Inc.’s consolidated financial statements in Item 8 of such Annual Report on Form 10-K (“Note 18”) there are legal proceedings covering a wide range of matters pending or threatened in various United States and foreign jurisdictions against Altria Group, Inc., its subsidiaries, including Philip Morris USA Inc. (“PM USA”), and their respective indemnitees. Various types of claims may be raised in these proceedings, including product liability, consumer protection, antitrust, tax, contraband shipments, patent infringement, employment matters, claims for contribution and claims of competitors or distributors. Claims related to tobacco products generally fall within the following categories: (i) smoking and health cases alleging personal injury brought on behalf of individual plaintiffs, (ii) smoking and health cases primarily alleging personal injury or seeking court-supervised programs for ongoing medical monitoring and purporting to be brought on behalf of a class of individual plaintiffs, including cases in which the aggregated claims of a number of individual plaintiffs are to be tried in a single proceeding, (iii) health care cost recovery cases brought by governmental (both domestic and foreign) plaintiffs seeking reimbursement for health care expenditures allegedly caused by cigarette smoking and/or disgorgement of profits, (iv) class action suits alleging that the uses of the terms “Lights” and “Ultra Lights” constitute deceptive and unfair trade practices, common law fraud or statutory fraud, unjust enrichment, breach of warranty, or violations of the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act, (v) international cases, and (vi) other tobacco-related litigation.

The following lists certain of the pending claims against Altria Group, Inc., PM USA, UST LLC (“UST”) and/or UST’s subsidiaries included in these categories.

SMOKING AND HEALTH LITIGATION

The following lists the consolidated individual smoking and health cases as well as smoking and health class actions pending against PM USA and, in some cases, Altria Group, Inc. and/or its other subsidiaries and affiliates, as of February 23, 2018. See *International Cases* below for a list of smoking and health class actions pending in Canada.

Consolidated Individual Smoking and Health Case

In re: Tobacco Litigation (Individual Personal Injury cases), Circuit Court, Ohio County, West Virginia, consolidated January 11, 2000. See Note 18 for a discussion of this litigation.

Flight Attendant Litigation

The settlement agreement entered into in 1997 in the case of *Broin, et al. v. Philip Morris Companies Inc., et al.*, which was brought by flight attendants seeking damages for personal injuries allegedly caused by environmental tobacco smoke, allowed members of the *Broin* class to file individual lawsuits seeking compensatory damages, but prohibited them from seeking punitive damages. See Note 18 for a discussion of this litigation.

Domestic Class Actions

Engle, et al. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., et al., Circuit Court, Eleventh Judicial Circuit, Dade County, Florida, filed May 5, 1994. See Note 18 for a discussion of this case (which has concluded) and the *Engle* progeny litigation.

Young, et al. v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, Louisiana, filed November 12, 1997.

Parsons, et al. v. A C & S, Inc., et al., Circuit Court, Kanawha County, West Virginia, filed February 27, 1998.

Cypret, et al. v. The American Tobacco Company, et al., Circuit Court, Jackson County, Missouri, filed December 22, 1998.

HEALTH CARE COST RECOVERY LITIGATION

The following lists a health care cost recovery action pending against PM USA and Altria Group, Inc. as of February 23, 2018. See *International Cases* below for a list of international health care cost recovery actions.

Department of Justice Case

The United States of America v. Philip Morris Incorporated, et al., United States District Court, District of Columbia, filed September 22, 1999. See Note 18 for a discussion of this case.

“LIGHTS/ULTRA LIGHTS” CASES

The following lists the “Lights/Ultra Lights” class actions pending against Altria Group, Inc. and/or its various subsidiaries and others as of February 23, 2018.

Moore, et al. v. Philip Morris Incorporated, et al., Circuit Court, Marshall County, West Virginia, filed September 17, 2001.

Viriden v. Altria Group, Inc., et al., Circuit Court, Hancock County, West Virginia, filed March 28, 2003.

Arnold, et al. v. Philip Morris USA Inc., Circuit Court, Madison County, Illinois, filed May 5, 2003.

INTERNATIONAL CASES

The following lists cases pending against Altria Group, Inc. and/or its subsidiaries in foreign jurisdictions as of February 23, 2018.

Canada

Her Majesty the Queen in Right of British Columbia v. Imperial Tobacco Limited, et al., Supreme Court, British Columbia, Vancouver Registry, Canada, filed January 24, 2001. Health care cost recovery action. See Note 18 for a discussion of this case.

Her Majesty the Queen in Right of the Province of New Brunswick v. Rothmans, Inc., et al., Court of Queen’s Bench of New Brunswick Judicial District of Fredericton, Canada, filed March 13, 2008. Health care cost recovery action. See Note 18 for a discussion of this case.

Dorion v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers’ Council, et al., Court of Queen’s Bench of Alberta, Judicial District of Calgary, Canada, filed on or about June 17, 2009. Smoking and health class action. See Note 18 for a discussion of this case.

Semple v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers’ Council, et al., Supreme Court of Nova Scotia, Canada, filed on or about June 18, 2009. Smoking and health class action. See Note 18 for a discussion of this case.

Kunta v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers’ Council, et al., Court of Queen’s Bench of Manitoba, Winnipeg Judicial Centre, Canada, filed on an unknown date in June 2009. Smoking and health class action. See Note 18 for a discussion of this case.

Adams v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers’ Council, et al., Court of Queen’s Bench for Saskatchewan, Judicial Centre of Regina, Canada, filed on or about July 10, 2009. Smoking and health class action. See Note 18 for a discussion of this case.

Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Ontario v. Rothmans Inc., et al., Superior Court of Justice of Ontario, Canada, filed on or about September 30, 2009. Health care cost recovery action. See Note 18 for a discussion of this case.

Bourassa v. Imperial Tobacco Canada Limited, et al., Supreme Court of British Columbia, Victoria Registry, Canada, filed on or about June 25, 2010. Smoking and health class action. See Note 18 for a discussion of this case.

McDermid v. Imperial Tobacco Canada Limited, et al., Supreme Court of British Columbia, Victoria Registry, Canada, filed on or about June 25, 2010. Smoking and health class action. See Note 18 for a discussion of this case.

Attorney General of Newfoundland and Labrador v. Rothmans Inc., et al., Supreme Court of Newfoundland and Labrador, Trial Division, Canada, filed February 8, 2011. Health care cost recovery action. See Note 18 for a discussion of this case.

Attorney General of Quebec v. Imperial Tobacco Canada Limited, et al., Superior Court of Quebec, Montreal District, Canada, filed June 8, 2012. Health care cost recovery action. See Note 18 for a discussion of this case.

Her Majesty in Right of Alberta v. Altria Group, Inc., et al., Court of Queen's Bench of Alberta, Judicial District of Calgary, Canada, filed June 8, 2012. Health care cost recovery action. See Note 18 for a discussion of this case.

Her Majesty the Queen in the Right of Manitoba v. Rothmans, Benson & Hedges Inc., et al., Court of Queen's Bench of Manitoba, Winnipeg Judicial Centre, Canada, filed May 31, 2012. Health care cost recovery action. See Note 18 for a discussion of this case.

Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Saskatchewan v. Rothmans, Benson & Hedges Inc., et al., Court of Queen's Bench of Saskatchewan, Judicial Centre of Saskatoon, Canada, filed on June 8, 2012. Health care cost recovery action. See Note 18 for a discussion of this case.

Her Majesty in the Right of the Province of Prince Edward Island v. Rothmans, Benson & Hedges, Inc., et al., Supreme Court of Prince Edward Island, filed on September 10, 2012. Health care cost recovery action. See Note 18 for a discussion of this case.

Her Majesty the Queen in Right of the Province of Nova Scotia v. Benson & Hedges, Inc., et al., Supreme Court of Nova Scotia, filed on January 2, 2015. Health care cost recovery action. See Note 18 for a discussion of this case.

Jacklin v. Canadian Tobacco Manufacturers' Council et al., Ontario Superior Court of Justice, Case No. 5379412, Ontario, Canada, filed on or about June 27, 2012. Smoking and health class action. See Note 18 for a discussion of this case.

CERTAIN OTHER TOBACCO-RELATED ACTIONS

The following lists certain other tobacco-related litigation pending against Altria Group, Inc. and/or its various subsidiaries as of February 23, 2018. See Note 18 for a discussion of these cases.

Ignition Propensity Case

Walker, et al. v. Philip Morris USA, Inc., et al., Circuit Court, Nelson County, Kentucky, filed February 1, 2008.

Argentine Grower Cases

Hupan, et al. v. Alliance One International, Inc., et al., Superior Court, New Castle County, Delaware, filed on February 14, 2012.

Chalanuk, et al. v. Alliance One International, Inc., et al., Superior Court, New Castle County, Delaware, filed on April 14, 2012.

Clarisa Rodriguez Da Silva, et al. v. Alliance One International, Inc., et al., Superior Court, New Castle County, Delaware, filed on October 25, 2012.

Alfredo Aranda, et al. v. Alliance One International, Inc., et al., Superior Court, New Castle County, Delaware filed on March 1, 2013.

Taborda, et al. v. Alliance One International, Inc., et al., Superior Court, New Castle County, Delaware filed on August 9, 2013.

Biglia, et al. v. Alliance One International, Inc., et al., Superior Court, New Castle County, Delaware, filed on January 1, 2014.

UST LITIGATION

The following action is pending against UST and/or its subsidiaries as of February 23, 2018. See Note 18 for a discussion of this case.

Alicia Gwynn, et al. v. Altria Group, Inc., et al., Superior Court, County of San Diego, California, filed May 23, 2016.

TRIAL SCHEDULE FOR CERTAIN CASES

Below is a schedule, as of February 23, 2018 setting forth by month the number of individual smoking and health cases against Philip Morris USA Inc. that are scheduled for trial through April 30, 2018.

2018*Engle* progeny

February	0
March	1
April	2

As of February 23, 2018, there is one *Engle* progeny case in trial.

Other Individual Smoking & Health

February	0
March	0
April	2

As of February 23, 2018, there are no non-*Engle* progeny cases in trial.

